# Chair of Strategic Management and Sustainability



# NOT MY BUSINESS: HOW INDIVIDUALS' COGNITIVE FRAMES AND ROLE IDENTITIES INFLUENCE CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY

We investigate how cognitive frames and role identities jointly shape individuals' engagement in corporate sustainability. Drawing on a longitudinal case study of a sustainability project within a medium-sized firm, we show that sustainability in companies is strongly dependent on (a) the interaction between individuals' cognitive frames and role identities and (b) their evolution over time.



## Motivation

- Strong increase in number of studies that examine role of cognition for corporate sustainability (e.g., Hahn, Preuss, Pinkse, & Figge, 2014)
- Much of the previous work in the area is either conceptual or limited to studying the senior management team (Hahn et al., 2014)
- Studies provide limited insights into relationship between individual cognition and sustainability action for a broader range of organizational members who may differ in their role identity, i.e., their perceived self-view of their role within an organization (Chreim, Williams, & Hinings, 2007)
- Existing work focuses on **classifying frames in a static way**, not providing a detailed understanding of how individuals dynamically

	Economic Role Identity	Hybrid Role Identity
FRAME Trade-off Frame	No Sustainability Action	Limited, Activist Sustainability Action
Win-Win Frame COGNITIVE	Limited, Instrumental Sustainability Action	Strong Sustainability Action

ORGANIZATIONAL ROLE IDENTITY

### Implications

- Sustainability action depends on both cognitive frames and role identity: Even if an individual perceives sustainability as a win-win, sustainability action remains limited if the individual's role identity does not include sustainability aspects ("not my business")
- Organizational role identity moderates changes in cognitive frames: individuals who perceived their primary role to consist of economic activities often ignored information about sustainability practices, thereby preventing cognitive frame adjustments
  Personal identity as important driver of changes in roles and related role identity; resource and legitimacy conflicts as inhibitors of changes in roles

adjust their frames over time (Cornelissen et al., 2014)

#### Method

- 18-month qualitative case study of a sustainability project within a medium-sized car retailing and service firm in Germany
- Prior to the start of the project, company showed very limited efforts related to sustainability
- Data collected from various sources in three phases to track sustainability-related cognitive frames, role identities, and action at the individual level

#### Observations (e.g., meetings) and semistructured interviews to create reference point for individuals' cognitive frames, roles, and practices

2

Active involvement of one researcher; second round of interviews to observe changes in knowledge, frames, roles, and behavior

3

Research team phases out direct support; observed changes in knowledge, frames, roles, and practices

## Dr. Jörn Hoppmann

## Research interest

- Strategic management
- Sustainability management
- Innovation management

#### Lectures

- Einführung in die Betriebswirtschaftslehre (Bachelor)
- Unternehmensstrategien (Bachelor)
- Strategisches Management (Master)



- Data analysis using analytical induction (coding and pattern matching) to develop process framework
- Corporate Social Responsibility (Master)
- Unternehmen und institutioneller Wandel (Master)

#### Literature

- Ashforth, B. E., & Schinoff, B. S. 2016. Identity under construction: How individuals come to define themselves in organizations. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 3: 111-137.
- Hahn, T., Preuss, L., Pinkse, J., & Figge, F. 2014. Cognitive frames in corporate sustainability: Managerial sensemaking with paradoxical and business case frames. Academy of Management Review, 39(4): 463-487.



#### http://www.uni-oldenburg.de/laub

http://www.uni-oldenburg.de/centos/