

Statistical Room Acoustics in Acoustic Sensor Networks

Simon Doclo, Toby Christian Lawin-Ore

Signal Processing Group University of Oldenburg, Germany

02.04.2012



Outline



- 2 Multi-channel Wiener Filter
- Oistributed MWF
- Spatial expectation of output SNR using statistical room acoustics
- 5 Experimental results

6 Conclusion





- Signal acquisition in adverse acoustic environments
- "Traditional" microphone arrays:

versität oldenburg

- Limited number of microphones (specific configuration)
- $\bullet\,$ Microphones possibly at large distance from desired source $\to\,$ background noise and reverberation







- Signal acquisition in adverse acoustic environments
- Acoustic sensor networks:
 - Network of a large number of **spatially distributed** nodes, typically at unknown positions
 - More information about spatial sound field (microphones with higher SNR, direct-to-reverberant ratio)
 - Wired or wireless data transmission







- Signal acquisition in adverse acoustic environments
- Prototype applications:
 - Hearing aids using extra microphones (room, other HA, ...)
 - Video-conferencing using all microphones on laptops / room
 - Surveillance





• Challenges:

• *Dynamic array configuration*: large number of microphones at unknown positions, dynamic subset selection





• Challenges:

- *Dynamic array configuration*: large number of microphones at unknown positions, dynamic subset selection
- *Distributed and collaborative algorithms*: power and complexity constraints, effect of limited bandwidth and coding







• Challenges:

- *Dynamic array configuration*: large number of microphones at unknown positions, dynamic subset selection
- Distributed and collaborative algorithms: power and complexity constraints, effect of limited bandwidth and coding
- Calibration and synchronisation issues







• Challenges:

- *Dynamic array configuration*: large number of microphones at unknown positions, dynamic subset selection
- Distributed and collaborative algorithms: power and complexity constraints, effect of limited bandwidth and coding
- Calibration and synchronisation issues





- Performance of signal enhancement algorithms depends on **acoustical scenario**:
 - microphone configuration \mathbf{P}_{mic}
 - desired source position **p**_s
 - noise position(s) \mathbf{p}_n and SNR (not for diffuse noise)
 - room properties (e.g. T₆₀, dimensions, reflection coefficients)



- Performance of signal enhancement algorithms depends on **acoustical scenario**:
 - microphone configuration **P**_{mic}
 - desired source position **p**_s
 - noise position(s) \mathbf{p}_n and SNR (not for diffuse noise)
 - room properties (e.g. T_{60} , dimensions, reflection coefficients)

General objectives:

- Microphone subset selection
 - For a *given* microphone configuration and room, compare performance of different subsets
 - Either for one specific source position or averaged over different source positions



- Performance of signal enhancement algorithms depends on **acoustical scenario**:
 - microphone configuration \mathbf{P}_{mic}
 - desired source position **p**_s
 - noise position(s) \mathbf{p}_n and SNR (not for diffuse noise)
 - room properties (e.g. T_{60} , dimensions, reflection coefficients)

General objectives:

- Microphone subset selection
 - For a *given* microphone configuration and room, compare performance of different subsets
 - Either for one specific source position or averaged over different source positions
- Optimisation of microphone positions
 - For a *given* room, optimise positions of distributed microphones (e.g. using average performance)



- Analyse performance of signal enhancement algorithms for different acoustical scenarios
- Approaches:
 - Using measurements (RIRs, noise coherence)
 - Most accurate
 - Time-consuming if large number of source positions and microphones configurations need to be compared



- Analyse performance of signal enhancement algorithms for different acoustical scenarios
- Approaches:
 - Using measurements (RIRs, noise coherence)
 - Most accurate
 - Time-consuming if large number of source positions and microphones configurations need to be compared
 - **2** Using **simulations** (RIRs, noise coherence)
 - E.g. using image model, room acoustics software
 - Numerical simulation



- Analyse performance of signal enhancement algorithms for different acoustical scenarios
- Approaches:
 - Using measurements (RIRs, noise coherence)
 - Most accurate
 - Time-consuming if large number of source positions and microphones configurations need to be compared
 - **2** Using **simulations** (RIRs, noise coherence)
 - E.g. using image model, room acoustics software
 - Numerical simulation
 - Oerive (approximate) analytical expressions using statistical room acoustics, incorporating statistical properties of RIRs



- Analyse performance of signal enhancement algorithms for different acoustical scenarios
- Approaches:
 - Using measurements (RIRs, noise coherence)
 - Most accurate
 - Time-consuming if large number of source positions and microphones configurations need to be compared
 - **2** Using **simulations** (RIRs, noise coherence)
 - E.g. using image model, room acoustics software
 - Numerical simulation
 - Oerive (approximate) analytical expressions using statistical room acoustics, incorporating statistical properties of RIRs

This presentation: analytical expression for the spatially averaged output SNR of Multi-Channel Wiener Filter (MWF), *given* relative distance between source and microphones



Signal model and configuration



• Microphone signals in frequency-domain

$$\mathbf{Y}(\omega) = \mathbf{X}(\omega) + \mathbf{V}(\omega) = \mathbf{H}(\omega)S(\omega) + \mathbf{V}(\omega)$$
$$\mathbf{Y}(\omega) = [Y_0(\omega) \cdots Y_{M-1}(\omega)]^T, \quad \mathbf{H}(\omega) = [H_0(\omega) \cdots H_{M-1}(\omega)]^T$$

• Output signal: $Z(\omega) = \mathbf{W}^{H}(\omega)\mathbf{Y}(\omega) = Z_{x}(\omega) + Z_{v}(\omega)$



Signal model and configuration



- Desired source at position $\mathbf{p}_s = [x_s \ y_s \ z_s]^T$
- Microphones at positions $\mathbf{p}_m = [x_m \ y_m \ z_m]^T, m = 0 \cdots M 1$
- Relative distance between source and microphones

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D_0 \\ \vdots \\ D_{M-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \|\mathbf{p}_s - \mathbf{p}_0\| \\ \vdots \\ \|\mathbf{p}_s - \mathbf{p}_{M-1}\| \end{bmatrix}$$



• **Goal**: MMSE estimate of speech component X_{m_0}

$$\xi(\mathbf{W}) = \mathcal{E}\left\{ \left| X_{m_0} - \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{Y} \right|^2 \right\}$$



• Goal: MMSE estimate of speech component X_{m_0}

$$\xi(\mathbf{W}) = \mathcal{E}\left\{ \left| X_{m_0} - \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{Y} \right|^2 \right\}$$

• Solution:

$$\mathbf{W}_{m_0} = \mathbf{\Phi}_y^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_x \mathbf{e}_{m_0} \qquad \mathbf{e}_{m_0} = [0 \cdots 1 \cdots 0]^T$$

• Speech and noise correlation matrices:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Phi}_{y} &= \mathbf{\Phi}_{x} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{v} \\ \mathbf{\Phi}_{x} &= \mathcal{E}\{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{H}\}, \quad \mathbf{\Phi}_{v} = \mathcal{E}\{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^{H}\} \end{split}$$



• Goal: MMSE estimate of speech component X_{m_0}

$$\xi(\mathbf{W}) = \mathcal{E}\left\{ \left| X_{m_0} - \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{Y} \right|^2 \right\}$$

• Solution:

$$\mathbf{W}_{m_0} = \mathbf{\Phi}_y^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_x \mathbf{e}_{m_0} \qquad \mathbf{e}_{m_0} = [0 \cdots 1 \cdots 0]^T$$

• Speech and noise correlation matrices:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Phi}_{y} &= \mathbf{\Phi}_{x} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{v} \\ \mathbf{\Phi}_{x} &= \mathcal{E}\{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{H}\}, \quad \mathbf{\Phi}_{v} = \mathcal{E}\{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^{H}\} \end{split}$$

• Output SNR:

$$SNR_{out} = \frac{\mathcal{E}\{|Z_x|^2\}}{\mathcal{E}\{|Z_v|^2\}} = \frac{\mathbf{W}_{m_0}^H \mathbf{\Phi}_x \mathbf{W}_{m_0}}{\mathbf{W}_{m_0}^H \mathbf{\Phi}_v \mathbf{W}_{m_0}}$$



• For a single speech source: $\Phi_x = \phi_s H H^H$, $\phi_s = \mathcal{E}\{|S|^2\}$

$$\mathbf{W}_{m_0} = \frac{\mathbf{\Phi}_{\nu}^{-1} \mathbf{H}}{\phi_s^{-1} + \Lambda} H_{m_0}^*, \qquad \text{SNR}_{\text{out}} = \phi_s \Lambda$$
$$\Lambda = \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{\Phi}_{\nu}^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$

SITÄT OLDENBURG



• For a single speech source: $\Phi_x = \phi_s H H^H$, $\phi_s = \mathcal{E}\{|S|^2\}$

$$\mathbf{W}_{m_0} = \frac{\mathbf{\Phi}_{\nu}^{-1} \mathbf{H}}{\phi_s^{-1} + \Lambda} H_{m_0}^*, \qquad \text{SNR}_{\text{out}} = \phi_s \Lambda$$
$$\Lambda = \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{\Phi}_{\nu}^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$

• Homogeneous noise field: $\Phi_v = \phi_v \Gamma_v$

Ität oldenburg

$$SNR_{out} = \frac{\phi_s}{\phi_v} \rho \qquad \rho = \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{\Gamma}_v^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$



• For a single speech source: $\Phi_x = \phi_s H H^H$, $\phi_s = \mathcal{E}\{|S|^2\}$

$$\mathbf{W}_{m_0} = \frac{\mathbf{\Phi}_v^{-1} \mathbf{H}}{\phi_s^{-1} + \Lambda} H_{m_0}^*, \qquad \text{SNR}_{\text{out}} = \phi_s \Lambda$$
$$\Lambda = \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{\Phi}_v^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$

• Homogeneous noise field: $\Phi_v = \phi_v \Gamma_v$

DIDENBURG

$$SNR_{out} = \frac{\phi_s}{\phi_v} \rho \qquad \rho = \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{\Gamma}_v^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$

SNR improvement only depends on Acoustical Transfer Function vector **H** and noise coherence matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}$



Intermezzo: Distributed MWF



• All microphone signals are transmitted over a wireless link



Intermezzo: Distributed MWF



Required bandwidth can be reduced

- All microphone signals are transmitted over a wireless link
- Reduce bandwidth requirement of wireless link by transmitting one signal
 - Iterative distributed binaural MWF scheme (DB-MWF)



Distributed MWF



- In each iteration F_{10} is equal to W_{00} from previous iteration, and F_{01} is equal to W_{11} from previous iteration
- Converges to centralized MWF !



- Objective: investigate influence of link bandwidth on performance of binaural MWF algorithm
- The signal **Y**₀₁ is encoded at finite bitrate *R* before transmission

$$R(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \max(0, \log_2 \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{Y}_{01}}}{\lambda}) d\omega$$
$$D(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min(\lambda, \Phi_{\mathbf{Y}_{01}}) d\omega$$

- $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{Y}_{01}}$ is the PSD of \boldsymbol{Y}_{01}
- Parameter λ links the transmission rate to the distortion



Upper bound on achievable performance can be calculated using forward channel representation



$$\Phi_W = \max(0, \lambda \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{Y}_{01}} - \lambda}{\Phi_{\mathbf{Y}_{01}}}).$$

• The resulting MSE for SDW-MWF

$$\xi(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\Phi_{X_{0,1}} - \Phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_0 X_{0,1}}^{H} \Phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_0}^{-1} \Phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_0 X_{0,1}} \right) d\omega$$



- Effect on performance of DB-MWF-algorithm
 - Single signal is compressed/transmitted in each iteration
 - Spread iterations over subsequent frames





- Effect on performance of DB-MWF-algorithm
 - Single signal is compressed/transmitted in each iteration
 - Spread iterations over subsequent frames



♦ DB-MWF-algorithm converges after K = 2 iterations, moreover achieving highest performance gain



Multi-channel Wiener Filter

• For a single speech source: $\Phi_x = \phi_s H H^H$, $\phi_s = \mathcal{E}\{|S|^2\}$

$$\mathbf{W}_{m_0} = \frac{\mathbf{\Phi}_v^{-1} \mathbf{H}}{\phi_s^{-1} + \Lambda} H_{m_0}^*, \qquad \text{SNR}_{\text{out}} = \phi_s \Lambda$$
$$\Lambda = \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{\Phi}_v^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$

• Homogeneous noise field: $\Phi_v = \phi_v \Gamma_v$

OLDENBURG

$$SNR_{out} = \frac{\phi_s}{\phi_v} \rho \qquad \rho = \mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{\Gamma}_v^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$

SNR improvement only depends on Acoustical Transfer Function vector **H** and noise coherence matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}$



Output SNR of the MWF can be computed using measured or simulated ATFs and noise coherence matrices.



19

Output SNR of the MWF can be computed using measured or simulated ATFs and noise coherence matrices.

OLDENBURG



Objective: (approximate) analytical expression for the output SNR of the MWF using **statistical room acoustics**

NTT 02.04.2012 Spatial expectation of output SNR using statistical room acoustics



Statistical properties of ATFs

• Decomposition of ATFs **H** in direct and reverberant component

$$\mathbf{H}(\theta) = \mathbf{H}_d(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_r(\theta)$$

• Stochastic variable $\theta = [\mathbf{p}_s, \mathbf{p}_0 \cdots \mathbf{p}_{M-1}]$

¹M. R. Schroeder, "Frequency correlation function of frequency responses in room", *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 34, no.12, pp. 1819-1823, Dec. 1962



Statistical properties of ATFs

• Decomposition of ATFs **H** in direct and reverberant component

$$\mathbf{H}(\theta) = \mathbf{H}_d(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_r(\theta)$$

• Stochastic variable $\theta = [\mathbf{p}_s, \mathbf{p}_0 \cdots \mathbf{p}_{M-1}]$

ITAT OLDENBURG

- Statistical properties of ATFs under specific conditions¹
 - room dimensions large relative to wavelength of signals
 - frequencies above Schröder frequency $f_g = 2000\sqrt{T_{60}/V}$ (V = volume of room, T_{60} = reverberation time)
 - microphones and source at least half a wavelength away from walls
- spatial expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{\cdot\}$ = ensemble average over all realizations of θ

¹M. R. Schroeder, "Frequency correlation function of frequency responses in room", *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 34, no.12, pp. 1819-1823, Dec. 1962



Statistical properties of ATFs

- Statistical properties of ATFs (fixed relative distance **D**)
 - A1 Direct path component independent of realization of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{\theta} \{ H_{m,d}(\theta) | D_m \} &= H_{m,d} = \frac{e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}D_m}}{4\pi D_m} \; \forall m \\ \mathcal{E}_{\theta} \{ H_{m,d}(\theta) H_{n,d}^*(\theta) | D_m, D_n \} &= H_{m,d} H_{n,d}^* = \frac{e^{j\frac{\omega}{c}(D_n - D_m)}}{(4\pi)^2 D_m D_n} \; \; \forall m, n \end{split}$$



Statistical properties of ATFs

• Statistical properties of ATFs (fixed relative distance **D**)

OLDENBURG

A1 Direct path component independent of realization of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\theta} \{ H_{m,d}(\theta) | D_m \} &= H_{m,d} = \frac{e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c} D_m}}{4\pi D_m} \; \forall m \\ \mathcal{E}_{\theta} \{ H_{m,d}(\theta) H_{n,d}^*(\theta) | D_m, D_n \} &= H_{m,d} H_{n,d}^* = \frac{e^{j\frac{\omega}{c} (D_n - D_m)}}{(4\pi)^2 D_m D_n} \quad \forall m, n \end{aligned}$$

- A3 Spatial expectation of spectrum of reverberant component $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{|\mathcal{H}_{m,r}(\theta)|^2\} = \frac{1-\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi \bar{\alpha} A}$ ($\bar{\alpha} = \frac{0.161V}{AT_{60}}$, A = total surface area)
- A4 Spatially expected correlation between reverberant components $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,r}(\theta)H_{n,r}^{*}(\theta)\} = \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}}{\pi\bar{\alpha}A} \frac{\sin\frac{\omega}{c}\|\mathbf{p}_{m}-\mathbf{p}_{n}\|}{\frac{\omega}{c}\|\mathbf{p}_{m}-\mathbf{p}_{n}\|} \quad \forall m, n$



Statistical properties of ATFs

• Statistical properties of ATFs (fixed relative distance **D**)

OLDENBURG

A1 Direct path component independent of realization of θ

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,d}(\theta)|D_m\} &= H_{m,d} = \frac{e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}D_m}}{4\pi D_m} \; \forall m \\ \mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,d}(\theta)H_{n,d}^*(\theta)|D_m,D_n\} &= H_{m,d}H_{n,d}^* = \frac{e^{j\frac{\omega}{c}(D_n-D_m)}}{(4\pi)^2 D_m D_n} \quad \forall m,n \end{aligned}$$

- A3 Spatial expectation of spectrum of reverberant component $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{|\mathcal{H}_{m,r}(\theta)|^2\} = \frac{1-\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi\bar{\alpha}A} \quad (\bar{\alpha} = \frac{0.161V}{AT_{60}}, A = \text{total surface area})$
- A4 Spatially expected correlation between reverberant components $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,r}(\theta)H_{n,r}^{*}(\theta)\} = \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}}{\pi\bar{\alpha}A} \frac{\sin\frac{\omega}{c}\|\mathbf{p}_{m}-\mathbf{p}_{n}\|}{\frac{\omega}{c}\|\mathbf{p}_{m}-\mathbf{p}_{n}\|} \quad \forall m, n$
- A5 Direct and reverberant components are uncorrelated $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,d}(\theta)H_{n,r}^{*}(\theta)|D_{m},D_{n}\}=0, \quad \forall m, n$



Statistical properties of ATFs

- Statistical properties of ATFs (fixed relative distance **D**)
 - A1 Direct path component independent of realization of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,d}(\theta)|D_m\} &= H_{m,d} = \frac{e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}D_m}}{4\pi D_m} \;\forall m\\ \mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,d}(\theta)H_{n,d}^*(\theta)|D_m,D_n\} &= H_{m,d}H_{n,d}^* = \frac{e^{j\frac{\omega}{c}(D_n-D_m)}}{(4\pi)^2 D_m D_n} \;\;\forall m,n \end{aligned}$$

- A3 Spatial expectation of spectrum of reverberant component $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{|\mathcal{H}_{m,r}(\theta)|^2\} = \frac{1-\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi \bar{\alpha} A}$ ($\bar{\alpha} = \frac{0.161V}{AT_{60}}$, A = total surface area)
- A4 Spatially expected correlation between reverberant components $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,r}(\theta)H_{n,r}^{*}(\theta)\} = \frac{1-\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi\tilde{\alpha}A} \frac{\sin\frac{\omega}{c}\|\mathbf{p}_{m}-\mathbf{p}_{n}\|}{\frac{\omega}{c}\|\mathbf{p}_{m}-\mathbf{p}_{n}\|} \quad \forall m, n$

A5 Direct and reverberant components are uncorrelated

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{m,d}(\theta)H_{n,r}^{*}(\theta)|D_{m},D_{n}\}=0, \quad \forall m, n$$

A6 $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{|H_{m}(\theta)|^{2}|D_{m}\}=\frac{1}{(4\pi D_{m})^{2}}+\frac{1-\bar{\alpha}}{\pi\bar{\alpha}A}$

DIDENBURG



Output SNR using statistical properties ATFs

• For each realization of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

 $\rho(\theta) = \mathbf{H}_{d}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{d}(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_{d}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{r}(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_{r}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{d}(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_{r}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{r}(\theta)$



Output SNR using statistical properties ATFs

• For each realization of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

 $\rho(\theta) = \mathbf{H}_{d}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{d}(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_{d}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{r}(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_{r}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{d}(\theta) + \mathbf{H}_{r}^{H}(\theta)\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{r}(\theta)$

$$\rho(\theta) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{M} \check{\gamma}_{mn} \Big(H_{d,m}^{*}(\theta) H_{d,n}(\theta) + H_{d,m}^{*}(\theta) H_{r,n}(\theta) + H_{r,m}^{*}(\theta) H_{d,n}(\theta) + H_{r,m}^{*}(\theta) H_{r,n}(\theta) \Big)$$

with

$$\mathbf{H}_{i}^{H}\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{j} = \sum_{m=1}^{M}\sum_{n=1}^{M}\breve{\gamma}_{mn}H_{i,m}^{*}H_{j,n}$$

• $\check{\gamma}_{mn}$ coefficients of the matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}^{-1}$



Output SNR using statistical properties ATFs

 \bullet Spatial expectation of ${\rm SNR}_{\rm out}$ given \bm{D}

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{\rho(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{M} \breve{\gamma}_{mn} \Big(\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{d,m}^{*}(\theta)H_{d,n}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} + \mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{d,m}^{*}(\theta)H_{r,n}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} + \mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{r,m}^{*}(\theta)H_{d,n}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} + \mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{r,m}^{*}(\theta)H_{r,n}(\theta)\}\Big)$$



Output SNR using statistical properties ATFs

 \bullet Spatial expectation of ${\rm SNR}_{\rm out}$ given \bm{D}

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{\rho(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{M} \check{\gamma}_{mn} \Big(\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{d,m}^{*}(\theta)H_{d,n}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} + \overline{\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{d,m}^{*}(\theta)H_{r,n}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\}} + \overline{\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{H_{r,m}^{*}(\theta)H_{r,n}(\theta)\}} \Big)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{\mathrm{SNR}_{\mathrm{out}}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} = \frac{\phi_s}{\phi_v} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \check{\gamma}_{mn} \left(\frac{e^{j\frac{\omega}{c}(D_n - D_m)}}{(4\pi)^2 D_m D_n} + \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}}{\pi \bar{\alpha} A} \frac{\sin \frac{\omega}{c} \|\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n\|}{\frac{\omega}{c} \|\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n\|}\right)$$

Depends on room properties A and α
, relative distance D and noise coherence Γ_ν



Objective: Compare the *analytically* computed spatial expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{SNR_{out}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\}$ with the *numerically* computed spatially averaged output SNR

$$\overline{\mathrm{SNR}}_{\mathrm{out}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{SNR}_{out}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{ heta}}_i)$$

where N is the total number of realizations (translations, rotations) and $\tilde{\theta}_i, i = 1 \cdots N$ corresponds to a single realization of θ



Objective: Compare the *analytically* computed spatial expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}\{SNR_{out}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\}$ with the *numerically* computed spatially averaged output SNR

$$\overline{\mathrm{SNR}}_{\mathrm{out}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{SNR}_{out}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{ heta}}_i)$$

where N is the total number of realizations (translations, rotations) and $\tilde{\theta}_i, i = 1 \cdots N$ corresponds to a single realization of θ

Simulation and experimental setup

- Room dimensions 7 m \times 5 m \times 3.5 m and $\mathit{T}_{60}=250\,\text{ms}$
- M = 3 equally spaced microphones (d = 4 cm)
- Source located at endfire of the microphone array such that $\mathbf{D} = [1.36 \ 1.40 \ 1.44]^T$



Simulation and experimental setup

- Sampling frequency $f_s = 16 \text{kHz}$
- Room impulse responses simulated using image model $(L = 4096)^{2}$

²E. A. P. Habets, "Room impulse response (RIR) generator", *Available: http://home.tiscali.nl/ehabets/rir generator.html*, Oct. 2008



Simulation and experimental setup

- Sampling frequency $f_s = 16$ kHz
- Room impulse responses simulated using image model $(L = 4096)^2$
- Diffuse noise coherence matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{v}$ theoretically computed

$$\gamma_{mn}(\omega) = \frac{\sin \frac{\omega}{c} \|\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n\|}{\frac{\omega}{c} \|\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}_n\|}$$

• Frequency-flat a-priori input SNR $\frac{\phi_s}{\phi_v}$

²E. A. P. Habets, "Room impulse response (RIR) generator", *Available: http://home.tiscali.nl/ehabets/rir generator.html*, Oct. 2008



• Monte Carlo simulation using 10000 realizations





• $MSE = \sum_{\omega} |\mathcal{E}_{\theta} \{SNR_{out}(\theta)|\mathbf{D}\} - \overline{SNR}_{out}|^2$ as a function of number of realizations θ



The larger the number of realizations, the smaller the MSE between the analytical expression and simulations

versität OLDENBURG



Conclusion and future work

- Analytical expression for spatial expectation of output SNR of MWF, depending on room properties, relative distance and noise coherence
- Simulation results show that analytical expression is **close to numerical simulations** (for large number of Monte Carlo realizations)



Conclusion and future work

- Analytical expression for spatial expectation of output SNR of MWF, depending on room properties, relative distance and noise coherence
- Simulation results show that analytical expression is **close to** numerical simulations (for large number of Monte Carlo realizations)
- Future work
 - For fixed microphone configuration, compute output SNR averaged over different source positions \Rightarrow optimal subset selection
 - Using average performance \Rightarrow optimize positions of distributed microphones