Adaptive Dereverberation, Noise and Interferer Reduction Using Sparse Weighted Linearly Constrained Minimum Power Beamforming

Henri Gode and Simon Doclo

Department of Medical Physics and Acoustics and Cluster of Excellence Hearing4all, University of Oldenburg, Germany {henri.gode, simon.doclo}@uni-oldenburg.de

Abstract—Interfering sources, background noise and reverberation degrade speech quality and intelligibility in hearing aid applications. In this paper, we present an adaptive algorithm aiming at dereverberation, noise and interferer reduction and preservation of binaural cues based on the weighted binaural linearly constrained minimum power (wBLCMP) beamformer. The wBLCMP beamformer unifies the multi-channel weighted prediction error method performing dereverberation and the linearly constrained minimum power beamformer performing noise and interferer reduction into a single convolutional beamformer. We propose to adaptively compute the optimal filter by incorporating an exponential window into a sparsity-promoting lp-norm cost function, which enables to track a moving target speaker. Simulation results with successive target speakers at different positions show that the proposed adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer outperforms a non-adaptive version in terms of objective speech enhancement performance measures.

Index Terms—noise reduction, dereverberation, online processing, convolutional beamformer, multi-microphone

I. INTRODUCTION

In many hands-free speech communication systems such as hearing aids, mobile phones and smart speakers, interfering sounds, ambient noise and reverberation may degrade the speech quality and intelligibility of the recorded microphone signals [1]. To enhance speech quality and intelligibility, many multi-microphone speech enhancement methods aiming at noise and interferer reduction and dereverberation have been proposed in the last decades [2], [3]. For many of these methods, both non-adaptive versions with time-invariant parameters as well as adaptive versions with time-varying parameters exist. When considering binaural hearing aids, it is often desired to preserve the binaural cues, which provide spatial awareness of the acoustic scene for the listener [4].

A commonly used multi-microphone noise reduction method is the minimum power distortionless response (MPDR) beamformer [5]–[7], which aims at minimizing the output power while leaving the desired speech component undistorted. The linearly constrained minimum power (LCMP) beamformer generalizes the MPDR beamformer, providing the possibility of multiple linear constraints, e.g., to perform controlled reduction of the interfering sources [5], [8], [9]. Often the constraints are formulated in terms of the relative transfer functions (RTFs) vectors of the target speaker and interfering sources [10], [11].

To achieve dereverberation, the weighted prediction error (WPE) method [12] and its generalization using sparse priors [13], [14] are commonly employed. WPE uses a convolutional filter, applied to a number of past frames in the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) domain, to estimate and subtract the late reverberation component. Since the WPE cost function does not have an analytic solution, it has been proposed to use iterative alternating optimization schemes. In [15], [16] adaptive versions of the WPE algorithm have been proposed, e.g., by incorporating an exponential window into the cost function and incorporating an additional constraint to prevent overestimation of the late reverberation [16].

Aiming at joint dereverberation and noise reduction, it has been proposed to perform multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO)-WPE as a preprocessing stage before MPDR beamforming, in a cascade system [17]. By unifying the optimization of the convolutional WPE filter and the MPDR beamformer, the so-called weighted power minimization distortionless response (WPD) beamformer [18] and its generalization using sparse priors [19] were shown to outperform cascade systems. The unified WPD beamformer is optimized similarly to the WPE filter with an additional distortionless constraint using the RTFs of the target speaker. In [20] two adaptive versions of the WPD algorithm have been proposed.

Aiming at joint dereverberation, reduction of interfering sources and noise and preservation of the binaural cues of all sources, the weighted binaural linearly constrained minimum power (wBLCMP) beamformer in [21] generalizes the WPD beamformer by unifying the optimization of the convolutional WPE filter and the LCMP beamformer. Similarly to [16], [20], in this paper, we derive an adaptive version by incorporating an exponential window into the cost function, which enables tracking of a moving target speaker. In addition, similarly to [19], we explicitly control the sparsity of the STFT coefficients by using an ℓ_p -norm cost function. For a complex acoustic scenario featuring a target speaker which suddenly switches position, an interfering source at a fixed position and diffuse babble noise, simulation results show that the adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer clearly outperforms its non-adaptive version in terms of objective speech enhancement performance measures and RTF vector estimation accuracy.

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project ID 390895286 – EXC 2177/1.

II. SIGNAL MODEL

We consider J acoustic sources captured by a binaural microphone array setup with M/2 microphones on each of two head-worn hearing devices (e.g. left and right hearing aid) in a noisy and reverberant acoustic environment (with J < M). Without loss of generality, the first source (j = 1) is considered to be the target speaker and the remaining J - 1 sources are considered to be interfering sources. The STFT coefficients of the microphone signals at time frame t are denoted as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t} & \cdots & y_{M,t} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}, \tag{1}$$

with $(\cdot)^{\mathrm{T}}$ denoting the transpose operator. In (1) the frequency index has been omitted since it is assumed that each frequency subband is independent and hence can be processed individually. Similarly to [13], [18]–[21], the multi-channel microphone signal \mathbf{y}_t in (1) is modeled as the sum of each source signal $s_{j,t}$ convolved with its possibly time-varying multichannel convolutive transfer function (CTF) matrix $\mathbf{A}_{j,t} = [\mathbf{a}_{j,t,0} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{j,t,L_a-1}] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times L_a}$ plus background noise $\mathbf{n}_t \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{y}_{t} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{l=0}^{L_{a}-1} \mathbf{a}_{j,t,l} s_{j,t-l} + \mathbf{n}_{t},$$
(2)

where L_a denotes the number of taps of the CTFs. By splitting the CTFs into the early reflections and late reverberation using the integer parameter τ , the reverberant signal for the *j*-th source can be decomposed into its direct component $\mathbf{d}_{j,t} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ (including early reflections) and its late reverberation component $\mathbf{r}_{j,t} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{y}_{t} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{\substack{l=0\\ :=\mathbf{d}_{j,t}}}^{\tau-1} \mathbf{a}_{j,t,l} s_{j,t-l} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{\substack{l=\tau\\ :=\tau}}^{L_{a}-1} \mathbf{a}_{j,t,l} s_{j,t-l} + \mathbf{n}_{t}.$$
 (3)

The direct component for the *j*-th source $\mathbf{d}_{j,t}$ can be approximated using the multiplicative transfer function (MTF) vector $\mathbf{v}_{j,t} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ as [22]

$$\mathbf{d}_{j,t} \approx \mathbf{v}_{j,t} s_{j,t} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{j,m,t} d_{j,m,t}, \quad m \in \{1,...,M\},$$
 (4)

where $d_{j,m,t}$ denotes the direct component of the *j*-th source in the reference microphone *m* at time frame *t*. The vector

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{j,m,t} = \mathbf{v}_{j,t} / v_{j,m,t} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$$
(5)

denotes the possibly time-varying RTF vector for the *j*-th source, where $v_{j,m,t}$ is the *m*-th entry of $\mathbf{v}_{j,t}$.

III. SPARSE WBLCMP FILTER

To obtain an estimate of the direct target speech component $d_{1,\nu,t}$ in the left and right reference microphone denoted by $m = \nu \in \{L, R\}$, it has been proposed in [18]–[21] to apply a convolutional filter $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu,t} \in \mathbb{C}^{M(L_h - \tau + 1) \times 1}$ to the stacked noisy STFT vector $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_t$, i.e.

$$\hat{d}_{1,\nu,t} = \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu,t}^{\mathrm{H}} \bar{\mathbf{y}}_t, \tag{6}$$

where $(\cdot)^{H}$ denotes the conjugate transpose operator and the stacked noisy STFT vector $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_t$ is defined as

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_t^{\mathrm{T}} & | & \mathbf{y}_{t-\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_{t-L_h+1}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^{M(L_h-\tau+1)\times 1},$$
(7)

where L_h denotes the filter length. It should be noted that the vector $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_t$ only includes a subset of the L_h most recent frames, i.e. it includes the current frame but excludes the preceding $\tau - 1$ frames, aiming at preserving the early reflections.

A. Non-Adaptive Version

By assuming that all CTFs and MTFs and the convolutional filter $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu,t}$ do not change over time, i.e. $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu,t} = \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu}$ for all time frames $t \in \{1, \ldots, T\}$, a non-adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer aiming at joint dereverberation, noise and interferer reduction has been derived in [21]. In [21], assuming that the direct component of the target speaker follows a zero mean complex Gaussian distribution with a time-varying variance $\lambda_n = |d_{1,\nu,n}|^2$, the convolutional filter in (6) is computed by minimizing the negative log-likelihood function

$$\underset{\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{n=1}^{T} \ln \lambda_{n} + \frac{\left|\hat{d}_{1,\nu,n}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{T} \ln \lambda_{n} + \frac{\left|\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{H}} \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{n}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{n}}, \quad (8)$$

subject to a linear constraint for each source using their RTFs defined in (5), i.e.

$$\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{H}} \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{j,\nu} = \beta_j \qquad \qquad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, J\} \qquad (9)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{j,\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{j,\nu}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}},\tag{10}$$

where **0** denotes a vector containing $M(L_h - \tau)$ zeros and β_j denotes a scaling factor for the direct component of the *j*-th source. The scaling factor β_1 is usually set to 1, corresponding to a distortionless constraint for the target speaker, whereas all other scaling factors are usually chosen to be close to 0, aiming at suppressing the interfering sources.

In this paper, we aim at explicitly taking into account that the STFT coefficients of the direct target speech component are sparser than the STFT coefficients of the noisy reverberant mixture recorded by the microphones [13]. Hence, similarly to the WPE variant in [14] and the WPD variant in [19], we propose to minimize the convolutional filter in (6) using an ℓ_p -norm cost function instead of (8), i.e.

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu}} \sum_{n=1}^{T} \left| \hat{d}_{1,\nu,n} \right|^{p} = \sum_{n=1}^{T} \left| \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{H}} \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{n} \right|^{p}$$
(11)

where $p \in (0, 2]$ denotes the so-called shape parameter. This parameter determines the sparsity of the cost function, where small values of p promote sparsity. It should be noted that for 0 this cost function is non-convex.

B. Adaptive Version

To deal with time-varying acoustic scenarios, e.g. moving sources, in this paper we derive an adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer. Similarly as in [16], [20], we propose to incorporate an exponential window into the cost function in (11). The resulting minimization problem for each time frame t is given by

$$\underset{\mathbf{\bar{h}}_{\nu,t}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{n=1}^{t} \gamma^{t-n} \left| \hat{d}_{1,\nu,n} \right|^{p} = \sum_{n=1}^{T} \gamma^{t-n} \left| \mathbf{\bar{h}}_{\nu,t}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{\bar{y}}_{n} \right|^{p} \left| \qquad (12a)$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{\bar{h}}_{\nu,t}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{\bar{v}}_{j,\nu,t} = \beta_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, J\},$$
 (12b)

where the smoothing parameter $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ allows adaptation to possibly time-varying CTFs and MTFs. Note that the cost function in (12a) reduces to the cost function in (11) for $\gamma = 1$ and t = T. Therefore, the following derivations based on the adaptive cost function in (12a) for the adaptive version also hold for the cost function in (11) for the non-adaptive version.

C. Filter Optimization

Similarly as in [16], [19], we propose to use an iteratively reweighted least squares (IRLS) procedure to minimize the cost function in (12a) subject to the constraints in (12b). The basic idea is to replace the non-convex ℓ_p -norm minimization problem with a series of convex ℓ_2 -norm minimization subproblems, which have an analytic solution. In this paper we used only the first iteration of IRLS, since preliminary results indicated sufficient convergence.

1) Constrained ℓ_2 -Norm Subproblem Minimization:

In each frame, the non-convex cost function in (12a) is replaced with a convex weighted ℓ_2 -norm cost function, i.e.

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu,t}} \sum_{n=1}^{t} \gamma^{t-n} w_n \left| \hat{d}_{1,\nu,n} \right|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{T} \gamma^{t-n} w_n \left| \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{\nu,t}^{\mathrm{H}} \bar{\mathbf{y}}_n \right|^2$$
(13)

where the weights w_n are real-valued and positive. The filter minimizing (13) subject to the linear constraints in (12b) is equal to

$$\mathbf{\bar{h}}_{\nu,t} = \mathbf{R}_{y,t}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_t \left(\mathbf{C}_t^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{R}_{y,t}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_t \right)^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C}_t^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{e}_{\nu},$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t} = \sum_{n=1}^{t} \gamma^{t-n} w_n \bar{\mathbf{y}}_n \bar{\mathbf{y}}_n^{\mathrm{H}}$$
(15)

denotes the weighted noisy spatio-temporal covariance matrix (STCM) of the stacked microphone signals, $\bar{\mathbf{C}}_t = [\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{1,\nu,t} \cdots \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{J,\nu,t}]$ denotes the constraint matrix containing the RTF vectors for all sources, $\mathbf{B} = \text{diag}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\beta_1 \cdots \beta_J\end{bmatrix}^T\right)$ denotes the diagonal scaling matrix containing the scaling factors for all sources, and \mathbf{e}_{ν} is a selection vector with its entry corresponding to the left or right reference microphone equal to 1 and all other entries equal to 0. Assuming that the weights w_n of past frames $n \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ are well estimated during processing of these past frames, the weighted noisy STCM $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t}$ in (15) can be effectively computed by an recursive update in each frame, i.e. $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t} = \gamma \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t-1} + w_t \bar{\mathbf{y}}_t \bar{\mathbf{y}}_t^H$. However, since only the inverse of the weighted noisy STCM is required in (14) it is more effective to use an update formula



Fig. 1. Block diagram of the proposed adaptive wBLCMP algorithm, incorporating a MIMO-WPE preprocessing stage for estimating the RTFs.

for $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{u,t}^{-1}$ based on the Woodbury matrix identity, i.e.

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t-1}^{-1} - \frac{w_t \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t-1}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{y}}_t \bar{\mathbf{y}}_t^{\mathrm{H}} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t-1}^{-1}}{\gamma + w_t \bar{\mathbf{y}}_t^{\mathrm{H}} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{y,t-1}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{y}}_t} \right)$$
(16)

2) Weight Estimation:

Similarly as in [13], [19], in each frame t the weight w_t in (16) is estimated as

$$w_t = \left(\sum_{\nu} |y_{\nu,t}|^2\right)^{p/2-1},$$
 (17)

such that (13) is a first-order approximation of (12a). Note that the shape parameter p only affects the weight update in (17) of the algorithm, where it is possible to set p = 0.

D. RTF Estimation

The wBLCMP beamformer in (14) requires estimates of the RTFs for each source, which can be obtained using the covariance whitening method [11], [23]. It has been shown in [20] that performing RTF estimation on multi-channel dereverberated signals z_t , obtained by a MIMO-WPE preprocessing stage, is beneficial, since the MTF-based model in (4) assumes short transfer functions for the direct component. The block diagram in Fig. 1 shows an overview of the complete algorithm. Note that the computation time is not significantly increased by the MIMO-WPE preprocessing stage, since the wBLCMP filter can be effectively computed using the MIMO-WPE filter, because both are based on the convolutional signal model in (2) and can be derived using the ℓ_p -norm cost function in (12a) [24]. The RTF vector of the j-th source can then be estimated based on the generalized eigenvalue decomposition of the dereverberated covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}_{i,t}$ of that source and the dereverberated covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}_{v,j,t}$ of all other sources and the background noise. Since accurately estimating all of these covariance matrices is far from trivial, in this paper, we will assume oracle knowledge about a noiseonly period and a noise-plus-interferer period in the beginning of the signal, which are used to compute fixed covariance matrices of an interfering source and noise. In contrast, the covariance matrix and RTF vector of the target are tracked.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we compare the performance of the proposed adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer (Sec. III-B) with the non-adaptive version (Sec. III-A) using different shape parameters p for a spatially non-stationary acoustic scenario where the target speaker suddenly switches position.

(14)



Fig. 2. Average FWSSNR and SRR improvement vs. time constant t_{γ} for different values of the shape parameter p. Note that the non-adaptive method obviously does not have a time constant.

A. Acoustic Scenario

We considered 2 behind-the-ear (BTE) hearing aids with 2 microphones each, mounted on a dummy head located approximately in the center of an acoustic laboratory $(7 \,\mathrm{m} \times$ $6 \,\mathrm{m} \times 2.7 \,\mathrm{m}$) with a reverberation time $T_{60} \approx 510 \,\mathrm{ms}$. The acoustic scenario consists of one target speaker (which suddenly switches position), one interfering speaker (at a fixed position) and background noise. The target and interfering speech components at the microphones were generated by convolving clean speech signals with room impulse responses measured from loudspeakers at about 2 m from the dummy head. The target speaker at position 1 (0°, front of dummy head) is a male speaker which is active in the interval [2s, 20.4s], whereas the target speaker at position 2 (90°, right of dummy head) is a female speaker which is active in the interval $[20.4 \,\mathrm{s}, 39 \,\mathrm{s}]$. The interfering speaker is a male speaker which is located at -120° and is active in the interval [1 s, 39 s]. Quasi-diffuse babble noise, which is constantly active, was generated by playing back cafeteria noise using 4 loudspeakers facing the corners of the laboratory. The noisy mixture is constructed at a broadband signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of $0 \, dB$ and a broadband signal-to-interferer ratio (SIR) of 0 dB for both target positions. Note that there is a noise-only period in the 1^{st} second and a noise-plus-interferer period in the 2^{nd} second. The sampling frequency was equal to 16 kHz.

B. Algorithm Settings

We applied the wBLCMP beamformer within an STFT framework with a frame length of 32 ms, a frame shift of $t_s = 16$ ms and a sqrt-Hann window for analysis and synthesis. We compared the performance of two shape parameters $p = \{0, 0.5\}$, since it has been shown in [13] that a shape parameter of p = 0.5 can be beneficial. The filter length L_h in (7) was set to 16 frames corresponding to 256 ms covering about half of the T_{60} . The prediction delay τ was set to 3 frames corresponding to 48 ms aiming at preserving the early reflections. The scaling factors of the target speaker and the interfering source in (9) were set to $\beta_1 = 0$ dB and $\beta_2 = -20$ dB, respectively. Since preliminary results





Fig. 3. Mean Hermitian angle between the oracle RTF vector of the active target speaker and the estimated target RTF vector within the wBLCMP algorithm (p = 0.5) over time for a time constant $t_{\gamma} = 400 \text{ ms}$. The switch of target speaker occurs at approximately 20.4 s. Note that the non-adaptive version only provides one constant RTF vector estimate for the whole signal.

indicated reasonable convergence after the initial iteration of the alternating optimization described in Sec. III-C, we chose to stop after the first iteration to reduce computational cost. For the adaptive versions, different time constants are evaluated between $t_{\gamma} = [100 \text{ ms}, 1500 \text{ ms}]$. The smoothing parameter γ can be computed using the time constant as $\gamma = e^{-t_s/t_{\gamma}}$. The noise-plus-interferer covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}_{v,2}$ and RTF vector of the interfering source $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{2,\nu}$ are fixed after the first 2 s, whereas the covariance matrix and RTF vector of the target speaker are adaptively tracked.

C. Objective Speech Enhancement Measures

As objective performance measures we used the frequencyweighted segmental signal-to-noise ratio (FWSSNR) [25], and the signal-to-reverberation ratio (SRR) [26] averaged across the left and right output signal. As reference signal for FWSSNR and SRR we used the direct target speech component including early reflections (first 50 ms of the room impulse responses (RIRs)) at the reference microphones.

In addition, we evaluate the RTF vector estimation accuracy based on the Hermitian angle

$$\varphi = \operatorname{acos}\left(\frac{\left|\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{j,t}^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{\tilde{v}}_{j,t}\right|}{\left\|\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{j,t}\right\|\|\mathbf{\tilde{v}}_{j,t}\|}\right)$$
(18)

between the estimated RTF vector $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{j,t}$ of the target speaker and the oracle RTF vector $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{j,t}$ averaged across frequency bands. The Hermitian angle φ is a scale-invariant error measure for complex vectors, with lower values indicating smaller errors. The oracle RTF vectors are computed as the principal eigenvector of the covariance matrices of a white noise signal convolved with the early part (50 ms) of the respective multichannel RIRs of the target speaker. Note that for each target speaker position there is a unique oracle RTF vector.

D. Results

Fig. 2 compares the FWSSNR and SRR improvements (difference between scores for input and output signals) for

different time constants t_{γ} of the adaptive and non-adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer using two different shape parameters $p = \{0, 0.5\}$. It can be clearly observed that for the considered switching-target scenario the adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer outperforms the non-adaptive version in both performance measures for almost all time constants. The best SRR improvement is obtained using a time constant of roughly $t_{\gamma} = 450 \text{ ms}$, whereas the FWSSNR improvement is higher for shorter time constants. Using the shape parameter p = 0.5 yields better SRR improvements especially for larger time constants, whereas using the shape parameter p = 0, corresponding to the conventional cost function in (8), yields slightly better FWSSNR improvements.

For the adaptive and the non-adaptive version Fig. 3 shows the average Hermitian angle between the oracle RTF vector of the active target speaker and the estimated target RTF vector. Note that the non-adaptive version only provides one RTF vector estimate for the whole signal in contrast to the adaptive version which estimates the RTF vector of the target speaker in each time frame. It can be observed that the adaptive wBLCMP beamformer outperforms the non-adaptive version in almost all time frames in terms of RTF vector estimation accuracy.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we derived an adaptive version of the wBLCMP beamformer capable of tracking a moving target speaker in a noisy environment with interfering sources. In addition we generalized the conventional method using sparse priors. The evaluation in terms of objective performance measures clearly shows that the adaptive version outperforms the non-adaptive version in the considered acoustic scenario. This can be explained partly by the ability to track the time-varying RTF vector and covariance matrix of a moving target speaker.

REFERENCES

- R. Beutelmann and T. Brand, "Prediction of speech intelligibility in spatial noise and reverberation for normal-hearing and hearing-impaired listeners," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 331–342, Jul. 2006.
- [2] S. Doclo, W. Kellermann, S. Makino, and S. E. Nordholm, "Multichannel Signal Enhancement Algorithms for Assisted Listening Devices: Exploiting spatial diversity using multiple microphones," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 18–30, Mar. 2015.
- [3] E. Vincent, T. Virtanen, and S. Gannot, Audio Source Separation and Speech Enhancement. John Wiley & Sons, Oct. 2018.
- [4] M. Lavandier and J. F. Culling, "Speech segregation in rooms: Monaural, binaural, and interacting effects of reverberation on target and interferer," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 123, no. 4, pp. 2237–2248, Apr. 2008.
- [5] B. D. Van Veen and K. M. Buckley, "Beamforming: a versatile approach to spatial filtering," *IEEE ASSP Magazine*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 4–24, Apr. 1988.
- [6] H. Cox, R. Zeskind, and M. Owen, "Robust adaptive beamforming," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1365–1376, Oct. 1987.
- [7] S. Gannot, E. Vincent, S. Markovich-Golan, and A. Ozerov, "A Consolidated Perspective on Multimicrophone Speech Enhancement and Source Separation," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 692–730, Apr. 2017.

- [8] E. Hadad, S. Doclo, and S. Gannot, "The Binaural LCMV Beamformer and its Performance Analysis," *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 543–558, Mar. 2016.
- [9] N. Gößling, D. Marquardt, I. Merks, T. Zhang, and S. Doclo, "Optimal binaural LCMV beamforming in complex acoustic scenarios: Theoretical and practical insights," in *Proc. International Workshop on Acoustic Signal Enhancement*, Tokyo, Japan, 2018, pp. 381–385.
- [10] G. Reuven, S. Gannot, and I. Cohen, "Dual-Source Transfer-Function Generalized Sidelobe Canceller," *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech,* and Language Processing, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 711–727, May 2008.
- [11] S. Markovich, S. Gannot, and I. Cohen, "Multichannel Eigenspace Beamforming in a Reverberant Noisy Environment With Multiple Interfering Speech Signals," *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1071–1086, Aug. 2009.
- [12] T. Nakatani, T. Yoshioka, K. Kinoshita, M. Miyoshi, and B. Juang, "Speech Dereverberation Based on Variance-Normalized Delayed Linear Prediction," *IEEE Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 1717–1731, Sep. 2010.
- [13] A. Jukić, T. van Waterschoot, T. Gerkmann, and S. Doclo, "Multi-Channel Linear Prediction-Based Speech Dereverberation With Sparse Priors," *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1509–1520, Sep. 2015.
- [14] —, "Group sparsity for MIMO speech dereverberation," in *Proc. IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics*, New Paltz NY, USA, Oct. 2015, pp. 1–5.
- [15] T. Yoshioka, H. Tachibana, T. Nakatani, and M. Miyoshi, "Adaptive dereverberation of speech signals with speaker-position change detection," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, Taipei, Taiwan, Apr. 2009, pp. 3733–3736.
- [16] A. Jukić, T. van Waterschoot, and S. Doclo, "Adaptive Speech Dereverberation Using Constrained Sparse Multichannel Linear Prediction," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 101–105, Jan. 2017.
- [17] M. Delcroix, T. Yoshioka, A. Ogawa, Y. Kubo, M. Fujimoto, N. Ito, K. Kinoshita, M. Espi, S. Araki, T. Hori, and T. Nakatani, "Strategies for distant speech recognition in reverberant environments," *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2015, no. 1, pp. 1–15, Jul. 2015.
- [18] T. Nakatani and K. Kinoshita, "A Unified Convolutional Beamformer for Simultaneous Denoising and Dereverberation," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 903–907, Jun. 2019.
- [19] H. Gode, M. Tammen, and S. Doclo, "Joint multi-channel dereverberation and noise reduction using a unified convolutional beamformer with sparse priors," in *Proc. ITG Conference on Speech Communication*, Kiel, Germany, Sep. 2021, pp. 144–148.
- [20] T. Nakatani and K. Kinoshita, "Simultaneous Denoising and Dereverberation for Low-Latency Applications Using Frame-by-Frame Online Unified Convolutional Beamformer," in *Proc. Interspeech*, Graz, Austria, Sep. 2019, pp. 111–115.
- [21] A. Aroudi, M. Delcroix, T. Nakatani, K. Kinoshita, S. Araki, and S. Doclo, "Cognitive-Driven Convolutional Beamforming Using EEG-Based Auditory Attention Decoding," in *Proc. IEEE International Workshop* on Machine Learning for Signal Processing, Espoo, Finland, Sep. 2020, pp. 1–6.
- [22] Y. Avargel and I. Cohen, "On Multiplicative Transfer Function Approximation in the Short-Time Fourier Transform Domain," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 337–340, May 2007.
- [23] R. Serizel, M. Moonen, B. Van Dijk, and J. Wouters, "Low-rank Approximation Based Multichannel Wiener Filter Algorithms for Noise Reduction with Application in Cochlear Implants," *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 785–799, Apr. 2014.
- [24] T. Nakatani and K. Kinoshita, "Maximum likelihood convolutional beamformer for simultaneous denoising and dereverberation," in *Proc. European Signal Processing Conference*, A Coruña, Spain, Sep. 2019, pp. 1–5.
- [25] Y. Hu and P. C. Loizou, "Evaluation of Objective Quality Measures for Speech Enhancement," *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 229–238, Jan. 2008.
- [26] P. A. Naylor, N. D. Gaubitch, and E. A. P. Habets, "Signal-Based Performance Evaluation of Dereverberation Algorithms," *Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 2010, p. e127513, Jan. 2010.