## Monte Carlo simulations of 2-d spin glasses

Jérôme Houdayer

Service de Physique Théorique CEA / Saclay, France

- Model and motivation
- Simulation techniques
- Results for the ±J model
- Gaussian model, low energy excitations
- Conclusion and outlook

- Model and motivation
- Simulation techniques
- Results for the ±J model
- Gaussian model, low energy excitations
- Conclusion and outlook

## Spin Glasses

Disordered magnetic system with random interactions: ex.:  $Cu_{I-x}Mn_x$  with x ~ 1%

Interactions RKKY:  $J(r) \sim \frac{\cos kr}{r^3}$ 



Frustration:



- Disorder + frustration
   => spin glass phase (Tc~15°K)
- Spins are frozen without apparent order
- Complex structure (many pure states ?)
- Rich dynamics (aging, memory)

#### Edwards-Anderson Model

$$H_J(S) = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} S_i S_j - B \sum_i S_i$$

Spins:  $S_i = \pm 1$ 

Random interactions:

$$\langle J \rangle = 0$$
 and  $\langle J^2 \rangle = 1$ 

typically 
$$J=\pm 1$$
 or  $P(J)\sim \exp(-J^2/2)$ 



## Overlaps

Overlap (=distance) between 2 configs  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$q^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}S^{\alpha}_{i}S^{\beta}_{i}$$

Link overlap:

$$q_l^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{dN} \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} S_i^{\alpha} S_j^{\alpha} S_i^{\beta} S_j^{\beta}$$



$$q = 1 - \frac{2V}{N}$$

 $q_l = 1 - \frac{2S}{dN}$ 

# different spins



### Droplet theory (d>2)

Only 2 pure states in the spin glass phase

Elementary excitations (droplets): compact with E ~  $L^{\theta}$ 

No spin glass phase in a magnetic field

P(q) is trivial



### Mean field theory $(d=\infty)$

Many pure states in the spin glass phase

Elementary excitations: system wide with  $E \sim I$ 

Spin glass phase under the A-T line in a magnetic field

P(q) is non - trivial



## 2-d Spin Glasses

- We can do it ! Ground states, Monte Carlo.
- Critical temperature Tc = 0 ? (Yes)
- Universality ?
- Is d = 2 the lower critical dimension ?
- Behavior of c,  $\xi$ ,  $\chi$ , P(q)... critical exponents ?
- Does the droplet theory apply in 2 d ?
- Nature of the low energy excitations (energy vs. size, fractal surface, scaling laws...).
- Does it say something for the 3-d case ?

- Model and motivation
- Simulation techniques
- Results for the ±J model
- Gaussian model, low energy excitations
- Conclusion and outlook

#### Standard Monte Carlo

Metropolis: choose a spin at random and flip it with probability

$$p = \min\left(1, e^{-\beta \Delta E}\right)$$

Problem : very slow dynamics

### Parallel tempering

Simulate n temperatures in parallel with standard Monte Carlo

Exchange 2 configurations at different temperatures with probability

$$p = \min\left(1, e^{\Delta\beta\Delta E}\right)$$



Allow system to pass energy barriers

#### Cluster moves

Local overlap between 2 configurations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :  $q_i = S_i^{\alpha} S_i^{\beta}$ 

Cluster: connected domain with constant q<sub>i</sub>



Simulate 2 configurations in parallel

Choose a spin i at random, find associated cluster and flip it in both configs (no rejection)

 $\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{i}},\mathsf{q}^{\alpha\beta}$  and E = E^{\alpha} + E^{\beta} unchanged

### Problems with cluster moves

When d > 2, qi defines only 2 clusters (percolation threshold<1/2)

Flipping one cluster = Exchanging the configs

Even at d = 2, it does not equilibrate q and E !

To equilibrate q: simulate more than 2 configs in parallel

Flipping a cluster between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  does not change  $q^{\alpha\beta},$  but does change  $q^{\alpha\gamma}$  and  $q^{\beta\gamma}$ 

To equilibrate E: also use standard Monte Carlo and parallel tempering

### Overview of the cluster algorithm

Simulate in parallel m configs for n temperatures with 3 moves:

Standard Monte Carlo for all m x n configs

Parallel tempering between the n temperatures

Cluster moves between m configs for each temperature



## Efficiency

Orders of magnitude faster (here  $\beta = 10, L = 100$ )



- Model and motivation
- Simulation techniques
- Results for the ±J model
- Gaussian model, low energy excitations
- Conclusion and outlook

#### What we measure

Interactions ±J

Questions: Tc = 0 ? Correlation length  $\xi$  behavior ? Critical exponents

We measure: spin glass susceptibility  $\chi = N \overline{\langle q^2 
angle}$ 

binder cumulant  $g = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 - \frac{\langle q^4 \rangle}{\langle q^2 \rangle} \right)$ 

g is 0 is the paramagnetic phase and 1 in the spin glass phase

g is independent of L at T = Tc => the g curves intersect at T = Tc



 $\xi \sim (T - T_c)^{-\nu}$  ? No !

 $\chi \sim L^{2-\eta} \tilde{\chi}(TL^{1/\nu})$ 

 $g \sim \tilde{g}(TL^{1/\nu})$ 



 $\xi \sim e^{2\beta}$  ?Yes !

 $\chi \sim L^{2-\eta} \tilde{\chi} (2\beta - \ln L)$ 

 $g \sim \tilde{g}(2\beta - \ln L)$ 





- Model and motivation
- Simulation techniques
- Results for the ±J model
- Gaussian model, low energy excitations
- Conclusion and outlook

## Finding low energy excitations

We can compute the ground state

We have low temperature equilibrated configurations

Let's compare them !



Each connected cluster boundary defines an elementary excitation

## Using excitations as MC moves

During equilibration, build the list of all excitations under a given energy

Choose an excitation at random in the list and flip it with Metropolis probability

Efficiency increases as the temperature decreases !

Used with the cluster algorithm it allows to go to extremely low temperatures:  $\beta = 50$  for L = 100

Does not work with ±J interactions: too many 0 energy excitations

- Model and motivation
- Simulation techniques
- Results for the ±J model
- Gaussian model, low energy excitations
- Conclusion and outlook

### Conclusions and outlook

- Algorithms
  - A cluster algorithm for 2-d spin glasses
  - Use of ground state to find excitations
  - Use of excitations as Monte Carlo moves
- Results for ±J model
  - Tc = 0
  - $\xi \sim e^{2\beta}$
- Work in progress on the Gaussian model with A. Hartmann
  - Critical exponents
  - Low energy excitations

## The End