Energielandschaften, Irrwege und der Glasübergang

Andreas Heuer

Institut für Physikalische Chemie

Münster

Supercooled liquids and glasses



Theoretical understanding of the glass transition



Some model considerations

Generally accepted: relaxation processes localized

Dynamics of large system: Relaxation process (CRR) + coupling

Identification of elementary system and coupling?

Models:

```
Faciliated spin models
(Fredrickson, Garrahan, Berthier, Chandler, ...)
↓ ↑ ↓ ↓
↓ ↑ ↓ ↓ coupling is everything
↓ ↑ ↓ ↓
```

Random first order transition theory (Wolynes, ...)



coupling is perturbation

Goal: Simulations => CRR + coupling

Outline

• Discretization of the dynamics

Potential energy landscape

- Dynamics and CTRW
- From the elementary to the macroscopic system

Model systems:

- BKS-silica: pair potential (N=99) (van Beest, Kramer, van Santen)
- Lennard-Jones system (binary) (N=65) (Stillinger and Weber, Kob and Andersen)

Energy landscape



Low temperatures: physical properties governed by minima (statistics, topology)

Challenges:

- Exponential number of minima: log A = aN + b log(N)+c +O(log N/N) (analytical exact solution achieved for hard-core model)
- 3N-dimensional configuration space; complex topology

A.H. JPCM(2008)

Exploration of minima for silica

MD simulation + regular quenching







Density of states



A. Saksaengwijit, J. Reinisch, A.H., PRL (2004)

Lennard-Jones:

Gaussian distribution without cutoff (no network constraints)

S. Büchner, A.H., PRL (2000)

Coarse-graining of dynamics



Advantage of metabasins (MBs):

- less forward-backward correlations
- Identification of relevant energies





Energy-dependent waiting times



Waiting times from MB



Broad waiting time distribution => Intermittent behavior

Qualitative picture



Entropic contributions



Dramatic increase of attempt frequency $\tau_0(\epsilon)^{-1}$ (4 orders of magnitude)

Possible explanation: Entropic prefactor $G(\varepsilon_{cross})/G(\varepsilon)$

Quantitative approach



Effect of cutoff

Why does silica display Arrhenius behavior for T < 3000 K?

$$p(\varepsilon,T) \downarrow D(T) \propto \int d\varepsilon \, \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_c) \frac{1}{\langle \tau(\varepsilon,T) \rangle} = \frac{1}{\langle \tau(\varepsilon_c,T) \rangle} = \tau_0^{-1}(\varepsilon_c) \exp(-\beta V(\varepsilon_c))$$

Silica is strong because of

- presence of low-energy cutoff of PEL
- Arrhenius behavior of $\langle \tau(\epsilon_c, T) \rangle$ (Activation energy $V_{diff} \approx V(\epsilon_c)$)

A. Saksaengwijit, J. Reinisch, A.H., PRL (2004); A. Saksaengwijit, A.H., PRE (2006)

Relaxation in supercooled liquids

Complex dynamics of supercooled liquids

- (C1) Spatial and temporal properties are independent (\odot)
- (C2) Subsequent waiting times are independent ((a) A.H., O. Rubner (PRE, 2008)
- (C3) Subsequent transitions are independent: $p_2(x) = \int dy p_1(y) p_1(x-y)$

Continuous-time random walk (CTRW): a^2 , $\phi(\lambda) => S(q,\lambda)$ (Montroll, Weiss)

CTRW: condition (C3)

Comparison $p_2(x)$ vs. $\int dy p_1(y) p_1(x-y)$

Properties of S(q,t)

 $S(q,\lambda) \implies S(q,t)$ in general not possible analytically

Define:
$$\tau_0 = \int dt S(q,t)$$
 \cong $\tau(q)$
 $\beta_m = \frac{\tau_0^2}{\int dt \ t S(q,t)} \cong \beta(q)$

Introduce:

$$V = \frac{\left\langle \tau^2 \right\rangle_{\phi}}{2 \left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{\phi}^2} - 1$$

$$T = \frac{\left\langle \tau^{3} \right\rangle_{\varphi}}{6 \left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{\varphi}} - \frac{\left\langle \tau^{2} \right\rangle_{\varphi}^{2}}{4 \left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{\varphi}^{2}}$$

Result:
$$\tau_{0}(q) = \langle \tau \rangle_{\phi} \left[V + \frac{2}{q^{2}a^{2}} \right]$$
(Berthier, Garrahan et al)
$$\beta_{m}(q) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{T}{\tau_{0}(q)^{2}}}$$

Comparison with numerical data

T=0.5

=> Good agreement

A.H., O. Rubner (PRE, 2008)

Waiting times from real space analysis

Determination of waiting times

- Configuration space (Metabasins): τ_{MB}

(Vollmayr, Hedges, ...)

Finite-size effects

But:

- D $\tau_{\alpha} \propto \tau_{\alpha}{}^{a}$ with a = 2/3 (exp.: a \approx 0.25)
- Significant finite-size effects for τ_{α} (Fabricius et al, Sastry et al)
- No growing length scales

Size dependence

Introduction of coupling

Large N: CTRW description only possible for $\phi(\tau_{\text{local}})$

Underlying reason: S(q,t) is a single-particle observable

Relaxation processes

CTRW prediction: $\tau_0(q) = 3a^2 D \langle \tau^2 \rangle_{\varphi} + \frac{1}{3Dq^2}$

(valid for
$$q < 8$$
)

Finite-size effects revisited

- Significant finite-size effects for structural relaxation, weak effects for diffusivity
- No general increase of mobility

Finite-size effects for w.t.d.

- Major finite-size effects (up to N=520 for T=0.5)
- Second moment strongly N-dependent
- First moment only weakly N-dependent (otherwise D would be strongly N-dependent)

- Theoretical expectation $\tau_{\alpha} \propto$ D<\tau^2>_{_{0}} fulfilled

From finite-size effects to coupling

Conditions:

- < τ > and thermodynamics basically N-independent
- elementary system: approx. 30 particles
- < τ^2 > strongly N-dependent

Active & passive processes =>

- narrowing of waiting time distribution
- identical first moment
- thermodynamics not modified

A.H., J. Phys. Cond. Mat. (2008)

Consequences of coupling

- Finite-size effects Strong fluctuation limit: $\Gamma => <\Gamma>$
 - $D_{CRR} \propto 1/\langle \tau \rangle \propto \langle \Gamma \rangle$ => $D \propto \langle \langle \Gamma \rangle \rangle = \langle \Gamma \rangle = D_{CRR}$ => no finite-size effects

 $\tau_{\alpha,\text{CRR}} \propto \mathsf{D} < \tau^2 > \propto < \Gamma^{-1} > \quad = > \quad \tau_{\alpha,} \propto < < \Gamma^{-1} > = < \Gamma^{-1} < < \tau_{\alpha,\text{CRR}}$

=> finite-size effects

• Violation of Stokes-Einstein relation D $\tau_{\alpha} \propto \tau_{\alpha}^{a}$ with a=0.33

• Emergence of dynamic length scales

Comparison with facilitation model

Agreement:

- Coupling relevant to explain several key observations (Stokes-Einstein violation; dynamic length scales)
- Clustering of mobile regions
- Diffusion constant not dependent on nature of coupling (FA-model)

Disagreement:

- For BMLJ the minimum system (corresponding to 2-3 spins) already contains important information, e.g., about diffusivity and thermodynamics
- Spontaneous (untriggered) relaxation processes possible

Summary

Acknowledgement

Stephan Büchner

Burkhard Doliwa

Michael Vogel

Aimorn Saksaengwijit

Oliver Rubner

Christian Rehwald

