

Data driven partition-of-unity copulas with applications to risk management

CEQURA Conference on

Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management

Sept. 25 - 26, 2017, Munich

Dietmar Pfeifer¹ Andreas Mändle¹

Olena Ragulina²

 ¹ University of Oldenburg, Dept. of Mathematics, Germany
 ² Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Department of Probability Theory, Statistics and Actuarial Mathematics, Ukraine



Agenda

- 1. Introduction & formal framework
- 2. Construction from given data
- 3. Case studies
- 4. Extension to arbitrary dimensions
- 5. Bibliography / References



Motivation:

- Infinite partition-of-unity copulas recently introduced in Pfeifer et al. (2016)
- Construction of new multivariate copulas on the basis of a generalized infinite partition-of-unity approach (extendable to the uncountable infinite case)
- > Construction allows for tail-dependence as well as for asymmetry
- > Can be easily implemented for risk management purposes
- > Particular interest: how to fit such copulas to highly asymmetric data?

2



Formal framework:

Let $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$ and suppose that $\{\varphi_i(u)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ and $\{\psi_j(v)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ are non-negative maps defined on (0, 1) such that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_i(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(v) = 1$$
(1)

$$\alpha_i := \int_0^1 \varphi_i(u) \, du > 0, \quad \beta_j := \int_0^1 \psi_j(v) \, dv > 0, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$
 (2)

► $\{\varphi_i(u)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$ and $\{\psi_j(v)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$ can be thought of representing discrete distributions over \mathbb{Z}^+ with parameters u and v, resp.

▶ The sequences $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ represent the probabilities of the corresponding mixed distributions.



Formal framework:

Let $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$ represent the probabilities of an arbitrary discrete bivariate distribution over $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with marginal distributions given by

$$p_{i\bullet} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{ij} = \alpha_i \text{ and } p_{\bullet j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{ij} = \beta_j \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$
 (3)

Then

$$c(u,v) \coloneqq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{ij} \frac{\varphi_i(u)}{\alpha_i} \frac{\psi_j(v)}{\beta_j}, \ u,v \in (0,1)$$
(4)

defines the density of a bivariate copula, called (infinite) partition-ofunity copula.



Formal framework:

From a "dual" point of view, we can rewrite (4) as

$$c(u,v) \coloneqq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{ij} \frac{\varphi_i(u)}{\alpha_i} \frac{\psi_j(v)}{\beta_j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{ij} f_i(u) g_j(v), \ u,v \in (0,1)$$
(5)

where

$$f_i(\bullet) = \frac{\varphi_i(\bullet)}{\alpha_i}$$
 and $g_j(\bullet) = \frac{\psi_j(\bullet)}{\beta_j}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (6)

denote the densities induced by $\{\varphi_i(u)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$ and $\{\psi_j(v)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$. This means that the copula density c(u,v) can also be seen as a mixture of product densities.



Formal framework:

Example 1 (Binomial distributions – Bernstein copula):

For fixed integers $a, b \ge 2$, consider the family of binomial distributions given by their point masses

$$\varphi_{a,i}(u) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} a-1\\i \end{pmatrix} u^i (1-u)^{a-1-i}, & i=0,\cdots,a-1\\ 0, & i \ge a \end{cases}$$
(7)

and $\psi_{b,j}(v) = \varphi_{b,j}(v)$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $(u, v) \in (0, 1)$.

We have



Formal framework:

Example 1 (Binomial distributions – Bernstein copula):

$$\alpha_{a,i} = \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{a,i}(u) \, du = \frac{1}{a}, \qquad \beta_{b,j} = \int_{0}^{1} \psi_{b,j}(v) \, dv = \frac{1}{b}, \tag{8}$$

 $f_{a,i}$ and $g_{b,j}$ are densities of a beta distribution with parameters (i, a+1-i) and (j, b+1-j) resp., $p_{i\bullet} = \frac{1}{a}$ and $p_{\bullet j} = \frac{1}{b}$, so

$$c_{a,b}(u,v) = ab \sum_{i=0}^{a} \sum_{j=0}^{b} p_{ij} \binom{a-1}{i} \binom{b-1}{j} u^{i-1} (1-u)^{a-i} v^{j-1} (1-v)^{b-j}, \ u,v \in (0,1)$$
(9)

which is the density of a bivariate Bernstein copula.



Formal framework:

Example 2 (Negative binomial distributions):

For fixed integers $a, b \ge 2$, consider the family of negative binomial distributions given by their point masses

$$\varphi_{a,i}(u) = {a+i-1 \choose i} u^i (1-u)^a, \qquad (10)$$

and $\psi_{b,j}(v) = \varphi_{b,j}(v)$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $(u, v) \in (0, 1)$.

We have



Formal framework:

Example 2 (Negative binomial distributions):

$$\alpha_{a,i} = \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{a,i}(u) du = \frac{a}{(a+i)(a+i+1)}, \ \beta_{b,j} = \frac{b}{(b+j)(b+j+1)},$$
(11)

 $f_{a,i}$ and $g_{b,j}$ are densities of a beta distribution with parameters (i+1,a+1) and (j+1,b+1), $p_{i\bullet} = \frac{a}{(a+i)(a+1+i)}$, $p_{\bullet j} = \frac{b}{(b+j)(b+1+j)}$, so

$$c_{a,b}(u,v) = (a+1)(b+1)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}p_{ij}\binom{a+i+1}{i}\binom{b+j+1}{j}u^{i}(1-u)^{a}v^{j}(1-v)^{b}, u, v \in (0,1).$$
(12)

9



Formal framework:

Example 2 (Negative binomial distributions):

Negative binomial copulas typically show a tail dependence:

β	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\lambda_{U}(\beta)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	5 8	<u>11</u> 16	93 128	193 256	793 1024	1619 2048	26333 32768	53381 65536	215955 262144

with
$$\lambda_U(\beta) = \lim_{t \downarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{t=t}^{1} \int\limits_{t=t}^{1} c_\beta(u,v) du dv}{1-t} = \frac{2\Gamma(2\beta)}{\Gamma^2(\beta)} \cdot \int\limits_{0}^{1} \int\limits_{0}^{1} \frac{x^\beta y^\beta}{(x+y)^{2\beta+1}} dx dy = 1 - \frac{\binom{2\beta}{\beta}}{4^\beta} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\beta}}$$

for large β .



Formal framework:

Example 3 (Poisson distributions):

For fixed a, b > 0 consider the family of Poisson distributions given by their point masses

$$\varphi_{a,i}(u) = (1-u)^a \frac{a^i L(u)^i}{i!},$$
 (13)

 $L(u) \coloneqq -\ln(1-u), \ \psi_{b,j}(v) = \varphi_{b,j}(v), \ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \ (u,v) \in (0,1).$

We have



Formal framework:

Example 3 (Poisson distributions):

$$\alpha_{a,i} = \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{a,i}(u) \, du = \left(\frac{a}{a+1}\right)^{i} \left(1 - \frac{a}{a+1}\right), \ \beta_{b,j} = \left(\frac{b}{b+1}\right)^{j} \left(1 - \frac{b}{b+1}\right)$$
(14)

which correspond to geometric distributions over \mathbb{Z}^+ with means *a* and *b*,

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i\bullet} = \left(\frac{a}{a+1}\right)^{i} \left(1 - \frac{a}{a+1}\right) = \frac{a^{i}}{(a+1)^{i+1}}, \ \boldsymbol{p}_{\bullet j} = \frac{b^{j}}{(b+1)^{j+1}}, \ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+},$$
(15)

$$c_{a,b}(u,v) = (a+1)(b+1)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}p_{ij}\frac{(a+1)^{i}(b+1)^{j}}{i!j!}L^{i}(u)(1-u)^{a}L^{j}(v)(1-v)^{b}, \ u,v \in (0,1).$$
(16)



Formal framework:



Bernstein copula, m = 3;Negative binomial copula, $\beta = 3$;Poisson copula, $\gamma = 5$ no tail dependence $\lambda_{u}(\beta) = 0.6875$ no tail dependence



Formal framework:

Remark: Sklar's theorem provides a general method to construct pairs of discrete r.v.'s (X,Y) with joint probabilities $p_{ij} = P(X = i, Y = j)$ and marginal probabilities $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$:

Assume quantile functions Q_X, Q_Y of X, Y and a pair of rv's (U, V) with a given copula \tilde{C} . Then $(X, Y) = (Q_X(U), Q_Y(V))$ has joint probabilities

$$p_{ij} = P(X = i, Y = j) = P\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k < U \le \sum_{k=0}^{i} \alpha_k, \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \beta_k < V \le \sum_{k=0}^{j} \beta_k\right)$$

= $\tilde{C}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i} \alpha_k, \sum_{k=0}^{j} \beta_k\right) + \tilde{C}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k, \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \beta_k\right) - \tilde{C}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_k, \sum_{k=0}^{j} \beta_k\right) - \tilde{C}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i} \alpha_k, \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \beta_k\right).$ (17)

Formal framework:

Idea: use appropriate continuous extensions \tilde{C} of the empirical copula for modeling the $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,i\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$ (cf. Bernstein approach).

Lemma 1: Let (U,V) be a pair of rv's with given copula \tilde{C} . Then the (X,Y) with $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$ as joint probabilities from Examples 1, 2 and 3 can be constructed as follows (note: $[z] = \min\{x \in \mathbb{R} | x \ge z\}, [z] = \max\{x \in \mathbb{R} | x \le z\}$):

Example 1:
$$X = [aU], Y = [bV],$$

Example 2: $X = \left\lfloor \frac{aU}{1-U} \right\rfloor, Y = \left\lfloor \frac{bV}{1-V} \right\rfloor,$
Example 3: $X = \left\lfloor \frac{-\ln(1-U)}{\ln(a+1) - \ln a} \right\rfloor, Y = \left\lfloor \frac{-\ln(1-V)}{\ln(b+1) - \ln b} \right\rfloor.$



Assumptions:

- > rv's (X_i, Y_i) , i = 1, ..., n iid pairs with pairwise copula C
- continuous marginal distributions (no ties!)
- > $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{X}} = (R_{11}, \dots, R_{1n})^T$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{Y}} = (R_{21}, \dots, R_{2n})^T$ being the ranks of the vectors $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, resp.

The empirical copula is usually identified with the point set of relative ranks, i.e. $\left\{ \left(\frac{r_{11}}{n+1}, \frac{r_{21}}{n+1} \right), \cdots, \left(\frac{r_{1n}}{n+1}, \frac{r_{2n}}{n+1} \right) \right\}$.

For the construction of appropriate $\left\{ \boldsymbol{p}_{ij}
ight\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ we need . . .

OLDENBURG

Lemma 2: Let C_1, \dots, C_n be arbitrary bivariate copulas with densities c_1, \dots, c_n and (U_i, V_i) independent random vectors with the copula C_i for each pair (U_i, V_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let further $\mathbf{r}_1 = (r_{11}, \dots, r_{1n})^T$ and $\mathbf{r}_2 = (r_{21}, \dots, r_{2n})^T$ be arbitrary permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, n)^T$ and the random variable *I* follow a discrete uniform distribution over the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, independent of the (U_i, V_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the random vector (U, V) defined by

$$U := \frac{r_{1} - 1 + U_{1}}{n}, \ V := \frac{r_{2} - 1 + V_{1}}{n}$$
(18)

has continuous marginal uniform distributions over (0,1) and density

$$c(u,v) = n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\left[\frac{r_{1k}-1}{n}, \frac{r_{1k}}{n}\right]}(u) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\left[\frac{r_{2k}-1}{n}, \frac{r_{2k}}{n}\right]}(v) \cdot c_{k} \left(nu - r_{1k} + 1, nv - r_{2k} + 1\right), \ u,v \in (0,1).$$
(19)

To obtain a realization of (U,V) first select a pair (r_{1i}, r_{2i}) from the set of all permutation pairs by a discrete uniform distribution over $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and then draw a sample from C_i rescaled to the interval $\left(\frac{r_{1i}-1}{n}, \frac{r_{1i}}{n}\right] \times \left(\frac{r_{2i}-1}{n}, \frac{r_{2i}}{n}\right)$.

This corresponds to a particular patchwork copula construction, see e.g. Durante et al. (2013).

The following graphs show different realizations of such a construction for n = 10 and $\mathbf{r}_1 = (3, 1, 4, 2, 8, 6, 5, 7, 9, 10)^T$ and $\mathbf{r}_2 = (8, 5, 7, 2, 4, 6, 1, 3, 9, 10)^T$, with local Gaussian copulas for given fixed pairwise correlation ρ :

CEQURA Conference on Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management, Munich, Sept. 25 – 26, 2017

2. Construction from given data

UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG



 $\rho = 0.75$ $\rho = 0.90$ $\rho = -0.75$ $\rho = -0.90$



Models of particular interest:

For the rook copula see Cottin and Pfeifer (2014); for the so-called shuffes of M (Fréchet shuffles) see e.g. Nelsen (2007), chapter 3.2.3.



rook copula

upper Fréchet shuffle lower Frécht shuffle



OLDENBURG

- Data set treated in Cottin and Pfeifer (2014), Example 4.2 and Pfeifer et al. (2016), Section 4.
- Effects of the kind of dependence modeling (w/ or w/o upper tail dependence) on the V@R for the aggregated portfolio with various risk levels; similarly to Maciag et al. (2016)

CEQURA Conference on Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management, Munich, Sept. 25 – 26, 2017

3. Case studies





scatterplot of original data

scatterplot of ranks

CEQURA Conference on Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management, Munich, Sept. 25 – 26, 2017

3. Case studies

5,000 simulated pairs of the data-driven copulas and empirical copula (large points):



upper Fréchet shuffle

rook copula

lower Fréchet shuffle

binomial copula, a = 22, b = 27

CEQURA Conference on Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management, Munich, Sept. 25 – 26, 2017

3. Case studies

5,000 simulated pairs of the data-driven copulas and empirical copula (large points):



upper Fréchet shuffle

rook copula

lower Fréchet shuffle

negative binomial copula, a = 17, b = 22

CEQURA Conference on Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management, Munich, Sept. 25 – 26, 2017

3. Case studies

5,000 simulated pairs of the data-driven copulas and empirical copula (large points):



upper Fréchet shuffle

rook copula

lower Fréchet shuffle

Poisson copula, a = 17, b = 22



$Q^{(u)}$ based on the largest 100,000 observations from a total of 10^{6} simulations:



empirical quantile functions $Q^{(u)}$, upper Fréchet shuffle

CEQURA Conference on Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management, Munich, Sept. 25 – 26, 2017

3. Case studies

$Q^{(u)}$ based on the largest 100,000 observations from a total of 10^{6} simulations:



empirical quantile functions $Q^{\wedge}(u)$, negative binomial copula

27

CEQURA Conference on Advances in Financial and Insurance Risk Management, Munich, Sept. 25 – 26, 2017

3. Case studies

$Q^{(u)}$ based on the largest 100,000 observations from a total of 10^{6} simulations:



empirical quantile functions $Q^{\wedge}(u)$, Poisson copula



universität OLDENBURG

The position of the pairs (i, j) for which the p_{ij} are positive follows the graph of rank vectors (empirical copula) very closely:



binomial copula, a = 22, b = 27



OLDENBURG

universitä

The position of the pairs (i, j) for which the p_{ij} are positive follows the graph of rank vectors (empirical copula) very closely:





OLDENBURG

The position of the pairs (i, j) for which the p_{ij} are positive follows the graph of rank vectors (empirical copula) very closely:





OLDENBURG

universitä

Pairs (i, j) for the negative binomial rook copula with a = 17, b = 22 (detail: lower left part) and corresponding values of the p_{ii} :





4. Extension to arbitrary dimensions

Assumptions:

▶
$$\{\varphi_{ki}(u)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$$
 for $k = 1, \ldots, d$ discrete probabilities with

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{ki}(u) = 1 \text{ for } u \in (0,1)$$
(20)

$$\varphi_{ki}(u)du = \alpha_{ki} > 0 \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$
 (21)

$$P(\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{i}) = p_{\mathbf{i}}, \ \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+d}.$$
(22)

marginal distributions with

$$P(Z_k = i) = \alpha_{ki}, \ i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \ k = 1, \cdots, d.$$
(23)



4. Extension to arbitrary dimensions

Then

$$\boldsymbol{c}(\mathbf{u}) \coloneqq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+d}} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k,i_{k}}} \prod_{k=1}^{d} \varphi_{k,i_{k}}(\boldsymbol{u}_{k}), \ \mathbf{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{u}_{d}) \in (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})^{d}$$
(24)

defines the density of a *d*-variate copula, which is again called *generalized partition-of-unity copula*. Alternatively, we can rewrite (24) again as

$$c(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+d}} p_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{d} f_{k,i_{k}}(u_{k}), \ \mathbf{u} = (u_{1}, \cdots, u_{d}) \in (0,1)^{d}$$
(25)

where the $f_{ki}(\cdot) = \frac{\varphi_{ki}(\cdot)}{\alpha_{ki}}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $k = 1, \dots, d$ denote the Lebesgue densities induced by the $\{\varphi_{ki}(u)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$.

5. Bibliography / References

C. Cottin and D. Pfeifer (2014): From Bernstein polynomials to Bernstein copulas. J. Appl. Funct. Anal, 9(3-4):277-288.

F. Durante, J. Fernández-Sánchez, and C. Sempi (2013): *Multivariate patchwork copulas: A unified approach with applications to partial comonotonicity*. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 53(3):897 -905.

J. Maciag, F. Hesse, R. Boeve, and A. Pfingsten (2014): Comparing risk measures when aggregating market risk and credit risk using different copulas. J. Risk, 18(5):101-136.

R. B. Nelsen (2007). An Introduction to Copulas. 2nd Ed., Springer, N.Y.

D. Pfeifer, H.A. Tsatedem, A. Mändle, and C. Girschig (2016): New copulas based on general partitions-of-unity and their applications to risk management. Depend. Model. 4, 123–140.