### On a new class of distributions

Dietmar Pfeifer Institut für Mathematik Fakultät V Carl-von-Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg

July 2025

# Key words: quantile functions 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 062H05

A good classical source for continuous univariate distributions is [1] and [2]. Here, in a first step, we consider continuous univariate distributions with quantile functions given by

$$Q(u;\mathbf{p}) := \frac{a}{(1-u)^b} - \frac{c}{u^d} + e \text{ for } 0 < u < 1; \ a, c > 0, \ 0 < b, d < 1, \ e \in \mathbb{R},$$

denoting  $\mathbf{p} = (a, b, c, d, e)$ .



examples of quantile functions for different parameter values

The advantage of these distributions is their easy simulation with standard uniform random numbers and the fact that moments – also for order statistics – can be easily calculated.

In particular, we have, for independent random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , distributed as X with the above quantile function:

$$E(X;\mathbf{p}) = \int_{0}^{1} Q(u;\mathbf{p}) \, du = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{a}{(1-u)^{b}} - \frac{c}{u^{d}} + e \, du = \frac{a}{1-b} - \frac{c}{1-d} + e$$

D. Pfeifer (🖂)

Institut für Mathematik, Schwerpunkt Versicherungs- und Finanzmathematik, Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, Oldenburg, Deutschland

E-Mail: dietmar.pfeifer@uni-oldenburg.de

$$E(X^{2};\mathbf{p}) = \int_{0}^{1} Q^{2}(u;\mathbf{p}) du = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{a}{(1-u)^{b}} - \frac{c}{u^{d}} + e\right)^{2} du$$
  
$$= \frac{a^{2}}{1-2b} + \frac{c^{2}}{1-2d} + \frac{2ae}{1-b} - \frac{2ce}{1-d} - 2ac \frac{\Gamma(1-b)\Gamma(1-d)}{\Gamma(2-b-d)} + e^{2}$$
  
$$E(X_{k:n};\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\text{Beta}(k,n+1-k)} \int_{0}^{1} Q(u,a,b,c) u^{k-1} (1-u)^{n-k} du$$
  
$$= \Gamma(n+1) \cdot \left[a \frac{\Gamma(n+1-b-k)}{\Gamma(n+1-k)\Gamma(n+1-b)} - c \frac{\Gamma(k-d)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(n+1-d)}\right] + e^{2}$$

for  $1 \le k \le n$ .

Unfortunately, the corresponding distribution function  $F(x;\mathbf{p})$  cannot be explicitly calculated in most cases. Here is a result for a special case:



It is, however, in general possible to find an implicit relation for the density  $f(x;\mathbf{p})$  by the chain rule of differentiation: from the obvious relation  $F(Q(u;\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p})=u$ , 0 < u < 1 we get

$$f(Q(u;\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u} Q(u,\mathbf{p}) = 1 \text{ and hence}$$
$$f(Q(u;\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} Q(u,\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p}\right)}, \quad 0 < u < 1 \text{ with } \frac{\partial}{\partial u} Q(u,\mathbf{p}) = \frac{ab}{(1-u)^{b+1}} + \frac{cd}{u^{d+1}}.$$

The following graphs show two plots for this relationship. The red line is the normal density with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ .



Likewise, we find the following asymptotic relations:

$$F(x;\mathbf{p}) \sim \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\frac{a}{x+c-e}\right)^{1/b}, & x \to \infty \\ \\ \left(\frac{c}{a+e-x}\right)^{1/d}, & x \to -\infty. \end{cases}$$

This implies that the normalized upper extremes (maxima) are attracted to a Fréchet distribution with parameter  $\alpha = \frac{1}{b}$ ; likewise the normalized lower extremes (minima) are attracted to a Weibull distribution with parameter  $\alpha = \frac{1}{d}$ .

In a second step, we consider

 $Q(u;\mathbf{p}) := a \ln(u) - b \ln(1-u) + c$  for  $0 < u < 1; a, b > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

denoting  $\mathbf{p} = (a, b, c)$ .



examples of quantile functions for different parameter values

We need the following Lemmata:

Lemma 1. We have  $\int_{0}^{1} u^{m} \ln(u) du = -\frac{1}{(m+1)^{2}}$  for all m > -1.

Proof: 
$$\int_{0}^{1} u^{m} \ln(u) du = -\int_{0}^{\infty} v \cdot e^{-(m+1)v} dv = -\frac{1}{(m+1)^{2}}.$$

Lemma 2. We have, for integer n, k,

$$u^{k-1}(1-u)^{n-k} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} (-1)^j u^{k+j-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} (-1)^j (1-u)^{n+j-k} \text{ for } 0 \le u \le 1, \ 0 \le k \le n.$$

Proof. The first relation follows from the binomial expansion  $(1-u)^m = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j u^j$  for  $0 \le u \le 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The second relation follows likewise from  $u^m = (1-(1-u))^m$ .

From the above, we can conclude:

$$E(X;\mathbf{p}) = \int_{0}^{1} Q(u;\mathbf{p}) du = \int_{0}^{1} a \ln(u) - b \ln(1-u) + c \, du = -a + b + c$$

$$E(X^{2};\mathbf{p}) = \int_{0}^{1} Q^{2}(u;\mathbf{p}) du = \int_{0}^{1} (a \ln(u) - b \ln(1-u) + c)^{2} \, du$$

$$= 2a^{2} + 2b^{2} + c^{2} + ab \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} - 4\right) + 2c(b - a)$$

$$Var(X;\mathbf{p}) = a^{2} + b^{2} + ab \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} - 2\right) \approx a^{2} + b^{2} + 1,2899 \, ab$$

$$E(e^{tX};\mathbf{p}) = \int_{0}^{1} \exp\left(t \cdot Q(u;\mathbf{p})\right) du = e^{ct} \int_{0}^{1} u^{at} (1-u)^{-bt} \, du = e^{ct} \operatorname{Beta}(1+at,1-bt), \ -\frac{1}{a} < t < \frac{1}{b}$$

$$E(X_{kn};\mathbf{p}) = k \cdot {n \choose k} \left[ -a \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} {n-k \choose j} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{(k+j)^{2}} + b \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {k-1 \choose j} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{(n+1+j-k)^{2}} \right] + c \quad \text{for } 1 \le k \le n.$$

Proof:  $E(X_{k:n};\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\text{Beta}(k,n+1-k)} \int_{0}^{1} Q(u,a,b,c) u^{k-1} (1-u)^{n-k} du$ with

$$\int_{0}^{1} Q(u,a,b,c) u^{k-1} (1-u)^{n-k} du = e + a \int_{0}^{1} \ln(u) u^{k-1} (1-u)^{n-k} du - b \int_{0}^{1} \ln(1-u) u^{k-1} (1-u)^{n-k} du$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{1} \ln(u)u^{k-1}(1-u)^{n-k} du = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} (-1)^{j} \int_{0}^{1} \ln(u)u^{k+j-1} du = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{(k+j)^{2}},$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \ln(1-u)u^{k-1}(1-u)^{n-k} du = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} (-1)^{j} \int_{0}^{1} \ln(1-u)(1-u)^{n+j-k} du = -\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{(n+1+j-k)^{2}}.$$

Note that Beta $(k, n+1-k) = \frac{1}{k \binom{n}{k}}$ .

Unfortunately, as above, the corresponding distribution function  $F(x;\mathbf{p})$  cannot be explicitly calculated in most cases. In the special case a = b, however, we obtain a logistic distribution. For a = 2b, we get

$$F(x;\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4z(x)}{\sqrt{z^2(x) + 4z(x)} + z(x)} \text{ with } z(x) = \exp\left(\frac{x-c}{b}\right).$$

It is, however, in general possible to find an implicit relation for the density  $f(x;\mathbf{p})$  by the chain rule of differentiation, as above: from the obvious relation  $F(Q(u;\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p}) = u$ , 0 < u < 1 we get  $f(Q(u;\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u} Q(u,\mathbf{p}) = 1$  and hence  $f(Q(u;\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}Q(u,\mathbf{p});\mathbf{p}\right)}, \quad 0 < u < 1$  with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}Q(u,\mathbf{p}) = \frac{a}{u} + \frac{b}{1-u}$ .

The following graphs show two plots for this relationship. The red line is the normal density with identical mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ .



**Examples.** In [4], risk data sets originating from [3] were analyzed by means of Bernstein polynomials for empirically transformed quantile functions. Here we will compare this approach with our suggestions above. We first present the data set from [3] concerning 20 years of insured storm losses (in Mio.  $\in$ ):

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0.468	9.951	0.866	6.731	1.421	2.040	2.967	1.200	0.426	1.946
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
0.676	1.184	0.960	1.972	1.549	0.819	0.063	1.280	0.824	0.227

#### storm losses

The next data set concerns 20 years of insured flooding losses (in (Mio. €):

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0.966	2.679	0.897	2.249	0.956	1.141	1.707	1.008	1.065	1.162
	40	40		45	40	47	40	40	
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
0.918	1.336	0.933	1.077	1.041	0.899	0.710	1.118	0.894	0.837

## flooding losses

The following graphs show the manually performed adjustments of the data to the new class of quantile functions (coloured in blue):



storm losses with adjusted quantile functions of type 1, with a = 0.4, b = 0.9, c = 2, d = 0.1, e = 2.5



flooding losses with adjusted quantile functions of type 1, with a = 0.3, b = 0.5, c = 0.83, d = 0.1, e = 1.5



storm losses with adjusted quantile functions of type 2, with a = 0.005, b = 2, c = 0



flooding losses with adjusted quantile functions of type 2, with a = 0.05, b = 0.35, c = 0.85

Seemingly, the quantile functions of type 1 fit a little better to the data than those of type 2. with our approach, we obtain the following estimates for the risk measure  $VaR_{\alpha}$  for a risk level of  $\alpha = 0.005$ , compared to the estimates in [4]:

	storm losses, type 1	storm losses, type 2	flooding losses, type 1	flooding losses, type 2	
new approach	47.595	10.597	4.912	2.704	
[4]	24.558		4.770		

## References

- [1] Johnson, N.L., Kotz. S. and Balakrishnan. S. (1994): Continuous Univariate Distributions. Volume 1. Second Edition. Wiley. N.Y.
- [2] Johnson, N.L., Kotz. S. and Balakrishnan. S. (1995): Continuous Univariate Distributions. Volume 2. Second Edition. Wiley. N.Y.
- [3] Cottin. C. and Pfeifer. D. (2014): From Bernstein polynomials to Bernstein copulas. J. Applied Functional Analysis, vol. 9. 277 288
- [4] Pfeifer. D. (2022): A note on the estimation and simulation of distributions with Bernstein polynomials. Journal of Risk and Financial Studies Vol. 3. No. 1. 81 86.