# On the $L^2$ -Stokes theorem and Hodge theory for singular algebraic varieties

Daniel Grieser and Matthias Lesch

February 1, 2008

#### Abstract

For a projective algebraic variety V with isolated singularities, endowed with a metric induced from an embedding, we consider the analysis of the natural partial differential operators on the regular part of V. We show that, in the complex case, the Laplacians of the de Rham and Dolbeault complexes are discrete operators except possibly in degrees  $n, n \pm 1$ , where n is the complex dimension of V. We also prove a Hodge theorem on the operator level and the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem outside the degrees n - 1, n. We show that the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem may fail to hold in the case of real algebraic varieties, and also discuss the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem on more general non-compact spaces.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58A (32S)

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The $L^2$ -Stokes theorem	4
3	Complex algebraic varieties	12
R	References	

# 1 Introduction

The interplay between geometric differential operators on a Riemannian manifold and the geometry of the underlying manifold has been the focus of many efforts; one of the early highlights is the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem.

Since the work of Atiyah and Singer one has become more and more interested in various types of manifolds with singularities. While the case of a smooth compact manifold is fairly well understood, the picture is far from complete for singular manifolds. It is impossible to give a complete account of the existing literature here. We only mention Cheeger's work on manifolds with cone-like singularities [7], Melrose's b-calculus [14] and Schulze's calculus on singular manifolds [26]. However, singularities occuring in "the real world" are often much more complicated than just conical. A very natural class of singular spaces is the class of (real or complex) projective algebraic varieties. These are special cases of stratified spaces. Topologically, stratified spaces are of iterated cone-type, and probably this was Cheeger's main motivation to develop an analysis of elliptic operators on such manifolds. However, it seems that the inductive step, i.e. the generalization of Cheeger's theory to stratified spaces, has still not been done. A more serious problem is that the natural metrics on algebraic varieties, i.e. those induced from a metric on projective space are not of iterated conetype. A great deal of efforts have been made to find local models of such metrics [11], [12], [23].

Nevertheless, there exist partial results about the interplay between  $L^{2-}$ cohomology and intersection cohomology [18], [19], mixed Hodge structure [20], and the so-called  $L^{2}$ -Kähler package [6], [2], [21]. Having the  $L^{2}$ -Kähler package on a complex algebraic variety in general would be extremely nice, because it implies many of the fundamental operator identities which one has in the compact case.

The problems we discuss in this note are the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem and discreteness of the Laplace-Beltrami operators:

Let V be a real or complex projective variety and let  $M := V \setminus \operatorname{sing} V$ be its regular part. We equip M with the Riemannian metric g induced by a smooth metric on projective space (in the complex case we assume g to be Kähler). Furthermore, let  $(\Omega_0(M), d)$  be the de Rham complex of differential forms acting on smooth forms with compact support. A priori, the operator d has several closed extensions in the Hilbert space of square integrable forms. These lie between the "minimal" and the "maximal" one. The latter are defined by

$$d_{\min} := \overline{d} = \text{closure of } d,$$
  
$$d_{\max} := (d^t)^* = \text{adjoint of the formal adjoint } d^t \text{ of } d.$$

Some authors address the maximal as the Neumann and the minimal as the Dirichlet extension. We do not adopt this terminology since it may be misleading: On a compact manifold with boundary the Laplacians corresponding to the maximal/minimal extensions both are of mixed Dirichlet/Neumann type. The maximal/minimal extensions of d produce so-called Hilbert complexes. A detailed account of the functional analysis of Hilbert complexes was given in [1]. We note that the cohomology of the  $d_{\text{max}}$  complex is the celebrated  $L^2$ cohomology

$$H^i_{(2)}(M) := \ker d_{i,\max} / \operatorname{im} d_{i-1,\max},$$

which has been the subject of intensive studies.

Having to make the distinction between  $d_{\text{max}}$  and  $d_{\text{min}}$  can be tedious. If  $d_{\text{max}} \neq d_{\text{min}}$  then even simple facts known from compact manifolds might not be true. Therefore, it is desirable to have uniqueness, i.e.  $d_{\text{max}} = d_{\text{min}}$ , which is better known as the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem ( $L^2ST$ ) because of its equivalent formulation (2.5). We would like to emphasize that validity of  $L^2ST$  does not imply essential selfadjointness of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  (defined on

#### 1. Introduction

compactly supported smooth forms), as can be seen in the case of cones already. Instead,  $L^2$ ST is equivalent to the selfadjointness of the specific extension  $d_{\min}^t d_{\min} + d_{\min} d_{\min}^t$  of  $\Delta$ .

On a compact manifold without boundary the  $L^2$ ST follows by a simple mollifier argument. It is known to hold for several types of non-compact manifolds. We give a short account of known results and their proofs in Section 2. The conjecture that the  $L^2$ ST holds for complex projective varieties has been around implicitly for quite a while, e.g. in [8], although the authors cannot give a reference where it is stated explicitly, except for complex surfaces [16].

The  $L^2$ ST is quite plausible for complex projective varieties because all strata are of even codimension, in particular there is no boundary. So, no "boundary terms" should occur in the integration by parts implicit in the  $L^2$ ST. However, this picture cannot be complete since we will prove the following (Proposition 2.10).

**Theorem 1.1.** There exists an even dimensional real projective variety whose singular set consists of a single point, such that the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem does not hold.

Thus, if  $L^2$ ST holds for complex projective varieties the reason must lie in the complex structure.

Call two Riemannian metrics  $g, \tilde{g}$  on M quasi-isometric if there is a constant C such that for each  $x \in M, v \in T_x M$  one has

$$C^{-1}g_x(v,v) \le \tilde{g}_x(v,v) \le Cg_x(v,v).$$

The domains of  $d_{\min}$  and  $d_{\max}$  and therefore validity of  $L^2$ ST are quasi-isometry invariants. Therefore, if  $L^2$ ST holds for one metric induced from projective space, it holds for all such metrics. Thus its validity is independent of the Kähler structure. But surprisingly enough the Kähler structure can be very useful as a tool. For example the estimate [20, Prop. 2.13] (see also Proposition 3.1 below) proved by Pardon and Stern on complex projective varieties with isolated singularities makes essential use of the Kähler structure. They apply their estimate to derive a Hodge structure on the  $L^2$ -cohomology. In particular, they conclude that in various degrees the cohomologies of the  $d_{\min}$  and  $d_{\max}$  de Rham complexes coincide. Together with results in [2] the estimates of Pardon and Stern can be used to prove more:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^N$  be an algebraic variety with isolated singularities, of complex dimension n, and let  $M = V \setminus \operatorname{sing} V$ , equipped with a Kähler metric induced by a Kähler metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^N$ . Then, for  $k \neq n-1, n$  resp.  $p+q \neq n-1, n$ , the  $L^2$  Stokes theorem holds and we have uniqueness for the Dolbeault operators, *i.e.* 

$$d_{k,\max} = d_{k,\min}, \qquad k \neq n-1, n, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_{p,q,\max} = \partial_{p,q,\min}, \qquad p+q \neq n-1, n.$$
 (1.2)

Furthermore, for  $k \neq n, n \pm 1$  we have

$$d_{k-1,\min}d_{k-1,\min}^{t} + d_{k,\min}^{t}d_{k,\min}$$

$$=\Delta_{k}^{\mathscr{F}} = 2 \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Delta_{p,q,\partial}^{\mathscr{F}}$$

$$=2 \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \partial_{p-1,q,\min}\partial_{p-1,q,\min}^{t} + \partial_{p,q,\min}^{t}\partial_{p,q,\min},$$
(1.3)

### *i.e.* the Hodge decomposition holds in the operator sense.

Here,  $\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}}$  is the Friedrichs extension, see Section 3. We expect that  $L^2$ ST is true in fact for all degrees and that the first equality in (1.3) holds in all degrees except n (in degree n it will usually not hold). This would be a very interesting result since it would imply the Kähler package, as shown in [2, Th. 5.8].

As already mentioned, essential self-adjointness cannot be expected for the Laplacian. It is therefore quite surprising to obtain its Friedrichs extension from the de Rham complex. It was shown in [2] that this case is exeptional and that it has some nice consequences.

It is interesting to know more about the structure of the spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the Laplacian. We will prove the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 the operator on k-forms

$$\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}} = d_{k-1,\min}d_{k-1,\min}^t + d_{k,\min}^t d_{k,\min}$$

is discrete for  $k \neq n, n \pm 1$ .

For k = 0 on an algebraic surface or threefold with isolated singularities, this follows from [15] and [22], where an estimate for the heat kernel is proved. For algebraic curves, the full asymptotic expansion of the heat trace was proved by Brüning and Lesch [3]. The existence of such an asymptotic expansion in general remains a challenging open problem.

This note is organized as follows: In Section 2 we discuss various aspects of the  $L^2$  Stokes theorem and its history. Furthermore, we prove Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to complex projective varieties and the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

## Acknowledgement

Both authors were supported by the Gerhard-Hess program of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

# 2 The $L^2$ -Stokes theorem

We start with some general remarks about elliptic complexes and their ideal boundary conditions on non-compact manifolds. In particular we recall some results from [1, 2].

# 2. The $L^2$ -Stokes theorem

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and

$$D: C_0^{\infty}(E) \longrightarrow C_0^{\infty}(F)$$

a first order differential operator between sections of the hermitian vector bundles E, F. We consider D as an unbounded operator  $L^2(E) \to L^2(F)$  and define two closed extensions of D by

$$D_{\min} := \overline{D} = \text{closure of } D,$$
  
$$D_{\max} := (D^t)^* = \text{adjoint of the formal adjoint } D^t \text{ of } D.$$

Note that  $D_{\min} \subset D_{\max}$  and  $D_{\min} = (D^*)^* = (D_{\max}^t)^*$  where we write  $D_{\max}^t = (D^t)_{\max}$ . The domains of  $D_{\max/\min}$  can be described as follows:

$$\mathscr{D}(D_{\min}) = \left\{ s \in L^{2}(E) \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{There exists a sequence } (s_{n}) \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(E) \\ \text{with } s_{n} \to s, Ds_{n} \to Ds \text{ in } L^{2}(E) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$
$$\mathscr{D}(D_{\max}) = \left\{ s \in L^{2}(E) \mid Ds \in L^{2}(E) \right\}.$$

 $D_{\text{max}}$  is maximal in the sense that it does not have a proper closed extension that has  $C_0^{\infty}(F)$  in the domain of its adjoint. Of course,  $D_{\text{max}}$  does have (abstractly defined) proper closed extensions without this property.

The following well-known fact shows that the 'difference' between  $D_{\text{max}}$  and  $D_{\text{min}}$  only depends on the behavior of (M, g) at 'infinity', i.e. when leaving any compact subset of M. We include a proof for completeness.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $s \in \mathscr{D}(D_{\max})$  and  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$  then  $\phi s \in \mathscr{D}(D_{\min})$ .

Proof. We use a Friedrichs mollifier, i.e. a family of operators  $J_{\varepsilon} : \mathscr{E}'(M) \to C_0^{\infty}(M), \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , such that  $J_{\varepsilon}f \to f$  in  $L^2(M)$  for any  $f \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(M)$  and such that  $J_{\varepsilon}$  and the commutator  $[D, J_{\varepsilon}]$  are bounded operators on  $L^2(M)$ , uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ . For the existence of such mollifiers see [29, Ch. II.7].

Now we have  $J_{\varepsilon}(\phi s) \in \mathscr{D}(D_{\min}), J_{\varepsilon}(\phi s) \to \phi s$ , and  $D(J_{\varepsilon}(\phi s)) = [D, J_{\varepsilon}](\phi s) + J_{\varepsilon}(D(\phi s))$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(M)$ , as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Therefore, there is a constant C such that for all  $t \in \mathscr{D}(D^*)$  we have

$$|(\phi s, D^*t)| = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |(J_{\varepsilon}(\phi s), D^*t)| = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |(D(J_{\varepsilon}(\phi s)), t)| \le C ||t||.$$
(2.2)

This means  $\phi s \in \mathscr{D}((D^*)^*) = \mathscr{D}(D_{\min}).$ 

We now turn to elliptic complexes. Elliptic complexes on manifolds with singularities have been studied systematically e.g. in [24], [25]. For a general discussion of Fredholm complexes and Hilbert complexes we also refer to [27] and [1]. Let

$$(C_0^{\infty}(E),d): 0 \longrightarrow C_0^{\infty}(E_0) \xrightarrow{d_0} C_0^{\infty}(E_1) \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{N-1}} C_0^{\infty}(E_N) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.3)$$

be an elliptic complex. The main examples are the de Rham complex  $(\Omega_0^*(M), d)$ and, for a Kähler manifold, the Dolbeault complexes  $(\Omega_0^{*,q}(M), \partial_{\cdot,q})$  and  $(\Omega_0^{p,*}(M), \bar{\partial}_{p,\cdot})$ . D. Grieser and M. Lesch:  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem and Hodge theory

Next we recall the notion of *Hilbert complex* (cf. [1], for example). This is a complex

$$(\mathscr{D}, D): 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}_0 \xrightarrow{D_0} \mathscr{D}_1 \xrightarrow{D_1} \dots \xrightarrow{D_{N-1}} \mathscr{D}_N \longrightarrow 0$$
 (2.4)

of closed operators  $D_k$  with domains  $\mathscr{D}_k$  lying in Hilbert spaces  $H_k$ . An *ideal* boundary condition (*ibc*) of an elliptic complex  $(C_0^{\infty}(E), d)$  is a choice of extensions  $D_k$  of  $d_k$  which form a Hilbert complex (with  $H_k = L^2(E_k)$ ). That is, an ibc is a choice of closed extensions  $D_k$  of  $d_k, 0 \leq k < N$ , with the additional property that  $D_k(\mathscr{D}_k) \subset \mathscr{D}_{k+1}$ .  $d_{k,\min}$  and  $d_{k,\max}$  are examples of ibc's for any elliptic complex.

The main question that we address here is whether there is only one ibc, i.e. whether  $d_{k,\min} = d_{k,\max}$  for all k. This is called the case of *uniqueness*. Uniqueness is equivalent to

$$(d_{\max}\omega,\eta) = (\omega, d_{\max}^t\eta) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{\max}), \eta \in \mathscr{D}(d_{\max}^t).$$
(2.5)

**Definition 2.2.** We say that the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem ( $L^2$ ST) holds for (M, g) if (2.5) is true for the de Rham complex on M.

This means that no boundary terms appear in the integration by parts that is implicit in (2.5). In particular,  $L^2$ ST holds for closed M.

We now return to general elliptic complexes (2.3) and their ibc's (2.4). Given an ibc  $(\mathcal{D}, D)$ , define the associated Laplacian by

$$\Delta(\mathscr{D}, D) = (D + D^*)^2.$$

This is a self-adjoint operator. The main instances are  $\Delta^{a/r}$ , which are associated with  $D = d_{\max/\min}$ , respectively. Note that  $\Delta^a$  and  $\Delta^r$  are not comparable unless they are equal. Lemma 3.1 from [2] says (as a special case) that this happens if and only if  $d_{\max} = d_{\min}$ . Explicitly, the restriction of  $\Delta^{a/r}$  to sections of  $E_k$  (e.g. k-forms) is given by

$$\Delta_k^{a/r} = d_{k-1,\max/\min} d_{k-1,\min/\max}^t + d_{k,\min/\max}^t d_{k,\max/\min}$$

Also, we denote

$$\Delta = (d + d^t)^2 \quad \text{on } C_0^\infty(E)$$

and  $\Delta_k$  its restriction to sections of  $E_k$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(C_0^{\infty}(E), d)$  be an elliptic complex.

- (1)  $C^{\infty}(E) \cap \mathscr{D}(d_{\max/\min}) \cap \mathscr{D}(d_{\min/\max}^t)$  is (graph) dense in  $\mathscr{D}(d_{\max/\min})$ .
- (2) Fix k and set

$$\Delta_k := d_{k-1,\min} d_{k-1,\min}^t + d_{k,\min}^t d_{k,\min}.$$

 $\tilde{\Delta}_k$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $d_{k,\max} = d_{k,\min}$  and  $d_{k-1,\max} = d_{k-1,\min}$ . In particular, if  $\Delta_k$  is essentially self-adjoint for all k then uniqueness holds. *Proof.* 1. By ellipticity, we have

$$\bigcap_{n \ge 0} \mathscr{D}((\Delta_k^{a/r})^n) \subset C^{\infty}(E_k).$$
(2.6)

By Lemma 2.11 in [1] the left hand side in (2.6) is a core for  $d_{k,\max/\min}$  (i.e. dense in the graph topology), so the claim follows.

2. 'If' is obvious. To prove the converse, observe first  $\tilde{\Delta}_k \subset \Delta_k^{a/r}$ . Since all of these operators are self-adjoint, this implies  $\Delta_k^r = \tilde{\Delta}_k = \Delta_k^a$ . Since  $\mathscr{D}(\Delta_k^{a/r})$  is a core for  $d_{k,\max/\min}$  and for  $d_{k-1,\min/\max}^t$  we are done.

Now we turn to the de Rham complex and the  $L^2$ ST.

First we note that the validity of  $L^2$ ST is a quasi-isometry invariant since the domains  $\mathscr{D}(d_{k,\max/\min})$  are quasi-isometry invariants in view of their characterization (2.1). (Note that  $d_k$  itself is independent of the metric.)

We will be mainly interested in the case of projective varieties with induced metrics. That is, we start with a variety V in real or complex projective space and let  $M = V \setminus \text{sing } V$  be its regular part. The metric on M is obtained by restriction of a Riemannian metric on projective space. Since any two Riemannian metrics on projective space are quasi-isometric, all metrics on M obtained in this way are mutually quasi-isometric, so if  $L^2$ ST holds for one such metric then it holds for all.

The main open problem about  $L^2ST$  is the following:

**Conjecture 2.4.** The  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem holds for complex projective varieties.

Conjectures closely related to Conjecture 2.4 were stated in the basic paper [8], but Conjecture 2.4 was not stated explicitly. For complex surfaces, Conjecture 2.4 was formulated in [16].

We now review some results about the validity of  $L^2$ ST.

- (1) Complete manifolds: Gaffney [9], [10] showed that  $\Delta$  is essentially selfadjoint, in particular  $L^2$ ST holds.
- (2) Cones, horns and pseudomanifolds: Let  $(N^n, g_N)$  be a Riemannian manifold satisfying  $L^2$ ST, and such that Range  $d_{n/2-1}$  is closed (e.g. N compact). Define the cone  $(\gamma = 1)$  or horn  $(\gamma > 1)$  over N by

$$M = (0, \infty) \times N, \quad g = dx^2 + x^{2\gamma} g_N.$$

Cheeger [5, Thm. 2.2] proved that  $L^2$ ST holds for (M, g) if and only if there are no square-integrable n/2-forms  $\alpha$  on N satisfying  $d\alpha = d^t \alpha =$ 0 (if N is compact, this is the cohomological condition  $H^{n/2}(N, \mathbb{R}) =$ 0). Using this inductively, he showed  $L^2$ ST for 'admissible Riemannian pseudomanifolds' (Proof of Thm. 5.1 in loc. cit.). Youssin [31] generalized these results to the  $L^p$  Stokes theorem for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and carried out a detailed study of their relation to  $L^p$ -cohomology.

- (3) (Conformal) cones, complex projective algebraic curves: A different proof for cones over compact N and a generalization to conformal cones was given by Brüning and Lesch [2]. In particular, this implies  $L^2$ ST for complex projective algebraic curves. The last result was obtained before by Nagase [17].
- (4) Functions on real or complex projective algebraic varieties with singularities of real codimension at least two: Li and Tian [13] proved that  $d_{0,\min}^t d_{0,\min}$  is self-adjoint for such M. So, in view of Proposition 2.3 uniqueness holds for k = 0. This was proved before by Nagase [15] and Pati [22] for complex surfaces and threefolds, respectively.
- (5) Complex projective algebraic varieties with isolated singularities: Pardon and Stern [20] proved that the cohomology of the  $d_{k,\min}$  and  $d_{k,\max}$ complexes coincide in degrees k with  $|k - n| \ge 2$ ,  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ . We will prove below (Propositions 3.1 and 3.2) that their estimates actually imply the stronger  $d_{k,\max} = d_{k,\min}$  for  $k \ne n - 1, n$ .
- (6) Real analytic surfaces with isolated singularities: In this case, uniqueness was proved in all degrees by Grieser [11].
- (7) Orbit spaces: If G is a compact Lie group acting isometrically on a smooth compact Riemannian manifold X then the quotient X/G is a stratified space with a Riemannian metric on its smooth part M (see [28]). Then  $L^2$ ST holds on M if and only if X/G is a Witt space, i.e. the links of all the odd-codimensional strata have vanishing middle  $L^2$ -cohomology. A study of when this happens was made for  $S^1$ -actions by Sjamaar [28].

The methods used to prove  $L^2$ ST all proceed essentially in the following way: First, one derives certain boundedness or decay properties for forms  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{\max})$ , then one uses these to show that, for a suitable sequence of cutofffunctions  $\{\phi_n\} \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ , the forms  $\phi_n \omega$  converge to  $\omega$  in graph norm. By Lemma 2.1, this implies  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{\min})$ . In the first step, it may be useful to restrict to certain dense (in graph norm) subspaces of  $\mathscr{D}(d_{\max})$  with better (or easier to derive) properties. For example, from the proof of Proposition 2.3 (1) we see in particular that

$$\mathscr{D}(d_{k,\max}) \cap \mathscr{D}(d_{k-1,\max}^t) \cap C^{\infty}(\Lambda^k M)$$

is a core for  $d_{k,\max}$ . Thus, we may assume

$$\omega \in L^2, \quad (d+d^t)\omega \in L^2. \tag{2.7}$$

This allows to use elliptic techniques to estimate  $\omega$ .

On functions, one can do slightly better:

Lemma 2.5. (cf. [13, Sec. 4]) Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Then

$$\{f \in \mathscr{D}(d_{0,\max}) \mid \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)| < \infty\}$$

is a core for  $d_{0,\max}$ .

Proof. For  $f \in \mathscr{D}(d_{0,\max}) \cap C^{\infty}(M)$  choose a sequence  $(a_n)$  of regular values of f with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$  and put  $f_n := \max(-a_n, \min(f(x), a_n))$ . Then  $f_n$  is bounded and it is straightforward to check that  $f_n \to f$  in the graph norm of d.

In general, if  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{k,\max})$  and  $(\phi_n) \subset C_0^{\infty}(M)$ , in order to prove  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{k,\min})$  it suffices to show

$$\phi_n \omega \longrightarrow \omega \quad \text{in } L^2(\Lambda^k M),$$
(2.8)

$$\|d(\phi_n\omega)\|_{L^2(\Lambda^k(M))} \le C.$$
(2.9)

This follows from Lemma 2.1 and the analogue of estimate (2.2).

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, (2.8) is fulfilled if

Condition 1: 
$$\phi_n \longrightarrow 1$$
 pointwise and  $\sup_{x,n} |\phi_n(x)| < \infty$ 

(The second condition could be weakened to  $\sup_n |\phi_n(x)| \leq \phi(x)$  for some  $\phi$  with  $\phi \omega \in L^2$ .) Then, (2.9) will be satisfied if

Condition 2: 
$$||d\phi_n \wedge \omega||_{L^2} \leq C$$

(plus  $\phi d\omega \in L^2$  in case of the weaker Condition 1).

Specifically, the proofs of the results cited above proceed in the following way:

- (1) Gaffney finds  $\phi_n$  satisfying Condition 1 and with  $d\phi_n$  uniformly bounded as  $n \to \infty$ .
- (2) Cheeger proves (2.5) directly, using the weak Hodge decomposition of  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{\max})$  and estimating its parts separately. Since validity of  $L^2$ ST is a local property (see [5, Lemma 4.1]) the result on pseudomanifolds follows by localization and iteration, using a partition of unity with uniformly bounded differentials.
- (3) Brüning and Lesch show that (2.7) implies  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{\min})$  modulo the pullback of a harmonic n/2-form on N, by writing the equation  $D\omega = f \in L^2$ as an operator-valued singular ordinary differential equation in the axis variable x, whose solutions can be analyzed fairly explicitly.
- (4) Li and Tian first restrict to bounded functions using Lemma 2.5 and then use a sequence  $\phi_n$  satisfying Condition 1 and  $||d\phi_n||_{L^2} \to 0$ , which clearly implies Condition 2.
- (5) Pardon and Stern show, using the Kähler identities, that  $d\omega, d^t\omega \in L^2$  implies  $\omega/r \in L^2$  if  $|k-n| \ge 2$ , where r is the distance from the singularity [20, Prop. 2.27]. Then they use a cutoff sequence satisfying Condition 1 and with  $rd\phi_n$  tending to zero uniformly as  $n \to \infty$ . This again implies Condition 2.
- (6) Grieser shows that a neighborhood of a singular point is quasi-isometric to a union of cones and horns, so the result follows from (2).

(7) This follows from the results on Riemannian pseudomanifolds of (2) since the metric on M is locally quasi-isometric to a metric of iterated cone type.

We now show that on real algebraic varieties  $L^2$ ST may very well fail, even near isolated singularities. For this, we construct examples which locally near the singularity are quasi-isometric to

$$M = \mathbb{R}_+ \times N_1 \times N_2$$
  

$$g = dr^2 + r^{2\alpha_1}g_1 + r^{2\alpha_2}g_2$$
(2.10)

with compact oriented Riemannian manifolds  $(N_i, g_i)$  and real numbers  $\alpha_i \ge 1$ , i = 1, 2. Here, r denotes the first variable.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let (M,g) be as in (2.10). If  $H^k(N_2) \neq 0$  then  $L^2ST$  fails for k-forms supported near r = 0, where

$$k = \frac{\alpha_1 n_1 + \alpha_2 n_2}{2\alpha_2}, \quad n_i = \dim N_i.$$
 (2.11)

Proof. Let  $\omega$  be a non-zero harmonic k-form on  $N_2$ , i.e.  $d_2\omega = d_2^t\omega = 0$  (in this proof, the subscripts 1,2 refer to  $N_1, N_2$  respectively). Choose cut-off functions  $\phi, \psi \in C_0^{\infty}([0,\infty))$  such that  $\phi = 1$  near zero,  $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset \{\phi = 1\}$ , and  $\psi(0) \neq 0$ . For simplicity, denote the pullbacks of  $\omega, \phi, \psi$  to M by the same letters.

Set  $\mu = \phi \omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ ,  $\nu = \psi dr \wedge \omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ . We claim that

 $(d\mu,\nu) \neq (\mu, d^t\nu).$ 

To prove this, recall  $(d\mu, \nu) - (\mu, d^t\nu) = \int_M d(m \wedge *\nu)$ . A simple calculation shows  $*\nu = \pm \psi(r)r^\beta dvol_1 \wedge *_2\omega$  (the sign is unimportant), where  $\beta = \alpha_1 n_1 + \alpha_2(n_2 - 2k)$ . So  $\mu \wedge *\nu = \pm \psi(r)r^\beta dvol_1 \wedge (\omega \wedge *_2\omega)$ . Since  $\omega$  is closed and coclosed, we get  $d(\mu \wedge *\nu) = \pm d(\psi r^\beta) \wedge dvol_1 \wedge (\omega \wedge *_2\omega)$ . Therefore, if  $\beta \ge 0$ we have  $\int_M d(\mu \wedge *\nu) = \pm ||\omega||^2_{L^2(N_2)}\psi(r)r^\beta_{|r=0}$ . This is non-zero for  $\beta = 0$ , i.e. kas in (2.11).

For example, if

 $\alpha_1 n_1 = \alpha_2 n_2$ 

then  $k = n_2$ , so  $L^2$ ST fails since always  $H^{n_2}(N_2) \neq 0$ . By symmetry,  $L^2$ ST also fails for  $k = n_1$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let n, m, p, q be positive integers, p > q. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^m, z \in \mathbb{R}$  define the homogeneous polynomial f by

$$f(x, y, z) = |x|^{2p} - |y|^{2q} z^{2(p-q)}$$

and the variety V by

$$V = \{ [x, y, z] \mid f(x, y, z) = 0 \} \subset \mathbb{RP}^{n+m}.$$

Then, the singularities of V are given by

sing 
$$V = \{[0, 0, 1]\} \cup \{[0, y, 0] \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}\}.$$
 (2.12)

## 2. The $L^2$ -Stokes theorem

Thus, V has an isolated singularity at P = [0, 0, 1] and one singular stratum isomorphic to  $\mathbb{RP}^{m-1}$ . Given any Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{RP}^{n+m}$ , a (pointed) neighborhood of P in V is quasi-isometric to a neighborhood of r = 0 in

$$M = \mathbb{R}_{+} \times S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$$
  
$$g = dr^{2} + r^{2}g_{S^{n-1}} + r^{2\alpha}g_{S^{m-1}}$$
(2.13)

where  $\alpha = p/q$ .

*Proof.* That sing V is given by the right hand side of (2.12) can be checked by a straightforward calculation.

For  $z \neq 0$ , we use the standard chart  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to [x, y, 1] \in \mathbb{RP}^{n+m}$ . In this chart, V is given by  $\{|x|^{2p} = |y|^{2q}\}$ , which clearly has an isolated singularity at (0, 0). Parametrize V near but outside (0, 0) by

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1} \longrightarrow V$$
$$(r, v, w) \longmapsto (rv, r^{\alpha}w), \quad \alpha = p/q$$

Any Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{RP}^{n+m}$  is quasi-isometric to the standard Euclidean metric  $|dx|^2 + |dy|^2$  near P. By the usual formula for polar coordinates, we have

$$\phi^*(|dx|^2) = dr^2 + r^2 g_{S^{n-1}}$$
  
$$\phi^*(|dy|^2) = (d(r^{\alpha}))^2 + r^{2\alpha} g_{S^{m-1}},$$

so  $\phi^*(|dx|^2 + |dy|^2) = (1 + \alpha^2 r^{2(\alpha-1)})dr^2 + r^2 g_{S^{n-1}} + r^{2\alpha} g_{S^{m-1}}$ , which is quasiisometric to (2.13) for bounded r.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let V be as above and assume p(m-1) = q(n-1). Then  $L^2ST$  fails for V for (n-1)-forms and for (m-1)-forms.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.6 in the special case mentioned after its proof.  $\Box$ 

In the previous proposition we may choose m odd and n even (e.g. m = 2k + 1, n = 2k + 2, p = 2k + 1, q = 2k, k > 0). Then V is even-dimensional and all strata are of even codimension.

We can even slightly modifiy V to produce a variety with one isolated singularity for which  $L^2$ ST does not hold:

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $f,g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $f^{(j)}(0) = 0, 0 \leq j < k, f^{(k)}(0) \neq 0, g^{(j)}(0) = 0, 0 \leq j < l, g^{(l)}(0) \neq 0, k \geq l$ . Then, locally near 0, the quasi-isometry class of

$$V_{f,g} := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \,|\, f(|x|^2) = g(|y|^2)\}$$

depends only on k and l.

*Proof.* W.l.o.g. we may assume that f and g are non-negative for small positive argument. Let  $\tilde{f}(r) = r^k, \tilde{g}(r) = r^l$ . Then, there exist  $\varphi, \psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0, \varphi'(t), \psi'(t) > 0$  for  $|t| < \varepsilon$ , such that

$$\tilde{f} = f \circ \varphi, \quad \tilde{g} = g \circ \psi.$$

We put  $\varphi_1(t) := \varphi(t)/t, \psi_1(t) := \psi(t)/t$  and

$$\Phi(x,y) := (\varphi_1(|x|^2)^{1/2}x, \psi_1(|y|^2)^{1/2}y), \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}, \quad |x|, |y| < \varepsilon.$$

Then  $\Phi$  is a local diffeomorphism at (0,0) mapping  $V_{\tilde{f},\tilde{g}} \cap U$  onto  $V_{f,g} \cap U$  for some neighborhood U of (0,0). Hence  $V_{\tilde{f},\tilde{g}} \cap U$  and  $V_{f,g} \cap U$  are quasi-isometric.  $\Box$ 

Summing up, we have:

**Proposition 2.10.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.7, consider the variety

$$W = \{ [x, y, z] \mid |x|^{2p} = |y|^{2q} z^{2(p-q)} + |y|^{2p} \} \subset \mathbb{RP}^{n+m}.$$

Then sing  $W = \{[0,0,1]\}$ , i.e. W has exactly one singular point. Moreover, given any Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{RP}^{n+m}$ , a (pointed) neighborhood of [0,0,1] in W is quasi-isometric to a pointed neighborhood of [0,0,1] in the variety V of Lemma 2.7.

In particular, if p(m-1) = q(n-1), then  $L^2ST$  fails to hold for W.

*Proof.* The determination of sing W is straightforward. The other statements follow from the previous discussion.

## 3 Complex algebraic varieties

In this section we discuss the  $L^2$ -Stokes theorem and the discreteness of the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on complex projective varieties with isolated singularities. The main ingredients are an estimate due to Pardon and Stern [20] and results by Brüning and Lesch [2].

Throughout this section,  $V \subset \mathbb{CP}^N$  denotes an algebraic variety with isolated singularities and  $M = V \setminus \operatorname{sing} V$  its smooth part,  $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ . Let gbe a Kähler metric on M which is induced by a Kähler metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^N$ . Fix a smooth function  $r : M \to (0, \infty)$  which, near any singularity  $p \in \operatorname{sing} V$ , is comparable to the distance from p.

Denote by  $\partial_{p,q}$ ,  $\bar{\partial}_{p,q}$  the Dolbeault operators on forms of type (p,q). Pardon and Stern show in [20]:

**Proposition 3.1.** (1) Assume  $n - p - q \ge 2$ . If  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(\partial_{p,q,\max}) \cap \mathscr{D}(\partial_{p-1,q,\max}^t)$  then

and  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}((\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^t)_{\min}) \cap \mathscr{D}((\partial + \partial^t)_{\min}).$ 

(2) Assume  $n-k \geq 2$ . If  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}(d_{k,\max}) \cap \mathscr{D}(d_{k-1,\max}^t)$  then

 $\omega/r \in L^2(\Lambda^k M)$ 

 $\omega/r \in L^2(\Lambda^{p,q}M)$ 

and  $\omega \in \mathscr{D}((d+d^t)_{\min})$ .

### 3. Complex algebraic varieties

For the proof see [20], Prop. 2.27 and Lemma 2.18. We combine this with a result in [2].

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $(C_0^{\infty}(E), d)$  be an elliptic complex. If for some  $k \ge 0$ 

$$\mathscr{D}(d_{k,\max}) \cap \mathscr{D}(d_{k-1,\max}^t) \subset \mathscr{D}((d+d^t)_{\min})$$
(3.1)

then we have

$$d_{k,\max} = d_{k,\min},\tag{3.2}$$

$$d_{k-1,\max}^t = d_{k-1,\min}^t,$$
(3.3)

and

$$\Delta_k^{r/a} = d_{k-1,\min} d_{k-1,\min}^t + d_{k,\min}^t d_{k,\min} = \Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}},$$

where  $\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}}$  denotes the Friedrichs extension of the Laplacian  $\Delta_k$ .

For the proof see [2], Lemma 3.3. Note that (3.1) is equivalent to

$$D_{k,\max} = D_{k,\min}$$

for  $D_k := d_k + d_{k-1}^t$ . However, one needs to be careful when considering the 'rolled-up' complex, i.e. the operator  $D = \bigoplus_{k=0}^N D_k$ : Even if (3.1) holds for all k, this does *not* imply  $D_{\max} = D_{\min}!$  The reason for this is that, in general,

$$\mathscr{D}(D_{\max}) \supseteq \bigoplus_{k} \mathscr{D}(D_{\max}) \cap L^{2}(\Lambda^{k}M)$$

since the ranges of  $d_{\max}$  and  $d_{\max}^t$  might not be orthogonal. An example can be easily constructed using the de Rham complex on the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First, consider the de Rham complex. Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 imply that (3.2) and (3.3) hold for  $k = 0, \ldots, n-2$ . From  $d_k = \pm * d_{2n-k-1}^t *$  we get the same relations for  $k = n+2, \ldots, 2n$ . Finally, (3.3), applied with k = n+2, gives (3.2) for k = n+1 by taking adjoints. This proves (1.1). The same argument applied to the Dolbeault complex proves (1.2).

The first and third equality in (1.3) follow from the last identity in Proposition 3.2, applied to the de Rham and Dolbeault complex, respectively. It remains to prove

$$\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}} = 2 \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Delta_{p,q,\partial}^{\mathscr{F}}.$$
(3.4)

Now the Kähler identities (see [30], Ch. V, Thm. 4.7) show that, for a compactly supported smooth k-form  $\phi = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \phi_{p,q}$ , one has

$$\Delta_k \phi = 2 \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Delta_{p,q,\partial} \phi_{p,q}.$$
(3.5)

The domain of the Friedrichs extension of  $\Delta_k$  is defined as the completion of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Lambda^k M)$  with respect to the scalar product

$$(\phi,\psi)_{\Delta_{L}^{\mathscr{F}}} = (\Delta_{k}\phi,\psi) + (\phi,\psi).$$

By (3.5) this scalar product is the direct sum of the analogous scalar products  $(\ ,\ )_{\Delta_{p,q}^{\mathscr{F}}}$  on  $C_0^{\infty}(\Lambda^{p,q}M), \ p+q=k$ , so we obtain (3.4).

**Remark 3.3.** For the operators  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  this result is sharp. This can be seen already in the case of algebraic curves: In [4] it was shown that for n = 1 in general  $\partial_{0,\max} \neq \partial_{0,\min}, \partial_{0,1,\max} \neq \partial_{0,1,\min}$ .

We now turn to the question of discreteness. Recall that a self-adjoint operator T in some Hilbert space H is called *discrete* if its spectrum consists only of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity (with  $\infty$  as the only accumulation point). Note that T is discrete if and only if it has a compact resolvent or equivalently if the embedding  $\mathscr{D}(T) \hookrightarrow H$  is compact. Here,  $\mathscr{D}(T)$  carries the graph topology.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold such that there is a function  $r \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , r > 0, with the following properties:

- (i) For  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $r^{-1}([\varepsilon, \infty))$  is compact,
- (ii)  $f \in \mathscr{D}(\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}})$  implies  $f/r \in L^2(\Lambda^k(M))$ .

Then  $\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}}$  is discrete.

In particular, if M is as in Theorem 1.2 then  $\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}}$  is discrete for  $k \neq n, n \pm 1$ .

*Proof.* We first note that (ii) implies

$$||f/r|| \le C ||(I + \Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}})f||$$

for  $f \in \mathscr{D}(\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}})$ . ( $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the  $L^2$  norm.) This follows from the closed graph theorem since the operator of multiplication by 1/r is closable with domain containing  $\mathscr{D}(\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}})$ . In order to prove discreteness, it is enough to show that the embedding  $\mathscr{D}(\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}}) \hookrightarrow L^2$  is compact, where  $\mathscr{D}(\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}})$  carries the graph norm. Thus, let  $(\phi_n) \subset \mathscr{D}(\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}})$  be a bounded sequence, i.e.

$$\|\phi_n\|, \|\Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}}\phi_n\| \le C_1.$$

We need to show that  $(\phi_n)$  has a subsequence that is convergent in  $L^2(\Lambda^k(M))$ .

Since  $\Delta_k$  is an elliptic differential operator and since  $\{r \geq 1\}$  is compact there exists a subsequence  $(\phi_n^{(1)})$  which converges in  $L^2(\Lambda^k(\{r \geq 1\}))$ . Choose a subsequence  $(\phi_n^{(2)})$  of  $(\phi_n^{(1)})$  which converges in  $L^2(\Lambda^k(\{r \geq 1/2\}))$ . Continuing in this way and then using a diagonal argument, we find a subsequence  $(\phi_n^{(\infty)})$ such that

$$(\phi_n^{(\infty)}|\{r \ge 1/m\})$$
 converges in  $L^2(\Lambda^k(\{r \ge 1/m\}))$ , for all  $m$ .

We show that  $(\phi_n^{(\infty)})$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(\Lambda^k(M))$ : First, we estimate

$$\|\phi_n^{(\infty)}\|_{L^2(\{r\le 1/m\})} \le \frac{1}{m} \|\frac{1}{r} \phi_n^{(\infty)}\| \le \frac{C}{m} \|(I + \Delta_k^{\mathscr{F}}) \phi_n^{(\infty)}\| \le C'/m.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose m such that  $C'/m < \varepsilon/3$ . Then

$$\|\phi_k^{(\infty)} - \phi_l^{(\infty)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda^k(M))} \le \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon + \|\phi_k^{(\infty)} - \phi_l^{(\infty)}\|_{L^2(\{r \ge 1/m\})}.$$

Since the last term tends to zero as  $k, l \to \infty$ , we are done.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* This follows by applying Proposition 3.4 to the situation of Theorem 1.2 in view of Proposition 3.1.  $\Box$ 

## References

- J. BRÜNING and M. LESCH: Hilbert complexes. J. Funct. Anal. 108 (1992), 88–132
- J. BRÜNING and M. LESCH: Kähler-Hodge theory for conformal complex cones. Geom. Funct. Anal. 3 (1993), 439–473
- J. BRÜNING and M. LESCH: On the spectral geometry of algebraic curves. J. reine angew. Math. 474 (1996), 25–66
- [4] J. BRÜNING, N. PEYERIMHOFF, and H. SCHRÖDER: The \u00f5-operator on algebraic curves. Commun. Math. Phys. 129 (1990), 525–534
- [5] J. CHEEGER: On the Hodge theory of Riemannian pseudomanifolds. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 36 (1980), 91–146
- [6] J. CHEEGER: Hodge theory of complex cones. Astérisque 102 (1983), 118– 134
- [7] J. CHEEGER: Spectral geometry of singular Riemannian spaces. J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983), 575–657
- [8] J. CHEEGER, M. GORESKY, and R. MACPHERSON: L<sup>2</sup>-cohomology and intersection homology of singular algebraic varieties. Annals of Math. Studies 102 (1982), 303–340. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ
- M. P. GAFFNEY: The harmonic operator for exterior differential forms. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 37 (1951), 48–50
- [10] M. P. GAFFNEY: A special Stokes theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds. Ann. of Math., II. Ser. 60 (1954), 140–145
- [11] D. GRIESER: Local geometry of singular real analytic surfaces. Preprint Erwin-Schrödinger-Institut Wien
- W. C. HSIANG and V. PATI: L<sup>2</sup>-cohomology of normal algebraic surfaces.
   I. Invent. Math. 81 (1985), 395–412
- [13] P. LI and G. TIAN: On the heat kernel of the Bergmann metric on algebraic varieties. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1995), 857–877
- [14] R. B. MELROSE: The Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem. A K Peters, Wellesley, Massachusetts (1993)
- [15] M. NAGASE: On the heat operators of normal singular algebraic surfaces.
   J. Diff. Geom. 28 (1988), 37–57
- [16] M. NAGASE: Remarks on the L<sup>2</sup>-cohomology of singular algebraic surfaces.
   J. Math. Soc. Japan 41 (1989), 97–116
- [17] M. NAGASE: Hodge theory of singular algebraic curves. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1990), 1095–1101

- [18] T. OHSAWA: On the  $L^2$  cohomology of complex spaces. Math. Z. 209 (1992), 519–530
- [19] T. OHSAWA: On the L<sup>2</sup> cohomology of complex spaces. II. Nagoya Math. J. 127 (1992), 39–59
- [20] W. PARDON and M. STERN: Pure Hodge structure on the L<sub>2</sub>-cohomology of varieties with isolated singularities. J. reine angew. Math. 533 (2001), 55-80
- [21] W. PARDON and M. STERN: L<sup>2</sup> ∂̄-cohomology of complex projective varieties. J. Am. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), 603–621
- [22] V. PATI: The heat trace on singular algebraic threefolds. J. Differ. Geom. 37 (1993), 245–261
- [23] V. PATI: The Laplacian on algebraic threefolds with isolated singularities. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. 104 (1994), 435–481
- [24] S. REMPEL and B.-W. SCHULZE: Index Theory of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems. Akademie Verlag, Berlin (1982)
- [25] B.-W. SCHULZE: Elliptic Complexes on Manifolds with Conical Singularities. Teubner Texte zur Math. (Leipzig) 106 (1988), pp. 170–223.
- [26] B.-W. SCHULZE: Pseudo-Differential Boundary Value Problems, Conical Singularities and Asymptotics. Akademie Verlag, Berlin (1994)
- [27] G. SEGAL: Fredholm complexes. Quarterly J. Math. 21 (1970), 385–402.
- [28] R. SJAMAAR: L<sup>2</sup>-cohomology of orbit spaces. Topology Appl. 45 (1992), 1–11
- [29] M. TAYLOR: *Pseudodifferential Operators*. Princeton University Press (1981)
- [30] R. O. WELLS: *Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds*. (2nd ed.) Springer Verlag (1980), New York.
- [31] B. YOUSSIN: L<sup>p</sup> cohomology of cones and horns. J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), 559–603

Humboldt Universität zu Berlin Institut für Mathematik Sitz: Rudower Chaussee 25 D–10099 Berlin

Universität zu Köln, Mathematisches Institut, Weyertal 86-90, D–50931 Köln

# References

Email–addresses:	grieser@mathematik.hu-berlin.de lesch@math.uni-koeln.de
Internet:	http://mi.uni-koeln.de/~lesch/ http://www.mathematik.hu-berlin.de/Math-Net/members/grieserd.rdf.html