# A novel method for comparative analysis of retinal specialization traits from topographic maps

Bret A. Moore	Dept. of Biological Sciences, Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN, USA	$\bowtie$
Jason M. Kamilar	Dept. of Anatomy, Midwestern Univ., Glendale, AZ, USA School of Human Evolution & Social Change, Arizona State Univ., Tempe, AZ, USA	
Shaun P. Collin	School of Animal Biology & UWA Oceans Institute, The Univ. of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia	
Olaf R. P. Bininda-Emonds	AG Systematik & Evolutionsbiologie, IBU - Fakultät V. Carl von Ossietzky Univ., Oldenburg, Germany	
Nathaniel J. Dominy	Dept. of Anthropology, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA	$\bowtie$
Margaret I. Hall	Dept. of Physiology, Midwestern Univ., Glendale, AZ, USA	$\bowtie$
Christopher P. Heesy	Dept. of Anatomy, Midwestern Univ., Glendale, AZ, USA	$\bowtie$
Sönke Johnsen	Biology Dept., Duke Univ., Durham, NC, USA	$\bowtie$
Thomas J. Lisney	Dept. of Psychology, Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada	$\bowtie$
Ellis R. Loew	Dept. of Biomedical Sciences, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY, USA	$\bowtie$
Gillian Moritz	Dept. of Anthropology, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA	$\bowtie$
Saúl S. Nava	Dept. of Molecular & Cellular Biology, Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, USA	
Eric Warrant	Dept. of Biology, Univ. of Lund, Sölvegatan, Lund, Sweden	$\bowtie$
Kara E. Yopak	School of Animal Biology & UWA Oceans Institute, The Univ. of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia	$\bowtie$
Esteban Fernández-Juricic	Dept. of Biological Sciences, Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN, USA	$\bowtie$

Vertebrates possess different types of retinal specializations that vary in number, size, shape, and position in the retina. This diversity in retinal configuration has been revealed through topographic maps, which show variations in neuron density across the retina. Although topographic maps of about 300 vertebrates are available, there is no method for characterizing retinal traits quantitatively. Our goal is to present a novel method to standardize information on the position of the retinal specializations and changes in retinal ganglion cell (RGC) density across the retina from published topographic maps. We measured the position of the retinal specialization using two Cartesian coordinates and the gradient in cell density by sampling ganglion cell density values along four axes (nasal, temporal, ventral, and dorsal). Using this information, along with the peak and lowest RGC densities, we conducted discriminant function analyses (DFAs) to establish if this method is sensitive to distinguish three common types of retinal specializations (fovea, *area*, and visual streak). The discrimination ability of the model was higher when considering terrestrial (78%–80% correct classification) and aquatic (77%–86% correct classification) species separately than together. Our method can be used in the future to test specific hypotheses on the differences in retinal morphology between retinal specializations and the association between retinal morphology and behavioral and ecological traits using comparative methods controlling for phylogenetic effects.

Keywords: fovea, ganglion cells, retina, topographic maps, visual ecology, visual streak

Citation: Moore, B. A., Kamilar, J. M., Collin, S. P., Bininda-Emonds, O. R. P., Dominy, N. J., Hall, M. I., et al. (2012). A novel method for comparative analysis of retinal specialization traits from topographic maps. *Journal of Vision*, *12*(12):13, 1–24, http://www.journalofvision.org/content/12/12/13, doi:10.1167/12.12.13.

## Introduction

The vertebrate retina is a thin layer of neural tissue lining the back of the eye that samples visual information from the environment before it reaches the visual centers of the brain. Photoreceptor cells are responsible for absorbing light energy or photons and transforming these into electrical signals that pass through a series of interneurons (bipolar, amacrine, and horizontal cells) before reaching the retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), whose axons form the optic nerve. The optic nerve is organized so that retinotopic information processed at the level of the retina is carried to specific regions of the central nervous system (McIlwain, 1996). The density of photoreceptors and RGCs is not homogeneous across the retina (Bozzano & Collin, 2000; Hughes, 1977; Schiviz, Ruf, Kuebber-Heiss, Schubert, & Ahnelt, 2008; Wagner, Frohlich, Negishi, & Collin, 1998; Walls, 1942). Regions of the retina with a higher density of photoreceptors and RGCs are known as retinal specializations (Meyer, 1977; Walls, 1942). These specializations provide higher spatial resolving power in discrete regions of the visual field (Collin, 1999). Therefore, animals rely on these specializations to obtain high quality information about their environment.

Across vertebrates, different types of retinal specializations have been identified, such as foveae, areae, and visual streaks, each varying in number, size, shape, and position in the retina (Collin, 1999; Collin & Shand, 2003; Hughes, 1977; Walls, 1942). A fovea is a pitted invagination of retinal tissue with a high density of photoreceptors and is surrounded by high densities of RGCs, where the inner retinal layers are displaced and the elongated photoreceptors attain their highest level of cell packing. The fovea is considered to mediate the highest spatial resolving power of all retinal specializations (Inzunza, Bravo, & Smith, 1989; Ross, 2004). An area is a concentric increase in ganglion cell or photoreceptor density, but without any obvious retinal displacement of the retinal layers. A visual streak is a band-like *area* extending horizontally across the retina allowing higher spatial sampling of a panoramic visual field. Each species possesses a specific arrangement of retinal specializations, which appears to be under selective pressure by virtue of its ecological niche, ambient light conditions, and habitat complexity (Collin, 1999).

Studying the distribution of neurons across the retina, or retinal topography, of a given species can help us understand how organisms visually perceive their environment, which ultimately affects their behavior (Fernández-Juricic, Gall, et al., 2011; Temple, Hart, Marshall, & Collin, 2010). For instance, among falconiform birds, predatory species have been shown to possess both central and temporal foveae, whereas

the carrion-eating species have a single central fovea (Inzunza, Bravo, Smith, & Angel, 1991). Differences in the location of the retinal specializations in these species may be related to foraging strategies: predatory species are involved in more visually demanding tasks than carrion-eating species, which could account for the presence of the second foveae (Inzunza et al., 1991).

The comparative assessment of the diversity in retinal topography has important implications for better understanding the adaptations of the vertebrate visual system to different environmental conditions. This is particularly relevant given the large number of species whose retinal topography has been examined. Collin (2008) collated published topographic maps and released a public archive (see http://www.retinalmaps. com.au/) with over 300 species of vertebrates and over 1,000 maps. Despite some studies characterizing cell density gradients across the retina (Wässle & Boycott, 1991; Wässle, Grünert, Röhrenbeck, & Boycott, 1989), at present there is no single standard method for measuring retinal specialization traits quantitatively, such as type, position, and changes in cell density from the retinal periphery to the center of different retinal specializations. Such a capability would harness the power of this large comparative resource and allow us to test more challenging hypotheses regarding the evolution of vision across vertebrate taxa.

The aim of this study is to present a novel method to quantify the position of the retinal specialization and the concomitant changes in cell density across the retina. Additionally, using a commonly used statistical tool (discriminant function analysis [DFA]), we determined whether traits obtained by our method (retinal specialization position and cell density gradients) in combination with other retinal traits (peak and lowest ganglion cell densities) would be sensitive enough to distinguish among three common types of retinal specializations (fovea, area, or visual streak) in terrestrial and aquatic vertebrates. The methodological procedures presented in this study will have wide applicability in a comparative context by allowing us to standardize the measurement of retinal features from already published topographic maps in species with different eye size, orbit position in the skull, and overall retinal cell density.

## Methods

This section is divided in three main parts. First, we describe the database on topographic maps gathered for this study. Second, we explain in detail the novel method we used to collect information on retinal specialization position, ganglion cell density gradients, and peak and lowest cell densities from topographic maps. Finally, we test our method by incorporating these retinal parameters into a DFA to test whether they can classify the topographic maps correctly into different types of retinal specializations (fovea, *area*, or visual streak).

#### Topographic maps database

We used published topographic maps of the RGC layer instead of the photoreceptor layer because they are more readily available in the literature. The original data consisted of counts of RGCs in different regions of the retina that were used to build the topographic maps. Most of the maps used in this study are available in the retinal topographic map database: http://www. retinalmaps.com.au/ (Collin, 2008). We used topographic maps from 88 species of vertebrates (Chondrichthyes, 6; Actinopterygii, 25; Amphibia, 1; "Reptilia," 2; Aves, 21; Mammalia, 33; Appendix 1). Within Mammalia, we did not use the published topographic maps of the human retina (Curcio & Allen, 1990; Harman, Abrahams, Moore, & Hoskins, 2000), as they were not technically compatible with our methods. In the text, we used the common names of the species, but scientific names are available in Appendix 1. We classified species as aquatic if part of their life cycle relied on water for foraging and/or breeding purposes. Otherwise, species were considered terrestrial (Appendix 1).

We chose topographic maps that provided the orientation and scale of the retina with easily distinguished and properly labeled iso-density lines. We classified retinal specializations into three categories (fovea, *area*, and visual streak) based on the descriptions and topographic maps presented in the original published papers and some specific criteria (details in Appendix 2). In a limited number of studies, more than one map per species was available, and we chose the one the authors deemed most representative. The topographic map of each species was taken as the unit upon which we made measurements on different retinal traits (see below).

From the topographic maps (see example in Figure 1a), we quantified eight traits: (1–2) position of the retinal specialization with two coordinates, (3–6) changes in ganglion cell density from the retinal periphery to the center of the retinal specialization (cell density gradient) in four different regions of the retina (nasal, temporal, dorsal, and ventral), (7) peak RGC density, and (8) lowest RGC density. The position of the retinal specialization is relevant to establish the projection of the area with the highest spatial resolving power into the visual field (Collin, 1999). For instance, in a species with laterally-placed eyes, a temporal retinal specialization will project into

Moore et al.

the binocular visual field. The ganglion cell density gradient from the retinal periphery to the center of the retinal specialization varies substantially between species (Dolan & Fernández-Juricic, 2010). This cell density gradient is a proxy for how improved spatial resolving power provided by the retinal specialization is compared to the retinal periphery (Fernández-Juricic, Moore, et al., 2011). For instance, species with a steep cell density gradient are expected to rely more on the retinal specialization for visualizing objects, which could in turn affect patterns of visual search and visual fixation (Fernández-Juricic, Moore, et al., 2011). Finally, the highest and lowest RGC densities are proxies for the maximum and minimum levels, respectively, of spatial resolving power within the retina. The peak RGC density has been used in the calculation of the upper levels of visual acuity in some species (Boire, Dufour, Theoret, & Ptito, 2001; Collin & Pettigrew, 1989; Dolan & Fernández-Juricic, 2010; Hughes, 1977; Pettigrew et al., 1988).

#### **Retinal parameters**

#### Position of the retinal specialization

We first established the location of the *center* of the retinal specialization in the topographic map. For a fovea, given its relatively small size, the position was generally marked in the topographic map as a point. The fovea can be identified from a wholemounted retina as a circular pit on the retinal tissue. However, the *area* and the visual streak occupy a relatively larger spatial extent than the fovea (Walls, 1937). Therefore, we determined the center of either type of retinal specialization as the point with the highest cell density identified in each published topographic map. If this point was not reported, we marked it as the middle point within the highest cell density range because the highest cell density is usually located at the center of the upper cell density range in most topographic maps (Collin, 2008).

To quantify the position of the retinal specialization, we used a Cartesian coordinate system (see also Mastronade, Thibeault, & Dubin, 1984). Because the outer edges of the retina are removed in a nonuniform fashion during the retinal wholemounting process (Stone, 1981; Ullmann, Moore, Temple, Fernández-Juricic, & Collin, 2012; Figure 1a), we fitted a circle over the retina by eye based on two criteria: the circle encompassed as much of the retina as possible, and the gaps between the circle and the periphery of the retina were minimized (Figure 1b). Once the circle was fitted over the retina, we determined the center of the circle as the intersection of any two diameters, which were traced with Autocad 2010 (Autodesk, San Rafael, CA, USA, http://usa.autodesk.com/autocad/).



Figure 1. (a) Topographic map of the retinal ganglion cell distribution of the California Towhee *Pipilo crissalis* (Fernández-Juricic, Gall, et al., 2011). Shown are iso-density lines (connecting areas of the retina with the same cell density). (b) Circle fitting of the edges of the retina. (c) Angle between the center of the retinal specialization and the nasal axis of the retina. The gray dot represents the center of the retinal specialization. (d) Distance from the center of the retina to the center of the retinal specialization. (d) Distance from the center of the retina to the center of the retinal specialization (2.62). This distance is divided by the radius of the circle (10.65) to obtain a standardized distance of the retinal specialization to the center of the retinal (0.25).

From the center of the retina, we then measured the angle of the retinal specialization (in degrees,  $\Theta$ ). The nasal part of the retina was considered as 0° for both right and left eyes, which allowed us to standardize measurements across species irrespective of the eye used to generate the topographic map. We then established 90° as dorsal, 180° as temporal, and 270° as ventral (Figure 1b). The angle of the retinal specialization was measured in relation to the nasal direction (Figure 1c). We measured the relative distance from the center of the retinal to the center of the retinal specialization. We first drew a line from the center point of the retinal to the retinal specialization (Figure 1d) and measured this distance with the aligned

measurement tool in Autocad 2010 (Figure 1d). We divided this distance by the radius of the circle to obtain a standardized distance (Figure 1d), which varied from 0 to 1.

We converted the angle of the retinal specialization  $(\Theta)$  and its distance to the center of the retina (r) into Cartesian coordinates, which are both linear (x and y) and can be any positive or negative number (Figure 2). We used  $(r)\cos\Theta$  to obtain the x-coordinate and  $(r)\sin\Theta$  to obtain the y-coordinate. Cartesian coordinates consist of two linear positive and/or negative values; thus, a right and left retina will provide different x-coordinate values since the eye is flipped around the y-axis. To maintain consistency, we made right eyes the



Figure 2. Cartesian coordinates to establish the position of the retinal specialization in the retina. The coordinates consist of two linear distances (*x*- and *y*-coordinates) of both positive and negative values, depending on whether the position of the specialization is on the dorsal, ventral, nasal, or temporal sides of the retina.

standard, inversing the sign of the *x*-coordinate for left eyes. Using Cartesian coordinates assumes that the wholemounting process was done similarly across studies to produce the topographic maps. However, this is unlikely to be the case, which could introduce a certain degree of error in our measurements (see more details in the Discussion).

#### Cell density gradient across the retina

Topographic maps provide a visual representation of variations in cell density across the retina using lines (iso-density lines or contours, Figure 1a) that connect areas of the retina with similar density (Stone, 1981; Ullmann et al., 2012). We used these iso-density lines and the regions in the retina they delimit to establish changes in cell density from the retinal periphery to the retinal specialization. We used the center of the retinal specialization (see above) as a reference point to draw four vectors across the retina in the nasal, dorsal, temporal, and ventral directions using Microsoft Powerpoint<sup>©</sup> (Figure 3a). Using Image J (Rasband, 1997–2012), we scaled the topographic map based on the scale provided in the original publication. Along each of the four vectors (dorsal, temporal, ventral, and nasal), we marked the points where iso-density lines would intersect with each vector (Figure 3a). In some topographic maps, the vectors would lie on a radial cut of the retina (originally made to flatten the retina onto the slide during the wholemounting procedure). In these instances, we projected the iso-density line into

the void space from each direction, taking into consideration the normal curvature of the retina.

We set sampling points along two pairs of vectors (nasal-temporal and dorsal-ventral; Figure 3a and b). Along each pair of vectors, we established 21 evenly-spaced sampling points (Figure 3b shows an example with the nasal-temporal vector), with the first and last sampling point marking the edges of the retina, yielding 20 evenly-spaced intervals (Figures 3b and 4d). At each of the 21 sampling points, the average density of RGCs was recorded by determining which iso-density lines each sampling point fell into (i.e., between which iso-density lines; Figure 4a through f).

First, we measured the distance (mm) between isodensity lines along a given vector (nasal-temporal and dorsal-ventral; Figure 4b). Second, we measured the cumulative distance (mm) at each iso-density line (Figure 4c). Third, we determined the distance (mm) between each sampling point along the vector by multiplying the total length of the vector (e.g., 12.28 mm in Figure 4) by 0.05 (e.g., 0.614 mm in Figure 4) to establish 21 sampling points that were equidistant to each other (Figure 4d). Fourth, we calculated the cumulative distances across sampling points along a given vector (Figure 4e). Fifth, if the cumulative distance up to a particular sampling point was smaller than the cumulative distance up to the iso-density line with the next higher cell density value, we established the mean RGC density for that particular sampling point to be the averaged density between the upper and lower cell density ranges bounded by the iso-density



Figure 3. (a) Example of the cell density points at the intersection of the iso-density lines along the nasal-temporal and dorsal-ventral vectors crossing the center of the retinal specialization. Notice that the line extends into the radial cuts of the retina (see text for details). (b) Example of the 21 cell density sampling points along the nasal-temporal vector, which divided the sampling line into 20 even spaces. At each point, we measured the mean cell density value that it fell in. (c) Example of the plot of the mean cell density in each sampling point from the temporal periphery of the retina to the center of the retinal specialization. We fitted a line and used its slope as the rate of change in cell density from the retinal periphery to the retinal specialization.

lines that the sampling point fell into (Figure 4f). For instance, in Figure 4, the cumulative distance up to sampling point 3 is 1.228 mm (Figure 4e), which is smaller than the cumulative distance up to the proceeding iso-density line 4, 1.841 mm, with a higher cell density value (Figure 4e). Therefore, the final cell density value obtained for sampling plot 3 was estimated to be 7,500 cells/mm<sup>2</sup> (i.e., average of the cell density range 5,000–9,900 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>; Figure 4f). We followed the same procedure to estimate the cell densities of all other sampling points, which were used for the calculation of the slope.

The number of sampling points (21) along a given vector allowed us to capture the high diversity in isodensity line configurations present in the published topographic maps used in this study. We tried using fewer sampling points, but missed changes in isodensity categories in some of the topographic maps. In some cases, some of the 21 sampling points did not fall within the peak density range of the retinal specialization. To determine whether or not this caused a significant change in our slope estimates, we increased the number of sampling points to include the cell density range of the retinal specialization and recalculated the slope. We found that these two measurements were highly correlated (nasal, r = 0.99, p < 0.001; temporal, r = 0.96, p < 0.001; dorsal, r = 0.99, p < 0.001; ventral, r = 0.99, p < 0.001). Consequently, we decided to use the 21 sampling points to be consistent across all topographic maps.

In some cases, the published topographic maps did not include the RGC density for the outer perimeter of the retina. For these maps, when a sampling point fell into the peripheral cell density range, we established that the cell density would be half of the density of the first iso-density line shown nearest the periphery, based



Figure 4. Example of how to determine the mean cell density for each of the 21 sampling points. Shown are the first 13 and the last sampling points for the sake of clarity. Distances were scaled to mm to fit the scale provided in the topographic maps. Open circles represent the iso-density lines, and solid circles are the evenly spaced sampling points. The mean RGC density is an average of the RGC range between two iso-density lines. The edges of the retina are marked with sampling point 1 (0.00 mm) and 21 (12.28 mm). Sampling point 13 is the point that falls along the vector prior to crossing over the peak cell density of the retinal specialization. See explanation of the different steps (a through f) in the text.

on patterns observed in maps that included this piece of information. For instance, if the first peripheral isodensity value was 500 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>, a sampling point falling into this range would have a ganglion cell density value of 250 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>. After the RGC density values had been recorded for all 21 points on the pairs of vectors (nasal-temporal and dorsal-ventral), we split them into four separate vectors (nasal, temporal, ventral, and dorsal). We then plotted the mean RGC density values at each sampling point and fitted the changes in cell density across the retina with a linear and nonlinear functions (second order polynomial). From the linear fitting, we used the slope of that line as a proxy for the gradient in cell density change from the retinal periphery to the retinal specialization (example in Figure 3c). From the nonlinear fitting, we used the coefficients of the first and second order polynomials as the proxies for the gradient in cell density change. We also ran the analyses with a third order polynomial (data not shown: results available from the corresponding author), but the fit was even worse than the linear and second order polynomial. We took this dual approach (linear and nonlinear) in the cell density gradient characterization since some of the gradients deviated from linearity.

For instance, in some topographic maps (pigmented rabbit, black bream, painted flutemouth, spookfish, and staghorn damselfish), we could only get two different cell density values on a specific retinal direction (e.g., a plateau followed by a sudden increase in cell density) because of the low number of iso-density categories or because the retinal specialization was too close to the edge of the retina, reducing the number of sampling points on that specific direction of the retina. For the linear approach, we fitted the data with a Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARSplines) analysis, which yielded a weighted slope based on slopes from lines fitted to different parts of the relationship based on differences in the coefficient of determination (Statsoft, 2012). The slope values obtained from the MARSplines analysis were similar to those obtained through linear regression fitting. Therefore, we decided to use the latter so that the slope values were comparable across species. Using a similar procedure for all taxa is particularly important for the application of our method in comparative analyses. Finally, the gradient in cell density change in the nasal regions of the great kiskadee, coral cod, carangid fish, small dogfish, and softskin smoothhead showed a pattern of increasingdecreasing-increasing cell density from the retinal periphery to the center of the retinal specialization. To determine if the slopes of cell density change on a single retinal direction of these species would bias the conclusions of the linear approach, we reran our statistical analysis classifying retinal specializations based on the studied traits (DFA, see below) excluding

these species, but the overall classification scores were very similar to the analysis including these species (available from the corresponding author upon request). We therefore included these five species in the analyses to assess the discrimination ability of the model based on a wide range of retinal topographic configurations.

#### Peak and lowest cell density

From the original publications and the topographic maps, we obtained the peak RGC density. The lowest cell density was obtained from the topographic maps as the cell density at the periphery of the retina. In some cases, the cell density at the periphery was not available. We then established the cell density as half of the density of the first iso-density line reported in the topographic map (see below).

#### Statistical analysis

The analysis included measurements from 26 foveae, 35 visual streaks, and 33 areae. Six species were represented twice in our dataset (Appendix 1) due to the presence of two retinal specializations in different regions of their retinas: Chilean eagle and American kestrel (central and temporal foveae), and rock pigeon, great kiskadee, and rusty-marginated flycatcher (central fovea and area temporalis), and harlequin tuskfish (streak and area). We decided to include the second retinal specialization from each of these species due to the different morphologies within each retina (e.g., the central retinal specialization had a higher cell density than the temporal) and to determine if our method could tell the two types of specializations apart on a given species. However, we acknowledge that this introduced a bias by having two data points from each of these six species. We justified this on the basis that this study focuses on presenting a novel method rather than analyzing retinal configurations from a comparative perspective controlling for the effects of phylogenetic relatedness.

We used a DFA (Huberty, 1994; Quinn & Keough, 2002) to assess the ability of our method to assign the different topographic maps to three types of retinal specializations (fovea, *area*, and visual streak). We chose the DFA over other classification techniques (e.g., artificial neural networks) because its results are easier to interpret. We included in the DFA the eight retinal traits studied for each topographic map, along with the type of retinal specialization. The DFA generated canonical discriminant functions based on the linear combinations of the eight retinal traits maximizing the probability of correctly assigning cases (e.g., topographic maps) to specific categories (e.g.,

type of retinal specialization; Huberty, 1994). The DFA used the relative sizes of the standardized coefficients of each discriminant function (Huberty, 1994) to establish the retinal traits that best discriminated among types of retinal specializations. By solving the discriminant functions, the DFA estimated discriminant function scores for each topographic map on each function (Ouinn & Keough, 2002). This information was used in a canonical correlation analysis to plot the values of each topographic map along the roots (i.e., eigenvalues associated with the respective discriminant function; Statsoft, 2012) to assess visually the degree to which observations belonging to different types of retinal specializations grouped together (e.g., Figures 5 and 6 show the roots of the canonical analysis). Additionally, the DFA derived a classification equation for each type of retinal specialization (Quinn & Keough, 2002), which was used to estimate a classification score for each topographic map. Then each topographic map was assigned to the type of retinal specialization based on its classification score, which allowed the DFA to estimate the percentage of observations that were correctly classified (Quinn & Keough, 2002). The DFA shares assumptions with general linear models

(Tabachnick & Fidell, 1996).

For the DFA, we used Wilks' Lambda as the test statistic, which was then used to estimate an F statistic and *p*-value. Given that some of the traits we measured had a high degree of correlation (>0.70; peak RGC density and nasal, dorsal, and ventral gradient in cell density), we used a forward stepwise selection method to enter the traits in the model. This model selection procedure enhanced the classification score of the DFA in comparison to standard selection procedures forcing all traits into the model. In the DFA, we used a-priori classification probabilities that were proportional to group sizes (Statsoft, 2012). We first ran the DFA model, pooling terrestrial and aquatic species together, and then considered them separately due to potential differences in retinal configuration (Mass & Supin, 2007). We ran two sets of DFA models, one for the linear and one for the nonlinear approach. For the DFA using the linear approach, we included the following parameters: peak RGC density, lowest RGC density, x-coordinate position, y-coordinate position, and nasal, temporal, dorsal, and ventral slopes. For the DFA using the nonlinear approach, we had two slope coefficients (first and second order polynomials) in each of the four retinal directions. Because these coefficients are not independent of each other, we ran a principal component analysis (PCA) to combine the two coefficients into a single factor before running the DFA models. Thus, for the DFA using the nonlinear approach, we included the following parameters: peak RGC density, lowest RGC density, xcoordinate position, *y*-coordinate position, nasal PCA



Figure 5. Scatterplot of the discriminant functions (canonical axis scores) showing the discrimination of the three types of retinal specializations (fovea, area, and visual streak) for terrestrial vertebrates. We used two approaches, (a) linear and (b) nonlinear, to quantify cell density gradients (details in the text). Only two canonical axis scores were computed in each case. RS, retinal specialization.



Figure 6. Scatterplot of the discriminant functions (canonical axis scores) showing the discrimination of the three types of retinal specializations (fovea, area, and visual streak) for aquatic vertebrates. We used two approaches, (a) linear and (b) nonlinear, to quantify cell density gradients (details in the text). Only two canonical axis scores were computed in each case. RS, retinal specialization.

Moore et al.

	Mean ± <i>SD</i>	Min.	Species with min.	Max.	Species with max.
Lowest retinal ganglion cell (RGC) density	2,340.1 ± 276.7 (116)	10	Western gray kangaroo	12,000	Brown-headed cowbird
Peak RGC density	21,684.9 ± 1947.8 (88)	220	Koala	65,000	American kestrel
Nasal slope	$1.05\pm0.12$ (111)	0.007	African elephant	5.77	Rusty-marginated Flycatcher
Temporal slope	$2.59\pm0.37$ (140)	0.015	Koala	20.00	Painted flutemouth
Dorsal slope	$1.55 \pm 0.21$ (132)	0.004	Koala	10.50	Rock pigeon
Ventral slope	$1.51\pm0.18$ (116)	0.021	Western gray kangaroo	10.00	Staghorn damselfish
x-coordinate	$0.01 \pm 0.04$ (2686)	-0.761	Shovelnose ray	0.81	Painted flutemouth
y-coordinate	$-0.03 \pm 0.02$ (710)	-0.809	Spookfish	0.64	Black bream

Table 1. Descriptive statistics on the different retinal traits measured from the topographic maps of 88 species of vertebrates (see text for details). Values within parentheses are coefficients of variation.

factor, temporal PCA factor, dorsal PCA factor, and ventral PCA factor. Therefore, the DFA models using the linear and nonlinear approaches included the same number of parameters.

## Results

We obtained measurements on all the retinal traits from 94 topographic maps belonging to 88 species of vertebrates (Table 1). Based on the coefficients of variation, position in the x- and y-coordinates showed the highest degree of variability between species, whereas peak RGC density and nasal gradient in cell density showed the lowest (Table 1). Different taxa were represented in the extreme values of the traits measured. The minimum values of the lowest and highest RGC density and cell density gradient in all regions of the retina were represented by mammals, and the minimum values of the x- and y-coordinate were represented by cartilaginous and ray-finned fishes (Actinopterygii and Chondrichthyes; Table 1). The maximum values of lowest and peak RGC density gradients and nasal, temporal, and ventral gradients in cell density were represented by birds, whereas the maximum values of the dorsal gradient in cell density and x- and y-coordinates were represented by rayfinned fish (Actinopterygii; Table 1).

Considering all species, the DFA with a linear approach selected five factors out of the eight: nasal and dorsal gradients in cell density, lowest RGC density, and x- and y-coordinate positions of the retinal specialization. With these factors, the DFA significantly discriminated among the three retinal specializations, F(10, 174) = 6.37, p < 0.001. This DFA correctly classified 66% of the retinal specialization to the correct type. The visual streak (28 out of 35, 80%) and the fovea (16 out of 26, 61.5%) had the highest classification scores, whereas the *area* (18 out of 33, 54.6%) had the lowest. The DFA with a nonlinear approach selected six factors that yielded a significant

discrimination among retinal specializations, F(12, 172) = 5.24, p < 0.001: nasal, dorsal, and ventral PCA factors representing the gradients in cell density, lowest RGC density, and x- and y-coordinate positions of the retinal specialization. The DFA with a nonlinear approach correctly classified 67% of the retinal specializations to the correct type. The visual streak (30 out of 35, 85.7%) had the highest classification scores, followed by the fovea (15 out of 26, 57.7%) and the *area* (18 out of 33, 54.6%). Models with both approaches (linear and nonlinear) performed at similar levels.

We found that sorting species out into terrestrial versus aquatic increased the overall classification scores of the DFA models. Considering terrestrial species, five factors were selected by the DFA with a linear approach to discriminate significantly among the retinal specializations, F(10, 104) = 11.18, p < 0.001: peak and lowest RGC densities, temporal gradient in cell density, x- and y-coordinate positions of the retinal specialization. This DFA model increased the overall classification score of the 59 topographic maps of terrestrial species to 77.97%. The visual streak (23 out of 24, 95.8%) and the fovea (20 out of 22, 90.9%) had the highest classification scores, whereas the area (3 out of 13, 23.1%), the lowest. In nine mammal species, the *area* was misclassified as a visual streak (Table 2). The DFA with a nonlinear approach for terrestrial species also discriminated significantly among retinal specializations, F(12, 102) = 9.11, p < 0.001, including six factors: peak and lowest RGC densities, x- and ycoordinate positions of the retinal specialization, and dorsal and temporal PCA factors representing the gradients in cell density. The overall classification score of this DFA was 79.7%, with the visual streak (23 out of 24, 95.8%) and the fovea (20 out of 22, 90.9%) having the highest scores, and the *area* the lowest (4 out of 13, 30.77%). In eight mammal species, the visual streak was misclassified (Table 2). Models with both approaches (linear and nonlinear) for terrestrial species performed at similar levels.

		Misclassified using the	Misclassified using the
Species common name	Type of RS	linear approach as	nonlinear approach as
Peafowl	area	fovea	fovea
Mouse lemur	area	visual streak	visual streak
Tree kangaroo	area	visual streak	visual streak
North American opossum	area	visual streak	visual streak
Three-toed sloth	area	visual streak	N/A
Golden hamster	area	visual streak	visual streak
Ferret	area	visual streak	visual streak
Galago	area	visual streak	visual streak
Koala	area	visual streak	visual streak
Hooded rat	area	visual streak	visual streak
Anubis baboon	fovea	visual streak	area
Owl monkey	fovea	visual streak	visual streak
Beagle	visual streak	area	area

Table 2. Topographic maps of terrestrial vertebrates that were misclassified by the DFAs considering different retinal traits (see text for details). Two approaches were used (linear and nonlinear) for the classification. Scientific names are presented in Appendix 1. RS, retinal specialization.

The plots of the first and second canonical axis scores (roots 1 and 2 in Figure 5) of the terrestrial species for both the linear and nonlinear approaches for quantifying cell density gradients show that there is little overlap between the fovea and the visual streak (Figure 5a and b), which were discriminated mostly along the first canonical axis scores (root 1). Based on the factors with the higher loadings on the canonical axes, species with a fovea showed higher peak and lowest RGC density, whereas species with a visual streak showed a shallower temporal gradient in cell density. The area had intermediate values along root 1 (Figure 5a and b). With respect to the second canonical axis scores (root 2 in Figure 5), a slightly larger number of species with foveae and visual streaks had their retinal specialization located in the dorsal and temporal areas of the retina (Figure 5a and b). The main difference between the linear and nonlinear approaches was the bottom-left corner of the plot of the canonical axis scores. In the linear approach, this sector corresponded to species exhibiting shallow temporal gradients in cell density between the retinal periphery and the retinal specialization (Figure 5a), whereas in the nonlinear approach, this sector corresponded to species with more nasal and ventral retinal specializations (Figure 5b). Overall, the area overlapped more with the visual streak than with the fovea (Figure 5).

When considering only the aquatic species, seven factors were selected by the DFA with the linear approach for quantifying cell density gradients to discriminate significantly among the retinal specializations, F(14, 52) = 3.06, p = 0.002: x- and y-coordinate positions of the retinal specialization, peak and lowest RGC densities, and temporal, nasal, and dorsal gradients in cell density. This DFA model assigned 85.7% of the topographic maps to the correct type of

retinal specialization (Appendix 2). The area had the highest classification scores (18 out of 20, 9%), whereas the visual streak (9 out of 11, 81.8%) and the fovea (3 out of 4, 75%) had the lowest classification scores. In this DFA model, the most common misclassifications were visual streaks that were sorted as *areae* in two fish species (Table 3). The DFA model with the nonlinear approach discriminated significantly among the three retinal specializations, F(12, 54) = 9.11, p < 0.001. This model included six factors: peak and lowest RGC densities, x- and y-coordinate positions of the retinal specialization, and dorsal and temporal PCA factors representing the gradients in cell density from the retinal periphery to the retinal specialization. The model classified correctly 77.1% of the cases. The area had the highest classification score (17 out of 20, 85%), followed by the visual streak (8 out of 11, 72.7%) and the fovea (2 out of 4, 50%). The visual streak and the area were commonly misclassified in five fish species (Table 3). The DFA model with a linear approach for aquatic vertebrates performed better than the model with the nonlinear approach.

The plot of the first and second canonical axis scores (roots 1 and 2 in Figure 6) of the aquatic species shows a clear segregation among the fovea, *area*, and visual streak in the linear and nonlinear approaches (Figure 6), particularly along the first canonical axis (root 1 in Figure 6). Based on the factors with the higher loadings on the canonical axes, foveae had higher peak and minimum RGC densities and steeper temporal slopes. Visual streaks had shallower temporal gradients in cell density, higher peak RGC densities, and the fovea was placed more nasally and temporally. Finally, *areae* showed intermediate values between these extremes (Figure 6a and b). The factors associated with the

		Misclassified using the	Misclassified using the
Species common name	Type of RS	linear approach as	nonlinear approach as
Florida garfish	visual streak	area	area
Lemon shark	visual streak	area	area
Harp seal	area	visual streak	visual streak
Coral cod	area	fovea	N/A
Searsid	fovea	area	N/A
Bigfin pearleye	area	N/A	visual streak
Creek chub	area	N/A	visual streak
Harlequin tuskfish	visual streak	N/A	area
Legless searsid	fovea	N/A	area
Searsid	fovea	N/A	visual streak

Table 3. Topographic maps of aquatic vertebrates that were misclassified by the DFAs considering different retinal traits (see text for details). Two approaches were used (linear and nonlinear) for the classification. Scientific names are presented in Appendix 1. RS, retinal specialization.

canonical axes were different between the models with the linear and nonlinear approaches (Figure 6).

Both DFA model approaches yielded classification functions for each type of retinal specialization considering terrestrial and aquatic species (Appendix 3). These functions can be used in the future for the calculation of classification scores for species not used in this analysis to further test the classification ability of the models.

## Discussion

We presented a novel method to characterize retinal traits based on topographic maps of the RGC layer. This method estimates the position of the retinal specialization and the gradient in cell density from the retinal periphery towards the retinal specialization in four axes relevant to the visual ecology of the animal. This information was complemented with the peak and lowest ganglion cell densities available from the topographic maps. Our method provides a quantitative way of evaluating changes in retinal specialization traits across species to test in the future different visual ecology hypotheses. We found that our method is sensitive to identifying common types of retinal specializations in terrestrial and aquatic mammals (fovea, area, and visual streak), which have been generally distinguished on the basis of size and crosssections of the area with the highest cell density in the retina (Collin, 1999; Hughes, 1977; Walls, 1942). Furthermore, our method can be used to identify retinal topographies that would support different types of retinal specializations on the same retina.

Traditionally, the position of a retinal specialization has been characterized in discrete categories, such as dorsal, ventro-nasal, central, etc. (Hughes, 1977; Meyer, 1977; Walls, 1942). However, this categorization prevents us from making quantitative estimations that can be used to compare the position of the retinal specialization across species living in different visual environments. Quantitative estimates can allow us to determine more accurately the specific position in the visual field that the retinal specialization projects to, which has important behavioral implications (e.g., foraging, Collin, 1999; anti-predator behavior, Fernández-Juricic, 2012; predator-prey interactions, Cronin, 2005). Our method estimates the position of retinal specializations using a Cartesian system that takes into consideration the angle of the retinal specialization in relation to the nasal direction, as well as the distance between the retinal specialization and the center of the retina. For instance, we found that in terrestrial vertebrates, the fovea and visual streak are located more dorsally and temporally, whereas in aquatic vertebrates, the fovea appears to be more ventrally placed. These trends can be tested in future studies using comparative methods controlling for phylogenetic effects.

Our index of the steepness of the gradient in cell density can offer insight into the degree of spatial resolving power provided by the retinal specialization in relation to that of the retinal periphery (Dolan & Fernández-Juricic, 2010; Whiteside, 1967). We found a trend that suggests that foveae have steeper gradients (and thus a more pronounced change in spatial resolving power) from the retinal specialization to the retinal periphery and higher peak ganglion cell density in relation to *areae* and visual streaks. Future comparative studies should assess whether animals with a steep decline in visual resolution towards the retinal periphery rely more heavily upon the retinal specialization for visualizing objects (Fernández-Juricic, Gall, et al. 2011).

We used linear and nonlinear approaches for classifying different retinal specializations, which overall performed similarly. However, both approaches were less successful in discriminating among the three retinal specializations when we combined terrestrial and aquatic species than when we considered these groups separately. This could be related to variations in the retinal configuration beyond the known differences in eye characteristics between terrestrial and aquatic vertebrates (Dral, 1972; Mass & Supin, 2007). Compared to terrestrial species, aquatic species appear to have higher densities and larger RGCs (Mass & Supin, 2010), higher densities of amacrine and neuroglial cells (Mass & Supin, 2000), lower numbers of cone photoreceptors (Peichl, Berhmann, & Kroger, 2001), and a higher maximum number of retinal specializations per retina (Collin, 1999). Many of these differences are also taxa-specific (Collin, 1999). The implication is that future comparative studies on retinal topography should assess terrestrial and aquatic species separately.

In terrestrial species, the DFA provided good discrimination (above 90%) for foveae and visual streaks, but lower discrimination for areae. On the contrary, in aquatic species, the linear DFA in particular discriminated areae better than foveae and visual streaks. One potential factor is that the retinal specialization type with the lower discrimination in either model was the one with the lowest sample size. Additionally, in terrestrial species, *areae* were generally misclassified as visual streaks, whereas in aquatic species, visual streaks were generally misclassified as areae. In three of the terrestrial mammals with a misclassified area (golden hamster, ferret, and hooded rat), the topographic maps showed an area where the lower cell density isolines were slightly elongated, which is sometimes referred to in the literature as a "weak" visual streak (Collin & Pettigrew, 1988a, b), although it does not meet the morphological criteria we used for visual streaks (Appendix 2). Finally, some of the authors' original classifications included two types of retinal specializations overlapping (e.g., area and visual streak). We chose one based on specific criteria (Appendix 2) for the DFA. However, the lower classification success of some topographic maps suggests that some observed retinal specializations may be intermediate between two different types. Our method has the potential to quantify this degree of variability.

One trait that could facilitate the discrimination of an *area* from a visual streak in the future is the spatial extent of these retinal specializations. It is assumed that *areae* are smaller than visual streaks (Collin, 1999; Hughes, 1977). Although the spatial limits of foveae are easier to distinguish morphologically from the wholemounted retina (e.g., the width of the foveal pit), the same does not apply to *areae* and visual streaks. For instance, the *area* is defined as a thickening of the retinal tissue; however, there is no established criterion to determine where the thickening begins in a crosssection, let alone in a topographic map. The same is true for the visual streak, as the density thresholds that bound the band of high cell density (hence, spatial resolving power) across the retina are yet to be established. Our method actually identified species that can be used to better understand the morphological differences between *areae* and visual streaks by comparing the aforementioned retinal traits in species that were correctly as well as incorrectly classified. Future work addressing the spatial limits of retinal specializations (e.g., expressed as the percentage of the peak RGC density) could improve the classification success of DFAs like the one used in this study.

Our method has some shortcomings. First, measuring the position of the center of the retinal specialization and the ganglion cell density gradient assumes that cell density increases from the periphery towards a single point of peak density in the retina. Consequently, our method is not applicable to the retinal specialization termed radial anisotropy, which is a concentric increase in ganglion cell density towards the periphery of the retina (Dunlop & Beazley, 1981). Determining the center of this retinal specialization is therefore not feasible using our method. Although the radial anisotropy has been reported in species such as the South African clawed frog Xenopus laevis (Dunlop & Beazley, 1984), the sawtoothed eel Serrivomer beani (Collin & Partridge, 1996), and Bonapart's spiny eel Notacanthus bonapartei (Wagner et al., 1998), it is not very common in vertebrates and is primarily reported in studies that have included amacrine cells within the ganglion cell layer, which may account for the higher cell density in the periphery. Second, our method is at the mercy of the publishing authors having oriented the wholemount correctly with regard to the nasal, dorsal, ventral, and temporal poles and the assumption that the shrinkage of the wholemount during processing was relatively similar in different species (Stone, 1981; Ullmann et al., 2012). Third, our method assumes that the cells counted are all RGCs, which in some cases are difficult to distinguish from other cell types (e.g., amacrine cells; Freeman & Tancred, 1978; Hayes & Holden, 1983; Hughes, 1977; Pettigrew, Dreher, Hopkins, McCall, & Brown, 1988).

Despite these limitations, we believe our novel method can be applied to characterize retinal morphology by standardizing the measurement of retinal traits (retinal specialization position, cell gradient, etc.) from published topographic maps in a wide range of vertebrate taxa. However, when working with taxa with a lower degree of variability in the studied retinal traits, the method can be slightly adjusted. For instance, there are some species with foveae (humans and primates) in which the RGC density increases gradually from the retinal periphery to the center of the retina, and then cell density sharply increases towards the fovea and eventually decreases to almost zero at the very center of the fovea. For these species, increasing the number of sampling points in the perifoveal and foveal areas may provide a better characterization of the gradients in cell density. In these cases, the nonlinear approach (even including third order polynomials) may fit the data better.

Although we did not test any specific hypothesis, the retinal traits measured can be used in combination with phylogenetic methods (Garland, Bennett, & Rezende, 2005; Harvey & Pagel, 1991; Nunn & Barton, 2001) to answer questions about the association between retinal morphology and behavioral, ecological, and life-history traits (Hall & Ross, 2007; Heesy, Kamilar, & Willms, 2011), which can shed light onto the evolution of the vertebrate visual system. Additionally, our method can be used to establish how different retinal specializations vary in position, ganglion cell density, and cell density gradients in taxa/species with different visual demands and that inhabit a diversity of ecological niches. Finally, the retinal traits measured can be used to distinguish between different types of retinal specializations using published topographic maps. This may be particularly important for rare, threatened, or endangered species, for example, where the availability of additional retinal material to use for further analysis (such as sectioning the retina in order to confirm the presence or absence of a fovea) is limited due to logistic or ethical considerations.

## Acknowledgments

Partial funding for this project was provided to EFJ by the National Science Foundation (IOS-0641550/ 0937187) and Purdue University. The material presented in this study is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation through the National Evolutionary Synthesis Center (NESCent) under grant number NSF #EF-0905606.

Commercial relationships: none.

Corresponding author: Esteban Fernández-Juricic.

Email: efernan@purdue.edu.

Address: Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA.

## References

Akaishi, Y., Uchiyama, H., Ito, H., & Shimizu, Y. (1995). A morphological study of the retinal ganglion cells of the Afghan Pika (*Ochotona rufescens*). *Neuroscience Research*, 22, 1–12.

- Beazley, L. (1985). Pattern formation in the retinal ganglion cell layer and visual brain centers. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Ophthalmol*ogy, 13, 93–102.
- Beazley, L., & Dunlop, S. (1983). The evolution of an area centralis and visual streak in the marsupial Setonix brachyurus. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 216, 211–231.
- Binggeli, R. L., & Pauli, W. J. (1969). Pigeon retina: Quantitative aspects of optic nerve and ganglion cell layer. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 137, 1–18.
- Boire, D., Dufour, J. S., Theoret, H., & Ptito, M. (2001). Quantatative analysis of the retinal ganglion cell layer in the ostrich, *Struthio Camelus. Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 58, 343–355.
- Bozzano, A. (2004). Retinal specialisations in the dogfish *Centroscymnus coelolepis* from the Mediterranean deep sea. *Scientia Marina*, 68(Suppl. 3), 185–195.
- Bozzano, A., & Collin, S. P. (2000). Retinal ganglion cell topography in Elasmobranches. *Brain Behavior* & *Evolution*, 55, 191–208.
- Calderone, J. B., Reese, B. E., & Jacobs, G. H. (2003). Topography of photoreceptors and retinal ganglion cells in the spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*). Brain Behavior & Evolution, 62, 182–192.
- Coimbra, J. P., Marceliano, M. L. V., Andrade-da-Costa, B. L. D., & Yamada, E. S. (2006). The retina of tyrant flycatchers: Topographic organization of neuronal density and size in the ganglion cell layer of the great kiskadee *Pitangus sulphuratus* and the rusty marginated flycatcher *Myiozetetes cayanensis* (Aves: Tyrannidae). *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 68, 15–25.
- Collin, S. P. (1988). The retina of the shovel-nosed ray, *Rhinobatos batillum* (Rhinobatidae): Morphology and quantitative analysis of the ganglion, amacrine, and bipolar cell populations. *Experimental Biology*, 47, 195–207.
- Collin, S. P. (1989). Topography and morphology of retinal ganglion cells in the coral trout *Plectropoma leopardus* (Serranidae): A retrograde cobaltouslysine study. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 281, 143–158.
- Collin, S. P. (1999). Behavioural ecology and retinal cell topography. In S. Archer, M. B. Djamgoz, E. Loew, J. C. Partridge, & S. Vallerga (Eds.), *Adaptive mechanisms in the ecology of vision* (pp. 509–535). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Collin, S. P. (2008). A web-based archive for topographic maps of retinal cell distribution in verte-

brates. *Clinical & Experimental Optometry*, 91, 85–95.

- Collin, S. P., & Ali, M. A. (1994). Multiple areas of acute vision in two freshwater teleosts, the creek chub, *Semotilus atromaculatus* (Mitchill) and the cutlips minnow, *Exoglossum maxillingua* (Lesueur). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 72, 721–730.
- Collin, S. P., Hoskins, R. V., & Partridge, J. C. (1997). Tubular eyes of deep-sea fishes: A comparative study of retinal topography. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 50, 335–357.
- Collin, S. P., Lloyd, D. J., & Wagner, H. J. (2000). Foveate vision in deep-sea teleosts: A comparision of primary visual and olfactory inputs. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B*, 355, 1315–1320.
- Collin, S. P., & Northcutt, R. G. (1993). The visual system of the Florida garfish, *Lepisosteus platyrhincus* (Ginglymodi). III. Retinal ganglion cells. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 42, 295–320.
- Collin, S. P., & Partridge, J. C. (1996). Fish vision: Retinal specialization in the eyes of deep-sea teleosts. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 49(Suppl. A), 157–174.
- Collin, S. P., & Pettigrew, J. D. (1988a). Retinal topography in reef teleosts: I. Some species with well-developed areae but poorly-developed streaks. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, *31*, 269–282.
- Collin, S. P., & Pettigrew, J. D. (1988b). Retinal topography in reef teleosts: II. Some species with prominent horizontal streaks and high-density areae. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 31, 283–295.
- Collin, S. P., & Pettigrew, J. D. (1989). Quantitative comparison of the limits in visual spatial resolution set by the ganglion cell layer in twelve species of reef teleosts. *Brain Behavior & Evolution, 34*, 184–192.
- Collin, S. P., & Shand, J. (2003). Retinal sampling and the visual field in fishes. In S. P. Collin & N. J. Marshall (Eds.), *Sensory processing in aquatic environment* (pp. 139–169). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Costa, B. L. S. A., Pessoa, V. F., Bousfield, J. D., & Clarke, R. J. (1987). Unusual distribution of ganglion cells in the retina of the three-toed sloth (*Bradypus variegatus*). *Brazilian Journal of Medical* & *Biology Research*, 20, 741–748.
- Cronin, T. W. (2005). The visual ecology of predatorprey interactions. In P. Barbosa & I. Castellanos (Eds.), *Ecology of predator-prey interactions* (pp. 105–138). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Curcio, C. A., & Allen, K. A. (1990). Topography of

ganglion cells in human retina. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 300, 5–25.

- DeBruyn, E. J., Wise, V. L., & Casagrande, V. A. (1980). The size and topographic arrangement of retinal ganglion cells in the galago. *Vision Research*, 20, 315–327.
- Dolan, T., & Fernández-Juricic, E. (2010). Retinal ganglion cell topography of five species of ground foraging birds. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 75, 111– 121.
- Donascimento, J. L. M., Donascimento, R. S. V., Damasceno, B. A., & Silveira, L. C. L. (1991). The neurons of the retinal ganglion-cell layer of the guinea pig: Quantitative analysis of their distribution and size. *Brazilian Journal of Medical & Biological Research*, 24, 199–214.
- Douglas, R. H., Collin, S. P., & Corrigan, J. (2002). The eyes of suckermouth armoured catfish (*Lor-icariidae*, Subfamily Hypostomus): Pupil response, lenticular longitudinal spherical aberration, and retinal topography. *Journal of Experimental Biolo-gy*, 205, 3425–3433.
- Dral, A. G. D. (1972). Aquatic and aerial vision in bottlenose dolphin. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, 5, 510–513.
- Dunlop, S. A., & Beazley, L. D. (1981). Changing retinal ganglion cell distribution in the frog *Heleioporus eyrei*. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 202, 221–236.
- Dunlop, S. A., & Beazley, L. D. (1984). A morphometric study of the retinal ganglion cell layer and optic nerve from metamorphosis in *Xenopus laevis*. *Vision Research*, 24(5), 417–427.
- Dunlop, S. A., Moore, S. R., & Beazley, L. D. (1997). Changing patterns of vasculature in the developing amphibian retina. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 200, 2479–2492.
- Fernández-Juricic, E. (2012). Sensory basis of vigilance behavior in birds: Synthesis and future prospects. *Behavioural Processes*, 89, 143–152.
- Fernández-Juricic, E., Gall, M. D., Dolan, T., O'Rourke, C., Thomas, S., & Lynch, J. R. (2011). Visual systems and vigilance behaviour of two ground-foraging avian prey species: White-crowned sparrows and California towhees. *Animal Behaviour*, 81, 705–713.
- Fernández-Juricic, E., Moore, B. A., Doppler, M., Freeman, J., Blackwell, B. F., Lima, S. L., et al. (2011). Testing the terrain hypothesis: Canada geese see their world laterally and obliquely. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 77, 147–158.
- Fischer, Q. S., & Kirby, M. A. (1991). Number and

distribution of retinal ganglion-cells in anubis baboons (*Papio anubis*). Brain Behavior & Evolution, 37, 189–203.

- Freeman, B., & Tancred, E. (1978). The number and distribution of ganglion cells in the retina of the brush-tailed possum, *Trichosurus vulpecula*. *Journal* of Comparative Neurology, 177, 557–567.
- Garland, T., Jr., Bennett, A. F., Jr., & Rezende, E. L. (2005). Phylogenetic approaches in comparative physiology. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 208, 3015–3035.
- Hall, M. I., & Ross, C. F. (2007). Eye shape and activity pattern in birds. *Journal of Zoology*, 271, 437–444.
- Harman, A., Abrahams, B., Moore, S., & Hoskins, R. (2000). Neuronal density in the human retinal ganglion cell layer from 1677 years. *Anatomical Record*, 260, 124–131.
- Hart, N. S. (2002). Vision in the peafowl (Aves: Pavo cristatus). Journal of Experimental Biology, 205, 3925–3935.
- Harvey, P. H., & Pagel, M. D. (1991). The comparative method in evolutionary biology. Oxford Series in Ecology & Evolution, Oxford University Press.
- Hayes, B. P., & Holden, A. L. (1983). The distribution of displaced ganglion cells in the retina of the pigeon. *Experimental Brain Research*, 49, 181–188.
- Hayes, B., Martin, G. R., & Brooke, M. D. L. (1991). Novel area serving binocular vision in the retina of procellariiform seabirds. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 37, 79–84.
- Heesy, C. P., Kamilar, J. M., & Willms, J. (2011). Retinogeniculostriate pathway components only scale with orbit convergence in primates and not other mammals. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 77, 105–115.
- Huberty, C. J. (1994). *Applied discriminant analysis*. New York: Wiley.
- Hueter, R. E. (1991). Adaptations for spatial vision in sharks. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, *S5*, 130– 141.
- Hughes, A. (1975). A quantitative analysis of the cat retinal ganglion cell topography. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 163, 107–128.
- Hughes, A. (1977). The topography of vision in mammals of contrasting life style: Comparative optics and retinal organization. In F. Crescitelli (Ed.), *The visual system in vertebrates: Handbook of sensory physiology* (Vol. VII/5, pp. 615–756). New York: Springer-Verlag.

Inzunza, O., Bravo, H., & Smith, R. L. (1989). Foveal

regions of bird retinas correlate with the aster of the inner nuclear layer. *Anatomical Record*, 223(3), 342–346.

- Inzunza, O., Bravo, H., Smith, R. L., & Angel, M. (1991). Topography and morphology of retinal ganglion-cells in Falconiforms: A study on predatory and carrion-eating birds. *Anatomical Record*, 229(2), 271–277.
- Jeffery, G. (1985). The relationship between cell density and the nasotemporal division in the rat retina. *Brain Research*, 347, 354–357.
- Mass, A. M. (1992). Peak density, size, and regional distribution of ganglion cells in the retina of the fur seal *Callorhinus ursinus*. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, *39*, 69–76.
- Mass, A. M., & Supin, A. Y. (2000). Ganglion cells density and retinal resolution in the sea otter, *Enhydra lutris. Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 55, 111– 119.
- Mass, A. M., & Supin, A. Y. (2003). Retinal topography of the harp seal *Pagophilus groenlandicus*. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 62, 212–222.
- Mass, A. M., & Supin, A. Y. (2007). Adaptive features of aquatic mammals' eye. *Anatomical Record*, 290, 701–715.
- Mass, A. M., & Supin, A. Y. (2010). Retinal ganglion cell layer of the Caspian seal *Pusa caspica*: Topography and localization of the high-resolution area. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 76, 144–153.
- Mastronade, D. N., Thibeault, M. A., & Dubin, M. W. (1984). Non-uniform postnatal-growth of the cat retina. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 228, 598–608.
- McIlwain, J. T. (1996). An introduction to the biology of vision. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Meyer, D. B. C. (1977). The avian eye and its adaptations. In F. Crescitelli (Ed.), *The visual* system of vertebrates: Handbook of sensory physiology (Vol. VII/5, pp. 549–612). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Moore, B. A., Doppler, M., Young, J. E., & Fernández-Juricic, E. (2012). Interspecific differences in the visual system and scanning behavior of three forest passerines that form heterospecific flocks. *In revision*.
- Nunn, C. L., & Barton, R. A. (2001). Comparative methods for studying primate adaptation and allometry. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, 10, 81–98.
- Ota, D., Francese, M., & Ferrero, E. A. (1999). Vision in the grass goby, *Zosterisessor ophiocephalus* (Teleostei, Gobiidae): A morphological and behav-

ioural study. *Italian Journal of Zoology*, 66, 125–139.

- Peichl, L. (1992). Topography of ganglion cells in the dog and wolf retina. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 324, 603–620.
- Peichl, L., Berhmann, G., & Kroger, R. H. H. (2001). For whales and seals the ocean is not blue: A visual pigment loss in marine mammals. *European Journal* of Neuroscience, 13, 1520–1528.
- Pettigrew, J. D., Dreher, B., Hopkins, C. S., McCall, M. J., & Brown, M. (1988). Peak density and distribution of ganglion cells in the retinae of microchiropteran bats: Implications for visual acuity. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 32, 39–56.
- Provis, J. M. (1979). The distribution and size of ganglion cells in the retina of the pigmented rabbit: A quanitative analysis. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 185, 121–138.
- Quinn, G. P., & Keough, M. J. (2002). Experimental design and data analysis for biologists. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Rapaport, D. H., Wilson, P. D., & Rowe, M. H. (1981). The distribution of ganglion cells in the retina of the North American opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*). Journal of Comparative Neurology, 199, 465– 480.
- Rasband, W. S. (1997–2012). ImageJ, U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
- Ross, C. F. (2004). The tarsier fovea: Functionless vestige or nocturnal adaptation? In C. F. Ross & R. F. Kay (Eds.), *Anthropoid origins: New visions* (pp. 477–537). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Schmid, K. L., Schmid, L. M., Wildsoet, C. F., & Pettigrew, J. D. (1992). Retinal topography in the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). Brain Behavior & Evolution, 39, 8–16.
- Schiviz, A. N., Ruf, T., Kuebber-Heiss, A., Schubert, C., & Ahnelt, P. K. (2008). Retinal cone topography of Artiodactyl mammals: Influence of body height and habitat. *Journal of Comparative Neurol*ogy, 507, 1336–1350.
- Shand, J., Chin, S. M., Harman, A. M., Moore, S., & Collin, S. P. (2000). Variability in the location of the retinal ganglion cell area centralis is correlated with ontogenetic changes in feeding behavior in the black bream, *Acanthopagrus butcheri* (Sparidae, Teleostei). *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 55, 176–190.
- Silveira, L. C. L., Picanco-Diniz, C. W., & Oswaldo-Cruz, E. (1989). Distribution and size of ganglion

cells in the retina of large amazon rodents. Visual Neuroscience, 2, 221–235.

- Silveira, L. C. L., Picanco-Diniz, C. W., Sampaio, L. F. S., & Oswaldo-Cruz, E. (1989). Retinal ganglion cell distribution in the cebus monkey: A comparison with the cortical magnification factors. *Vision Research*, 29, 1471–1483.
- Silveira, L. C. L., Perry, V. H., & Yamada, E. S. (1993). The retinal ganlgion-cell distribution and the representation of the visual field in area-17 of the owl monkey, *Aotus trivirgatus*. *Visual Neuroscience*, 10(5), 887–897.
- StatSoft, Inc. (2012). Electronic statistics textbook. Tulsa, OK: StatSoft. Internet site: http://www. statsoft.com/textbook/. Accessed January 15, 2012.
- Stone, J. (1981). The wholemount handbook: A guide to the preparation and analysis of retinal wholemounts. Sydney, AU: Maitland Publications.
- Stone, J., & Halasz, P. (1989). Topography of the retina in the elephant *Loxodonta africana*. Brain Behavior & Evolution, 34, 84–95.
- Tabachnick, B., & Fidell, L. (1996). Using multivariate statistics (3rd Ed.) New York: Harper & Row.
- Takei, S., & Somiya, H. (2002). Guanine-type retinal tapetum and ganglion cell topography in the retina of a carangid fish, *Kaiwarinus equula*. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society of London B, 269, 75–82.
- Tancred, E. (1981). The distribution and sizes of ganglion cells in the retinas of five Australian marsupials. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 196, 585–603.
- Temple, S., Hart, N. S., Marshall, N. J., & Collin, S. P. (2010). A spitting image: Specializations in archerfish eyes for vision at the interface between air and water. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, 277, 2607–2615.
- Tetreault, N., Hakeem, A., & Allman, J. M. (2004). The distribution and size of retinal ganglion cells in *Cheirogaleus medius* and *Tarsius syrichta*: Implications for the evolution of sensory systems in primates. In C. Ross & R. Kay (Eds.), *Anthropoid* origins: New visions (pp. 449–461). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Tiao, Y. C., & Blakemore, C. (1976). Regional specialisation in golden hamsters retina. *Journal* of Comparative Neurology, 168, 439–457.
- Ullmann, J. F. P., Moore, B. A., Temple, S. E., Fernández-Juricic, E., & Collin, S. P. (2012). The retinal wholemount technique: A window to understanding the brain and behaviour. *Brain Behavior & Evolution*, 79, 26–44.
- Vilela, M. C. R., Mendonca, J. E. F., Bittencourt, H.,

Lapa, R. M., Alessio, M. L. M., Costa, M. S. M. O., et al. (2005). Differential vulnerability of the rat retina, suprachiasmatic nucleus and intergeniculate leaflet to malnutrition induced during brain development. *Brain Research Bulletin*, *64*, 395–408.

- Vitek, D. J., Schall, J. D., & Leventhal, A. G. (1985). Morphology, central projections, and dendritic field orientation of retinal ganglion cells in the ferret. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 241, 1– 11.
- Wagner, H. J., Frohlich, E., Negishi, K., & Collin, S. P. (1998). The eyes of seep-sea fish. II. Functional morphology of the retina. *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research*, 17, 637–685.
- Wakakuwa, K., Washida, A., & Fukuda, Y. (1985). Distribution and soma size of ganglion cells in the retina of the eastern chipmunk (*Tamias sibiricus* asiaticus). Vision Research, 25, 877–885.
- Walls, G. L. (1937). Significance of the foveal depression. Archives of Ophthalmology, 18, 912–919.
- Walls, G. L. (1942). *The vertebrate eye and its adaptive radiation*. Bloomfield Hills, MI: Cranbrook Institute of Science.

- Wässle, H., & Boycott, B. B. (1991). Functional architecture of the mammalian retina. *Physiological Reviews*, 71, 447–80.
- Wässle, H., Grünert, U., Röhrenbeck, J., & Boycott, B.
  B. (1989). Cortical magnification factor and the ganglion cell density of the primate retina. *Nature*, 341, 643–646.
- Wathey, J. C., & Pettigrew, J. D. (1989). Quantitive analysis of the retinal ganglion cell layer and optic nerve of the barn owl *Tyto alba. Brain Behavior & Evolution, 33*, 279–292.
- Whiteside, T. C. D. (1967). The head movement of walking birds. *Journal of Physiology*, 188, 31P-32P.
- Wilhelm, M., & Straznicky, C. (1992). The topographic organization of the retinal ganglion-cell layer of the lizard *Ctenophores-nuchalis*. Archives of Histology & Cytology, 55, 251–259.
- Wong, R. O. L. (1989). Morphology and distribution of neurons in the retina of the American garter snake *Thannophis sirtalis*. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 283, 587–601.

# Appendix 1

List of retinal topographic maps of vertebrates used in this study. Most of these maps are available from the retinal topographic map database: http://www.retinalmaps.com.au/ (Collin, 2008).

Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	Common name	RS	Habitat	References
Σ	Lagomorpha	Ochotonidae	Ochotona	rufescens	Afghan pika	VS	⊢	Akaishi, Uchiyama, Ito, & Shimizu, 1995
Σ	Prohoscidea	Flenhantidae	l oxodonta	africana	African elenhant	SN	F	Stone & Halasz 1989
	Squamata	Colubridae	Thamnophis	sirtalis	American garter snake	s. S	· ⊢	Wong. 1989
Av	Falconiformes	Accinitridae	Falco	sparverius	American Kestrel	E/F	⊢	Inzunza, Bravo, Smith, &
:								
Σ	Primates	Cercopithecidae	Papio	anubis	Anubis baboon	ш	⊢	Fischer & Kirby, 1991
Ac	Anguilliformes	Synaphobranchidae	Synaphobranchus	kaupi	Arrowtooth eel	Ar	۷	Collin & Partridge, 1996
Ac	Batrachoidiformes	Batrachoididae	Halophryne	diemensis	Australian frogfish	VS	۷	Collin & Pettigrew, 1988a
Av	Strigiformes	Tytonidae	Tyto	alba	Barn owl	٧S	⊢	Wathey & Pettigrew, 1989
Σ	Carnivora	Canidae	Canis	lupus f. familiaris	Beagle	VS	⊢	Peichl, 1992
Ac	Scopeliformes	Scopelarchidae	Scopelarchus	michaelsarsi	Bigfin pearleye	Ar	۷	Collin & Partridge, 1996
Av	Ciconiiformes	Cathartidae	Coragyps	atratus	Black vulture	ш	⊢	Inzunza et al., 1991
Ac	Perciformes	Pomacanthidae	Pomocanthus	semicirculatus	Blue angelfish	Ar	۷	Collin & Pettigrew, 1989
Σ	Primates	Galagidae	Otolemur	crassicaudatus	Brown greater galago	Ar	⊢	DeBruyn, Wise, &
								Casagrande, 1980
٨٧	Passeriformes	Icteridae	Molothrus	ater	Brown-headed cowbird	ш	F	Dolan & Fernández-Juricic, 2010
Σ	Diprotodontia	Phalangeridae	Trichosurus	vulpecula	Brush-tailed possum	VS	⊢	Freeman & Tancred, 1978
Av	Passeriformes	Emberizidae	Pipilo	crissalis	California towhee	ш	F	Fernández-Juricic, Gall, et
,						!	I	al., 2011
٩٧	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Branta	canadensis	Canada goose	SV	F	Fernández-Juricic, Moore, et al., 2011
Σ	Caviidae	Hydrochoerinae	Hydrochoerus	hydrochaeris	Capybara	VS	⊢	Silveira, Picanco-Diniz, &
								Oswaldo-Cruz, 1989a
Ac	Perciformes	Carangidae	Carangoides	equula	Carangid fish	VS	۷	Takei & Somiya, 2002
A۷	Passeriformes	Paridae	Poecile	carolinensis	Carolina chickadee	ш	⊢	Moore, Doppler, Young, &
								Fernández-Juricic, 2012
Σ	Carnivora	Felidae	Felis	catus	Cat	VS	⊢	Hughes, 1975
Σ	Primates	Cebidae	Cebus	apella	Cebus monkey	ш	⊢	Silveira, Picanco-Diniz,
								Sampaio, & Oswaldo-Cruz,
۵	Carlomoto	A comidoo	Of an of a contract of a contr		Control nottool directoo	0/1	F	Wilhelm 8 Streamicher 1000
Av Av	Ealconiformes	Accinitridae	Buteo	fuscenses australis	Chilean eadle	5/F	- ⊢	Inzunza et al., 1991
Av	Falconiformes	Falconidae	Milvano	chimando	Chimando caracara	`ц	⊢	Inzunza et al 1991
AC.	Tetrandontiformes	Balistidae	Balistoides	conspicillum	Clown trianerfish	SN - N	- 4	Collin & Pettionew 1989
2 2	Rodentia	Dasvnroctidae	Dasvorocta	adruti	Common adouti	s N	:⊢	Silveira Picanco-Diniz
E						)		Oswaldo-Cruz, et al., 1989
A۷	Ciconiiformes	Cathartidae	Gymnogyps	californianus	Condor	ш	⊢	Inzunza et al., 1991
ပ	Squaliformes	Dalatiidae	Isistius	brasiliensis	Cookie cutter shark	Ar	A	Bozzano & Collin, 2000
Ac	Perciformes	Serranidae	Cephalopholis	miniatus	Coral cod	Ar	۷	Collin & Pettigrew, 1988a
Ac	Perciformes	Serranidae	Plectropomus	leopardus	Coral trout	Ar	۷	Collin, 1989
Ac	Cypriniformes	Cyprinidae	Semotilus	atromaculatus	Creek chub	Ar	A	Collin & Ali, 1994
					[			

Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	Common name	RS	Habitat	References
Ac	Cypriniformes	Cyprinidae	Exoglossum	maxillingua	Cutlips minnow	Ar	٨	Collin & Ali, 1994
с	Squaliformes	Somniosidae	Centroscymnus	coelolepis	Dogfish	NS	A	Bozzano, 2004
Σ	Rodentia	Sciuridae	Tamias	sibricus asiaticus	Eastern chipmunk	NS	⊢	Wakakuwa, Washida, &
					·			Fukuda, 1985
с	Orectolobiformes	Hemiscylliidae	Hemiscyllium	ocellatum	Epaulette shark	NS	A	Bozzano & Collin, 2000
A۷	Passeriformes	Sturnidae	Sturnus	vulgaris	European starling	ш	⊢	Dolan & Fernández-
								Juricic, 2010
Σ	Carnivora	Mustelidae	Mustela		Ferret	Ar	⊢	Vitek, Schall, & Leventhal,
								1985
Ac	Lepisosteiformes	Lepisostedae	Lepisosteus	platyrhincus	Florida garfish	VS	A	Collin & Northcutt, 1993
Σ	Carnivora	Otariidae	Callorhinus	ursinus	Fur seal	Ar	A	Mass, 1992
Σ	Rodentia	Cricetidae	Mesocricetus	auratus	Golden hamster	Ar	⊢	Tiao & Blakemore, 1976
Ac	Perciformes	Gobiidae	Zosterisessor	ophiocephalus	Grass goby	Ar	A	Ota, Francese, & Ferrero, 1000
•	:	:	Č				ł	
Av	Passeritormes	l yrannidae	Pitangus	sulphuratus	Great kiskadee	F/Ar	_	Coimbra, Marcellano, Andrade-da-Costa, &
								Yamada, 2006
Σ	Rodentia	Caviidae	Cavia	porcellus	Guinea pig	NS	⊢	Donascimento,
					) -			Donascimento,
								Damasceno, & Silveira
								1991
Σ	Diprotodontia	Vombatidae	Lasirohinus	latiforns	Hairy-nosed wombat	NS	⊢	Tancred, 1981
Ac	Perciformes	Labridae	Choerodon	fasciata	Harlequin tuskfish	VS/Ar	A	Collin & Pettiarew, 1988b
Σ	Carnivora	Phocidae	Pagophilus	groenlandicus	Harp seal	Ar	A	Mass & Supin, 2003
Σ	Rodentia	Muridae	Rattus	norvegicus	Hooded rat	Ar	⊢	Jeffery, 1985
Av	Passeriformes	Fringillidae	Carpodacus	mexicanus	House finch	ш	⊢	Dolan & Fernández-
		)						Juricic, 2010
A۷	Passeriformes	Passeridae	Passer	domesticus	House sparrow	ш	⊢	Dolan & Fernández-
								Juricic, 2010
Σ	Diprotodontia	Phascolarctidae	<b>Phascolarctos</b>	cinereus	Koala	Ar	⊢	Schmid, Schmid, Wildsoet,
								& Pettigrew, 1992
Ac	Osmeriformes	Platytroctidae	Platytroctes	apus	Legless searsid	ш	A	Collin & Partridge, 1996
ပ	Carcharhiniformes	Carcharhinidae	Negaprion	brevirostris	Lemon shark	VS	A	Hueter, 1991
Ac	Clupeiformes	Alepocephalidae	Conocara	macropterum	Longfin smoothhead	ш	A	Collin, Lloyd, & Wagner,
								2000
Σ	Rodentia	Cuniculidae	Cuniculus	paca	Lowland paca	٧S	⊢	Silveira, Picanco-Diniz, et
	:	:	;;					al., 1303
Av	Procellariiformes	Procellariidae	Puffinus	puffinus	Manx shearwater	S	F	Hayes, Martin, & Brooke, 1991
Av	Columbiformes	Columbidae	Zenaida	macroura	Mourning dove	ш	⊢	Dolan & Fernández-
					1			Juricic, 2010
Σ	Primates	Cheirogaleidae	Cheirogaleus	medius	Mouse lemur	Ar	⊢	Tetreault, Hakeem, &
								Allman, 2004

Moore et al.

WithDidelprinterDidelpriseD	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	Common name	RS	Habitat	References
PrimetesActidateActidaTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinuesTrivingatusContinueContinuesContinuesContinues <td>Σ</td> <td>Didelphimorphia</td> <td>Didelphidae</td> <td>Didelphis</td> <td>virginiana</td> <td>North American opossum</td> <td>Ar</td> <td>⊢</td> <td></td>	Σ	Didelphimorphia	Didelphidae	Didelphis	virginiana	North American opossum	Ar	⊢	
Syngrathiformes         Aulostomude         Aulostomus         Chinensis         Pario         Chinensis         Pario         Chinensis         Pario         Chinensis         Pario         Chinensis         Pario         Chinensis         Pario         Chinensia         Ruchmatchina         Chinensia         Pario         Chinensia         Ruchmatchina         Chinensia         Ruchmatchina         Chinensia         Ruchmatchina         Pario         Chinensia         Ruchmatchina         Ruchmatchina         Chinensia         Chinensia <thchin< th="">         Chinensia         Chinens</thchin<>	Σ	Primates	Aotidae	Aotus	Trivirgatus	Owl monkey	ш	⊢	Silveira, Perry, & Yamada, 1993
Calibration         Prasentione         Prace control         Constraints         Parametricity         Vision         Vi	20	Synanathiformae	Autoctomidae	Autostomus	chinaneie	Dainted flutemonth	No	4	Collin & Dettiorew 1988h
Legomorpha Lepondae Cnyctolagus cuniculus Pigmented rabbit VS T Proceedinae Lethninus berachynus Ropeled blenny VS T Proceedinae Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinaes Columbidenee Columbea Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinaes Strendon Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinaes Strendon Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinaes Renorded emperor Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinae Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinaes Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinae Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinae Renorded emperor VS T Proceedinae Renorded emperor VS Proceedinae Renorded emperory VS Proceedinae Renorded emperor VS Proceedinae	A A	Galliformes	Phasianidae	Pavo	cristatus	Peafowl	Ar 0	(	Hart, 2002
Diprotodnita         Macropolidae         Seionix         brachtyrus         Quokka         VS         T         Be           Perciformes         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Kantus         Red-tyrus         Quokka         VS         A         CO           Perciformes         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Lehhmidae         Kantus         Rock pigeon         VS         A         CO           Perciformes         Rhinobatidome         Columbia         Red-tyrus         Rock pigeon         A         A         CO           Rhinobatidomes         Rhinobatidome         Searsia         Rock pigeon         A         A         A         A         CO           Red-transitionmes         Rhinobatidome         Searsia         Roukina         Roukina         Roukina         Roukina         Roukina         Roukina         A         A         A         C         C           Resultiones         Spinetionnes         Roukina         Roukina         Southern bian bian wina wina         VS         Southern bian wina         VS         Southern bian bian wina         C         C         C         C         C         C         C <td>Σ</td> <td>Lagomorpha</td> <td>Leporidae</td> <td>Oryctolagus</td> <td>cuniculus</td> <td>Pigmented rabbit</td> <td>NS</td> <td>н</td> <td>Provis, 1979</td>	Σ	Lagomorpha	Leporidae	Oryctolagus	cuniculus	Pigmented rabbit	NS	н	Provis, 1979
Perciformes         Lethrindae         Showl-mosed ay         A         CX           Culumbistomes         Thinobatidoe         Sarsia         Rowl-mosed ay         A <td>Σ</td> <td>Diprotodontia</td> <td>Macropodidae</td> <td>Setonix</td> <td>brachyurus</td> <td>Quokka</td> <td>NS</td> <td>F</td> <td>Beazley &amp; Dunlop, 1983</td>	Σ	Diprotodontia	Macropodidae	Setonix	brachyurus	Quokka	NS	F	Beazley & Dunlop, 1983
Perciformes         Blennidae         Sublemius         Rippled blenny         Ar         A         CX           Passeriformes         Columbidae <i>Sublemius Sublemius Rock pigeon</i> F/Ar         T         E/Ar         T         E/Ar         T         E/Ar         A         CX           Passeriformes         Leptochlichthyldae <i>Sarsia Rock pigeon</i> F/Ar         T         E/Ar         A         CX           Rinobatidere <i>Scylichthuus Scylichthuus Sarsia Scylichthuus Sarsia Scylichthuus Sarsia Scylichthuus Sarsia Scylichthuus Sarsia Scylichthuus Scylichthuus Sarsia Scylichthuus Scoluthan Ar Ar</i>	Ac	Perciformes	Lethrinidae	Lethrinus	miniatus	Red-throated emperor	NS	۷	Collin & Pettigrew, 1989
Columbiformes         Columbia         Columbia         Kivia         Rock pigeon         FiAr         T         Bis           Cubribia         Tyramidee         Myiozetetes         cyanensis         Rusymanijated fycatcher         FiAr         T         Co           Cupoliticity/idae         Sexiol         Exploritions         Rusymanijated fycatcher         FiAr         T         Co           Rhinobatiformes         Rhinobatiformes         Syloritions         Sonsalis         Sonsalis         Sonsalis         An         Co           Carcharhinitomes         Syloritions         Sonsalis         Sonsalis         Sonsalis         Sonsalis         An         A         A         A         Co           Carcharbinitio         Peramelemorphia         Peramelemorphia         Resolutions         Sonsalis         Sonsalis         T         T         T         Co           Carritoras         Sparidae <i>Sonsalis</i> Southern brown bandicoot         VS         T	Ac	Perciformes	Blenniidae	Istiblennius	edentulus	Rippled blenny	Ar	۷	Collin, 1989
Passeriformes         Tyrannidae         Myiozettetes         cayanensis         Rusty-marginated flycatcher         F   A         A         Co.           Rhinobatiformes         Ryinobatiformes         Ryinobatiformes         Ryinobatiformes         Searsid         Koefbedi         Searsid         Koefbedi         Searsid         Koefbedi         Searsid         Ko         X         A         Co           Rhinobatiformes         Scyliorhinidae         Scyliorhinidae         Scyliorhinidae         Scyliorhinidae         Soniskin smoothhead         F         A         Co           Renciformes         Scyliorhinidae         Scinida         Scinida	Av	Columbiformes	Columbidae	Columba	livia	Rock pigeon	F/Ar	г	Binggeli & Pauli, 1969
Cupelformes         Leptochlichthylde         Searsia         Koefoedi         Searsid         Koefoedi         Searsid         Koefoedi         Searsid         Ko         A         CO           Riniobatidiemes         Riniobatidiemes         Riniobatidiae         Gaucostegus         typus         Shovel-nosed ray         Ar         A         C           Carchimes         Alepocephilidae         Rouleina         attria         Sonskin smoothhead         F         A         C           Dannetiformes         Sparidae         Rouleina         attria         Sontkin smoothhead         F         A         C           Peramelemorphia         Peramelidae         Rouleina         attria         Sontkin smoothhead         F         A         C           Osmeriformes         Dipstroproctus         Gost         Cocuta         Sonthern brown bandicoot         VS         T         T           Osmeriformes         Dipstroproctus         Gost         Cocuta         Sonthern black bream         Ar         A         C           Carrivora         Hyaenidae         Scouthern black bream         VS         T         C         C           Carrivora         Dipstroperoctus         Southern black bream         VS         T         C<	Av	Passeriformes	Tyrannidae	Myiozetetes	cayanensis	Rusty-marginated flycatcher	F/Ar	г	Coimbra et al., 2006
Rhinobatiformes         Rhinobatiformes         Rhinobatiformes         Rhinobatiformes         Scylinitinus         Cancel caniculal         Shandle softed dogitsh         V         A         CX           Damentformes         Scylinitinus         caniculal         Schlinitinus         caniculal         Simall softed dogitsh         VS         A         CX           Peramelemorphia         Peramelicae         Scylinitinus         caniculal         Southern black bream         A         CX         A         S           Peramelemorphia         Peramelicae         Scoodon         obesulus         Southern brown bandicoot         VS         T         T         T           Carnivora         Hyaenidae <i>Aconthopadus Armatypyphidon</i> crocuta         Southern brown bandicoot         VS         T         Ca           Carnivora         Hyaenidae <i>Armatypyphidon</i> crocuta         Southern brown bandicoot         VS         T         Ca           Carnivora         Pomacentridae <i>Armbygyphidon</i> crocuta         Southern brown bandicoot         VS         T         Ca           Dasyuridae         Sacophilas <i>Armatidis Armatidis Armatidis</i> Arma         Ar         A         Ca	Ac	Clupeiformes	Leptochilichthyidae	Searsia	koefoedi	Searsid	ш	۷	Collin & Partridge, 1996
Carcharthiniformes         Scyliorthinude         Scyliorthinude         Scyliorthinude         Scyliorthinude         Scyliorthinude         Scyliorthinude         Vision	ပ	Rhinobatiformes	Rhinobatidae	Glaucostegus	typus	Shovel-nosed ray	Ar	۷	Collin, 1988
CosmeriformesAlepocephalidaeRouleiraattritaSoftskin smoothheadFACXPerciformesSpartidaeRouleiraattrifaSouthern black breamArAStPeramelemorphiaPeramelidaeIsoodonobesulusSouthern black breamArAStCarnivoraHyaenidaeIsoodonobesulusSouthern black breamArAStCarnivoraHyaenidaeIsoodonobesulusSpotted hyenaVSTTCarnivoraHyaenidaeCrocutacrocutaSpotted hyenaVSTCPerciformesPomacentridaeArmblyglyphidoncrocutaSpotted hyenaVSTTCDasyuromorphiaDasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeSacrophiusharrissiTasmanian devilVSTTCDiprotodontiaMacropoidaeThylogalehillardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTTCDiprotodontiaMacropoidaeIutoriawaregatus3-toed slothArArACCAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree kangarooVSTTCCDiprotodontiaMacropoidaeMacropustriginosusWestern gray kangarooVSTTCDiprotodontiaMacropoidaeIutoriatriginosusWestern gray kangarooVSTHCCDiprotodontiaMacropoidaeIndriatriginosus <t< td=""><td>ပ</td><td>Carcharhiniformes</td><td>Scyliorhinidae</td><td>Scyliorhinus</td><td>canicula</td><td>Small spotted dogfish</td><td>NS</td><td>۷</td><td>Bozzano &amp; Collin, 2000</td></t<>	ပ	Carcharhiniformes	Scyliorhinidae	Scyliorhinus	canicula	Small spotted dogfish	NS	۷	Bozzano & Collin, 2000
PerciformesSparidaeAcanthopagusbutcheriSouthern black breamArAS1PeramelemorphiaPeramelidaeIsoodonobesulusSouthern brown bandicootVSTTOpsithoproctidaeOpsithoproctusOpsithoproctusSpookfishSpookfishArACSCarnivoraHyaenidaeIsoodonobesulusSpookfishKrACSCCarnivoraHyaenidaeCrocutaCrocutaSpookfishKrACSPerciformesPomacentridaeArmblyghpidoncuracacoStaghorn damselfishArACGSiluriformesLoncanidaePercygoplicithysparatalisSuckermouth amored caffishArACGDasyuromorphiaDasyuromorphiaBasyuromorphiaBradypusinfrissiTasmanian devilVSTTDiprotodontiaMacropolidaeThylogalesInfrissisiTasmanian devilVSTTCStylephoridoneStylephoridaeStylephoridaeStylephoridaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopolidaeMarcopusMrAACDiprotodontiaMacropolidaeDerdialgusInfiginosusWestern gray kangarooVSTHMDiprotodontiaMacropolidaeDerdialgusInfiginosusWhite-crowned sparrowVSTHMD	Ac	Osmeriformes	Alepocephalidae	Rouleina	attrita	Softskin smoothhead	ш	۷	Collin & Partridge, 1996
PeramelemorphiaPeramelidae <i>Isoodonobesulus</i> Southern brown bandicootVSTTOsmertformesOpisthoproctus <i>OpisthoproctusOpisthoproctusOpisthoproctusSpooktish</i> ArArCarCarnivoraHyaenidae <i>OpisthoproctusOpisthoproctusSpooktish</i> ArVSTCarPerciformesPomacentridae <i>Amblyglyphidoncuracao</i> Staghom damselfishArACarDasyuromorphiaDasyuridae <i>Sacrophilusharissi</i> Tasmanian devilVSTTDiprotodontiaMacropodidae <i>Thylogalebilliardieri</i> Tasmanian devilVSTTCarDiprotodontiaMacropodidae <i>StylephoruschordatesThreadtail</i> VSTTCarAnuraHylidae <i>Litoriamoorei</i> Tree kangarooYSArAACarDiprotodontiaMacropudae <i>Dendrolegusdoriana</i> Tree kangarooYSTTCarPilosaElivora <i>Dendrolegusdoriana</i> Tree kangarooYSTTCarDiprotodontiaMacropudae <i>Sittasitterdieri</i> Tree kangarooYSTTCarPilorotodontiaMacropudae <i>Dintrolegusdorianatree kangaroo</i> YSTTADiprotodontiaMacropudae <i>SittaSittaSittatree kangaroo</i> YSTHDip	Ac	Perciformes	Sparidae	Acanthopagrus	butcheri	Southern black bream	Ar	٩	Shand, Chin, Harman,
Peramelemorphia         Peramelidae <i>isoodon obesulus</i> Southern brown bandicoot         VS         T         Te           Osmeriformes         Opisthoproctidae <i>Opisthoproctus grimatiti</i> Spokfish         Ar         XS         T         Te           Carnivora         Hyaenidae <i>Crocuta crocuta spokfish</i> Ar         XS         T         CG           Perciformes         Pornacentridae <i>Amblyglyhidon crocuta spokfish</i> Ar         A         CC           Dasyuromorphia         Dasyuridae <i>Sacrophilus harissi</i> Tasmanian devil         VS         T         Te           Diprotodontia         Macropodidae <i>Thylogale hinilardieri</i> Tasmanian wallaby         VS         T         Te           Stylephoriformes         Stylephoridae <i>Stylephorus chordates</i> Threadtail         Ar         A									Moore, & Collin, 2000
Osmeriformes     Opisthoproctidae     Opisthoproctus     grimaldii     Spookfish     Ar     A     Cd       Carnivora     Hyaenidae     Opisthoproctus     grimaldii     Spotted hyena     VS     T     Ca       Perciformes     Hyaenidae     Crocuta     crocuta     spotted hyena     VS     T     Ca       Perciformes     Pomacentridae     Amb/gg/phidon     curacaoo     Staghom damselfish     Ar     A     Ca       Dasyuromorphia     Dasyuridae     Prerciformes     Suvelencia     Stoted hyena     VS     T     Ta       Diprotodontia     Macropolidae     Thylogale     billiardieri     Tasmanian devil     VS     T     Ta       Stylephoridae     Stylephorus     chordates     Threadtail     VS     T     Ta       Anura     Hylidae     Litoria     moorei     Tree kangaroo     Ar     A     Co       Diprotodontia     Macropoldae     Dendrolagus     doriana     Tree kangaroo     YS     T     Co       Anura     Hylidae     Litoria     moorei     Tree kangaroo     Yr     A     A     Co       Diprotodontia     Macropoldae     Dendrolagus     doriana     Tree kangaroo     Yr     A     A     Co <t< td=""><td>Σ</td><td>Peramelemorphia</td><td>Peramelidae</td><td>Isoodon</td><td>obesulus</td><td>Southern brown bandicoot</td><td>٧S</td><td>F</td><td>Tancred, 1981</td></t<>	Σ	Peramelemorphia	Peramelidae	Isoodon	obesulus	Southern brown bandicoot	٧S	F	Tancred, 1981
CarrivoraHyaenidaeCrocutacrocutaSpotted hyenaVSTC3PerciformesPomacentridaeAmblyglyphidoncuracaoStaghorn damselfishArAC0PerciformesPomacentridaeAmblyglyphidoncuracaoStaghorn damselfishArAC0DasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeAmblyglyphidoncuracaoStaghorn damselfishArAC0DasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeThingoteleInvisoiTasmanian devilVSTTDiprotodontiaMacropolidaeThylogaleInflardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTTStylephoridaeBradypuschordatesThreadtailVSYSTTTTStylephoridaeBradypusvariegatus3-toed slothArAAC0AnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArAAC0DiprotodontiaMacropolidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooYSTHDiprotodontiaMacropolidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTHDiprotodontiaMacropolidaeZonotrichiafulginosusWhite-breasted nuthatchFTHDiprotodontiaMacropolidaeZonotrichiaIeucophrysWhite-breasted nuthatchFTHDiprotodontiaKatenZonotrichiaIeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTHDipr	Ac	Osmeriformes	Opisthoproctidae	Opisthoproctus	grimaldii	Spookfish	Ar	۷	Collin, Hoskins, &
Carnivora     Hyaenidae     Crocuta     crocuta     Spotted hyena     VS     T     C3       Perciformes     Pomacentridae     Amblyglyphidon     curacao     Staghorn damselfish     Ar     A     C       Perciformes     Pomacentridae     Amblyglyphidon     curacao     Staghorn damselfish     Ar     A     C       Dasyuromorphia     Dasyuridae <i>Amblyglyphidon</i> curacao     Staghorn damselfish     Ar     A     C       Diprotodontia     Macropodidae <i>Thylogale Injilardieri</i> Tasmanian devil     VS     T     Te       Stylephoriformes     Stylephoridae <i>Thylogale Inliardieri</i> Tasmanian wallaby     VS     T     Te       Anura     Hylidae <i>Litoria Bradypus</i> variegatus     3-toed sloth     Ar     A     C       Anura     Hylidae <i>Litoria Macropus tree</i> frog     Ar     A     C       Diprotodontia     Macropodidae <i>Dendrolagus tree</i> frog     Ar     A     Ar     A     C       Diprotodontia     Macropodidae <i>Dendrolagus tree</i> frog     Ar     A     C     C       Diprotodontia     Macropodidae <i>Dendrolagus tree</i> frog     Ar									Partridge, 1997
PerciformesPomacentridaeAmblyglyphidoncuracaoStaghorn damselfishArACSiluriformesLoricariidaePterygoplichthyspardalisSuckermouth armored caffishArADDasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeSacrophilusharissiTasmanian devilVSTTDasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeSacrophilusharissiTasmanian devilVSTTDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTTStylephoriformesStylephoridaeStylephoruschordatesThreadtailVSTTTAnuraHylidaeLitoriaMooreiTree frogArAOOOAnuraMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree frogArAOODiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree frogArACODiprotodontiaMacropodidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTHPasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophysWhite-breasted nuthatchFTFeCamivoraCanislupusMusVisVisYsYsF	Σ	Carnivora	Hyaenidae	Crocuta	crocuta	Spotted hyena	VS	F	
PerciformesPomacentridaeAmblyglyphidoncuracaoStaghorn damselfishArACSiluriformesLoricariidaePterygoplichthyspardalisSuckermouth amored caffishArACDasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeSacrophilusharissiTasmanian devilVSTTaDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian devilVSTTaDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTaPilosaStylephoriformesStylephoridaeStylephoridaeChordatesThreadtailVSTTaAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArACCDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTHyliDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTHyliDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTHyliPasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophysWolfVSTHyliCarnivoraCanisoIupusWolfVSYTFe									Jacobs, 2003
SiluriformesLoricaridaePterygoplichthyspardalisSuckermouth armored catfishArADoDasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeSacrophilusharissiTasmanian devilVSTTDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian devilVSTTTStylephoriformesStylephorusChordatesThreadtailVSTTTTStylephoriformesStylephorusChordatesThreadtailVSTTTCAnuraHylidaeLiforiamooreiTree frogArADOAnuraHylidaeLiforiamooreiTree frogArADODiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooYSTHDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooYSTHDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTMPasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCamivoraCanislupuslupusWolfYSTFe	Ac	Perciformes	Pomacentridae	Amblyglyphidon	curacao	Staghorn damselfish	Ar	۷	
DasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeSacrophilusharissiTasmanian devilVSTTaDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian devilVSTTaStylephoriformesStylephoridaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTaStylephoriformesStylephoridaeStylephoruschordatesThreadtailArACPilosaFolivoraBradypuschordates3-toed slothArACCAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArADDDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTHDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTBDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTHPasseriformesSittiaCarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTMPasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCamivoraCanislupuslupusMolfVSTPP	Ac	Siluriformes	Loricariidae	Pterygoplichthys	pardalis	Suckermouth armored catfish	Ar	۷	Douglas, Collin, &
DasyuromorphiaDasyuridaeSacrophilusharissiTasmanian devilVSTTeDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTeStylephoriformesStylephoridaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTeStylephoriformesStylephoridaeStylephoridaeStylephoridaeStylephoridaeArACGPilosaFolivoraBradypusvariegatus3-toed slothArACOAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArADAnuraMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree frogArADDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTHuPasseriformesSittidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTMPasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCarnivoraCanislupusWolfVSTPg									Corrigan, 2002
DiprotodontiaMacropodidaeThylogalebilliardieriTasmanian wallabyVSTTasmanian wallabyStylephoriformesStylephoridaeStylephoruschordatesThreadtailArACStylephoriformesStylephoridaeStylephoruschordatesThreadtailArACRiusFolivoraBradypusvariegatus3-toed slothArACCAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArACCDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTHuDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTHuPasseriformesSittidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTFePasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCarnivoraCanidaeCanislupusWolfVSTFe	Σ	Dasyuromorphia	Dasyuridae	Sacrophilus	harissi	Tasmanian devil	٨S	F	Tancred, 1981
StylephoriformesStylephorusStylephorusChordatesThreadtailArACPilosaFolivoraBradypusvariegatus3-toed slothArACAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArACDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooArTHuDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTBeDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeMacropustulginosusWestern gray kangarooVSTBePasseriformesSittidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTFePasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCarnivoraCanivoraCanislupusWolfVSTFe	Σ	Diprotodontia	Macropodidae	Thylogale	billiardieri	Tasmanian wallaby	٧S	F	Tancred, 1981
PilosaFolivoraBradypusvariegatus3-toed slothArTCAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArADAnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArADDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooArTHDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooVSTB6PasseriformesSittidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTF6PasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTF6CarnivoraCanivoraCanislupusWolfVSTP6	Ac	Stylephoriformes	Stylephoridae	Stylephorus	chordates	Threadtail	Ar	۷	Collin et al., 1997
AnuraHylidaeLitoriamooreiTree frogArADDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooArTHuDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooXrTBeDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooXrTBePasseriformesSittidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTFePasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCarnivoraCanidaeCanislupusWolfVSTPe	Σ	Pilosa	Folivora	Bradypus	variegatus	3-toed sloth	Ar	F	Costa, Pessoa, Bousfield,
Anura     Hylidae     Litoria     moorei     Tree frog     Ar     A     Du       Diprotodontia     Macropodidae     Dendrolagus     doriana     Tree kangaroo     Ar     T     Hu       Diprotodontia     Macropodidae     Dendrolagus     doriana     Tree kangaroo     Ar     T     Hu       Diprotodontia     Macropodidae     Macropus     fuliginosus     Western gray kangaroo     VS     T     Be       Passeriformes     Sittidae     Sitta     carolinensis     White-breasted nuthatch     F     T     Mn       Passeriformes     Emberizidae     Zonotrichia     leucophrys     White-crowned sparrow     F     T     Fe       Carnivora     Carnis     Iupus     Wolf     VS     T     Pe									& Clarke, 1987
DiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooArTHuDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeMacropus <i>tuliginosus</i> Western gray kangarooVSTBePasseriformesSittidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTMePasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCarnivoraCarnivoraCanislupusWolfVSTPe	A	Anura	Hylidae	Litoria	moorei	Tree frog	Ar	۷	Dunlop, Moore, &
DiprotodontiaMacropodidaeDendrolagusdorianaTree kangarooArTHuDiprotodontiaMacropodidaeMacropus <i>tuliginosus</i> Western gray kangarooVSTBePasseriformesSittidaeSittacarolinensisWhite-breasted nuthatchFTMPasseriformesEmberizidaeZonotrichialeucophrysWhite-crowned sparrowFTFeCarnivoraCarnivoraCanislupusWolfVSTPe									Beazley, 1997
Diprotodontia Macropodidae <i>Macropus fuliginosus</i> Western gray kangaroo VS T Be Passeriformes Sittidae <i>Sitta carolinensis</i> White-breasted nuthatch F T M Passeriformes Emberizidae <i>Zonotrichia leucophrys</i> White-crowned sparrow F T Fe Carnivora Canidae Canis lupus Wolf VS T Pe	Σ	Diprotodontia	Macropodidae	Dendrolagus	doriana	Tree kangaroo	Ar	F	Hughes, 1975
Passeriformes     Sitta     carolinensis     White-breasted nuthatch     F     T     M       Passeriformes     Emberizidae     Zonotrichia     leucophrys     White-crowned sparrow     F     T     Fe       Carnivora     Carnis     Carnis     Unpus     Wolf     VS     T     Pe	Σ	Diprotodontia	Macropodidae	Macropus	fuliginosus	Western gray kangaroo	٨S	н	Beazley, 1985
Passeriformes Emberizidae Z <i>onotrichia leucophrys</i> White-crowned sparrow F T Fe Carnivora Canidae Canis lupus Wolf VS T Pe	Av	Passeriformes	Sittidae	Sitta	carolinensis	White-breasted nuthatch	ш	н	Moore, Doppler, Young, &
Passeriformes Emberizidae Zonotrichia leucophrys White-crowned sparrow F T Carnivora Canidae Canis lupus Wolf VS T									Fernández-Juricic, 2012
Carnivora Canidae C <i>anis lupus</i> Wolf VS T	Av	Passeriformes	Emberizidae	Zonotrichia	leucophrys	White-crowned sparrow	ш	F	Fernández-Juricic, Gall, et
Carnivora Canidae Canis lupus Wolf VS T	1								al., 2011
	Σ	Carnivora	Canidae	Canis	sndnj	Wolf	VS	F	Peichl, 1992

# Appendix 2: Criteria used to classify retinal specializations from topographic maps

In most of the retinal specializations (across 88 species, Appendix 1) included in this study, the authors' classification coincided with the general criteria to distinguish between retinal specializations. In general, we followed the authors' classification.

Of the three retinal specialization types we focused on in this study, the fovea is the only one that may be seen as a funnel-shaped mark on the wholemounted retina, although its presence should be confirmed through cross-sectional analysis showing tissue invagination. Many studies using topographic maps of the retinal ganglion cell (RGC) layer marked the presence of the fovea following visual inspection. The other retinal specializations studied (*areae* and visual streaks) are more difficult to classify based on the topographic representation of variations in the density of RGCs. Stone and Halasz (1989) emphasized that improving the classification of retinal specializations requires analyses beyond topographic maps; such as establishing the projections of the RGCs to centers in the brain.

Many of the topographic maps published already do not have further tests to confirm the type of retinal specializations. Nevertheless, by following some criteria from the literature (Collin, 2008; Hughes, 1977; Walls, 1937), we classified the three types of retinal specializations based on features detectable by examination of retinal topographic maps. In general terms, we considered the foveas an indentation of the retina showing a funnel-shaped pit in the retinal tissue (Collin, 2008; Walls, 1937). We considered the area as a round, localized concentration of ganglion cells without a noticeable pit in the retinal tissue (Hughes, 1977). Finally, we considered the visual streak as a "bandlike area" crossing along the retina (Hughes, 1977).

However, in some cases, the authors did not specify a type of retinal specialization or their classification did not follow necessarily the criteria presented above. We explain in the following paragraphs the criteria we used to classify these cases.

 Three-toed sloth (Costa, Pessoa, Bousfield, & Clarke, 1987; http://retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=157163). The authors classified this retinal specialization as both an *area* and a visual streak. However, we classified it as an *area* in our analysis. The first two iso-density lines are very circular (greater than 1,350 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>), with a concentric increase in RGC density up to a specific point, which follows the area definition (Hughes, 1977). The next two lower cell density isolines (bounding cell densities between 1,000–1,200 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) have a tail that extends in the dorsal direction but not all the way to both sides of the retina. Furthermore, the lines representing even lower cell densities (beyond the fourth highest, less than 1,000 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) do not remain elongated and are more circular.

- 2. Ferret (Vilela et al., 2005; http://retinalmaps.com. au//view?tag0=157165). The retinal configuration is similar in principle to that of the three-toed sloth, in that the highest cell density ranges (greater than 4,500 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) are circular like an *area*, then the next lower iso-denity line (between 3,500–4,500 cells/ mm<sup>2</sup>) becomes more elongated in one direction, but the lowest iso-density lines (less than 3,500 cells/ mm<sup>2</sup>) become more circular. Therefore, we also classified this specialization as an *area*.
- 3. The topographic maps of seven species of birds (California towhee and white-crowned sparrow, Fernández-Juricic, Gall, et al., 2011; European starling, brown-headed cowbird, house sparrow, house finch, and mourning dove, Dolan & Fernández-Juricic, 2010) were originally reported as having an *area* due to the lack of cross-sections. However, we confirmed through visual examination of their whole-mounted retinas that they have a funnelshaped pit in the retinal tissue. Therefore, we classified them as all having foveae.
- 4. The topographic maps from seven species of birds (great kiskadee: http://retinalmaps.com.au// view?tag0=156862; rusty-marginated flycatcher: http://retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=156863, Coimbra, Marceliano, Andrade-da-Costa, & Yamada, 2006; Chilean eagle: http://retinalmaps.com.au// view?tag0=156965; American kestrel [sparrow hawk]: http://retinalmaps.com.au// view?tag0=156964; Chimango caracara: http:// retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=156966; condor: http://retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=156967; and black vulture: http://retinalmaps.com.au// view?tag0=156968, Inzunza et al., 1991) all possess both (a) a central fovea, and (b) either a temporal fovea or a temporal area. For the maps of the great kiskadee, rusty-marginated flycatcher, Chilean eagle, and American kestrel, we coded both the central specialization (fovea in all cases) and the temporal specialization (fovea in Chilean eagle and American kestrel and area in great kiskadee and rustymarginated flycatcher) as they were classified in the original paper. However, for the black vulture, Chimango caracara, and condor, we only coded the central fovea, but not what the authors classified as a temporal *area*. Following the criteria listed above. what the authors classified as a temporal specialization would only be considered a slight increase in ganglion cell density and not a true area as there was not a concentric increase in ganglion cell density.

Also, each of the seven species (great kiskadee, rusty-marginated flycatcher, Chilean eagle, American kestrel, Chimango caracara, condor, and black vulture) was suggested in the original publications to also have a third retinal specialization: a visual streak. However, we did not assign these species as having a visual streak because the streak-like extension is only an effect of the two other specializations being close to one another rather than a distinctive bandlike area of high RGC density across the retina.

5. In situations in which one specialization was present inside of another specialization, we based our coding on the specialization with the largest area of high resolution in the retina, as this seems to be an important factor affecting how animals gather information behaviorally (e.g., through head movements) within their visual fields. More specifically, when there was an *area* inside of a visual streak (e.g., spotted hyena: http://retinalmaps.com.au// view?tag0=156861, Calderone et al., 2003; cat: http://retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=156958, Hughes, 1975; Tasmanian devil: http://retinalmaps. com.au//view?tag0=157080, Tancred, 1981; carangid fish, http://retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=157077, Takei & Somiya, 2002; clown triggerfish: http:// retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=156888, Collin & Pettigrew, 1989; painted flutemouth: http:// retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=156889, Collin & Pettigrew, 1988; red-throated emperor: http:// retinalmaps.com.au//view?tag0=157423, Collin & Pettigrew, 1988; barn owl: http://retinalmaps.com. au//view?tag0=156758, Wathey & Pettigrew, 1989; American garter snake: http://retinalmaps.com.au// view?tag0=157090, Wong, 1989), we counted it as a visual streak.

Additionally, two of the topographic maps included in the analysis (Carolina chickadee and whitebreasted nuthatch) are currently in a manuscript in revision (Moore et al., 2012). These two species have a fovea that could be distinguished from the wholemount (see above).

## Appendix 3: Classification functions from the linear and nonlinear discriminant function analyses (DFAs) of terrestrial and aquatic vertebrates

Shown are the classification functions and their coefficients for each type of retinal specialization. RGC, retinal ganglion cell.

	Fovea	Area	Visual streak
Constant	-8.60137	-3.00077	-1.23475
Peak RGC density	0.00034	0.00007	0.00005
Lowest RGC density	0.00105	0.00021	0.00009
Temporal slope	-0.80339	0.33622	0.00107
x-coordinate position	1.63501	-3.31182	-2.00614
y-coordinate position	0.69617	-4.69082	-1.10894

**Terrestrial species.** *Linear DFA model.*  $S_{retinal specialization} = a + b * peak RGC density + c * lowest RGC density + d * temporal slope + e *$ *x*-coordinate position + f \**y*-coordinate position.

	Fovea	Area	Visual streak
Constant	-11.3203	-3.59522	-2.94342
Peak RGC density	0.0005	0.00024	0.00025
Lowest RGC density	0.0007	0.00013	-0.00011
PCA temporal	-1.7354	0.38003	-0.06805
x-coordinate position	1.8869	-1.79340	-0.79163
y-coordinate position	2.7918	-3.41312	0.62487
PCA dorsal	-4.0536	-2.57402	-3.63071

**Terrestrial species.** Nonlinear DFA model. S<sub>retinal specialization</sub> = a + b \* peak RGC density + c \* lowest RGC density + d \* PCA factor representing a change in cell density in the temporal region of the retina + e \* x-coordinate position + f \* y-coordinate position + g \* PCA factor representing a change in cell density in the dorsal region of the retina.

	Fovea	Area	Visual streak
Constant	-2.38964	-2.22052	-6.41977
Peak RGC density	0.00012	-0.00004	0.00043
Lowest RGC density	0.00059	-0.00006	0.00004
Nasal slope	-2.19112	1.83658	-5.72918
Temporal slope	-0.19894	0.20869	-0.25415
Dorsal slope	0.31942	-0.20636	-1.08599
x-coordinate position	-1.25112	1.90093	-4.65734
y-coordinate position	-3.90749	0.77298	-2.29086

Aquatic species. Linear DFA model. S<sub>retinal specialization</sub> = a + b \* peak RGC density + c \* lowest RGC density + d \* nasal slope + e \* temporal slope + f \* dorsal slope + g \* *x*-coordinate position + h \* *y*-coordinate position.

	Fovea	Area	Visual streak
Constant	-5.53234	-1.98571	-2.83120
x-coordinate position	-3.25709	-0.46134	2.05150
PCA temporal	2.59056	-0.09945	0.38005
Lowest RGC density	-0.00018	0.00043	-0.00021
y-coordinate position	-0.52988	-1.97467	2.32646
PCA dorsal	-2.97284	-0.55221	-2.27568
Peak RGC density	0.00013	0.00005	0.00012

Aquatic species. Nonlinear DFA model. S<sub>retinal specialization</sub> = a + b \* x-coordinate position + c \* PCA factor representing a change in cell density in the temporal region of the retina + d \* lowest RGC density + e \* y-coordinate position + f \* PCA factor representing a change in cell density in the dorsal region of the retina + g \* peak RGC density.