

1 **This manuscript is published in *Journal of Ecology*, 2008, 96,**
 2 **1266–1274.**

3
 4
 5 **The LEDA Traitbase: A database of life-history traits of the Northwest**
 6 **European flora**

7
 8
 9 M. Kleyer^{1*}, R.M. Bekker^{1,2}, I.C. Knevel^{1,2}, J.P. Bakker², K. Thompson³, M. Sonnenschein^{4,5}, P. Poschod⁶, J.M. van Groenendael⁷, L. Klimeš⁸, J. Klimešová⁸, S. Klotz⁹, G.M. Rusch¹⁰, M. Hermy¹¹, D. Adriaens¹¹, G. Boedeltje⁷, B. Bossuyt¹⁸, A. Dannemann¹, P. Endels¹¹, L. Götzenberger⁹, J.G. Hodgson¹³, A-K. Jackel⁶, I. Kühn⁹, D. Kunzmann¹, W.A. Ozinga^{7, 12}, C. Römermann⁶, M. Stadler^{4,5}, J. Schlegelmilch⁵, H.J. Steendam², O. Tackenberg⁶, B. Wilmann¹⁰, J.H.C. Cornelissen¹⁴, O. Eriksson¹⁵, E. Garnier¹⁶, B. Peco¹⁷

16 ¹ *Carl von Ossietzky University of Oldenburg, Landscape Ecology Group, P.O. Box*
 17 *2503, 26111 Oldenburg (Germany);* ² *University of Groningen, Community and Con-*
 18 *servation Ecology Group, P.O. Box 14, 9750 AA Haren (The Netherlands);* ³ *Sheffield*
 19 *University, Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, Sheffield S10 2TN (United King-*
 20 *dom);* ⁴ *Carl von Ossietzky University of Oldenburg, Department of Computer Science,*
 21 *Uhlhornsweg D-26111 Oldenburg (Germany);* ⁵ *OFFIS e.V., Escherweg 2, 26121,*
 22 *Oldenburg (Germany);* ⁶ *University of Regensburg, Institute of Botany, D-93040 Re-*
 23 *gensburg (Germany);* ⁷ *Radboud University, Aquatic Ecology & Environmental Biol-*
 24 *ogy, Toernooiveld 1, 6525 ED Nijmegen (The Netherlands);* ⁸ *Institute of Botany*
 25 *(ASCR), Section of Plant Ecology, Dukelská 135, 379 82 Třeboň (Czech Republic);* ⁹
 26 *Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Community Ecol-*
 27 *ogy (BZF), Theodor-Lieser-Strasse 4, D-06120 Halle (Saale) (Germany);* ¹⁰ *Norwegian*
 28 *Institute for Nature Research (NINA), 7485 Trondheim (Norway);* ¹¹ *University of Leu-*
 29 *ven, Division Forest, Nature & Landscape Research, Celestijnenlaan 200 E, 3001 Leu-*
 30 *ven (Belgium);* ¹² *Alterra Wageningen UR, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA Wageningen (The*
 31 *Netherlands);* ¹³ *Peak Science and Environment, Station House, Hathersage, S32 1BA,*
 32 *Derbyshire (United Kingdom);* ¹⁴ *Institute of Ecological Science, Department of Sys-*
 33 *tems Ecology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1087, 1081 HV Amsterdam*
 34 *(The Netherlands);* ¹⁵ *Department of Botany, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm*
 35 *(Sweden);* ¹⁶ *Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive (UMR5175), CNRS, 1919*
 36 *route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier Cedex 5 (France);* ¹⁷ *Universidad Autónoma de*
 37 *Madrid, Ecology Department, 28049 Cantoblanco, Madrid (Spain),* ¹⁸ *Ghent University,*
 38 *Terrestrial Ecology Unit, K. L. Ledeganckstraat 35 B-9000 Ghent, Belgium*

39
 40
 41 *Corresponding author: michael.kleyer@uni-oldenburg.de (M. Kleyer); Tel: 0049 441
 42 7983278, FAX: 0049 441 7985659.

43
 44
 45 **Summary**

46 1. An international group of scientists has built, an open internet database of life-
 47 history traits of the Northwest European flora (the LEDA-Traitbase) that can be used as

1 a data source for fundamental research on plant biodiversity and coexistence, macro-
2 ecological patterns and plant functional responses.

3 2. The species-trait matrix comprises referenced information under the control of an
4 editorial board, for ca. 3000 species of the Northwest European flora, combining exist-
5 ing information and additional measurements. The database currently contains data on
6 26 plant traits that describe three key features of plant dynamics: persistence, regenera-
7 tion and dispersal. The LEDA-Traitbase is freely available from www.leda-traitbase.org.

8 3. We present the structure of the database and an overview of the trait information
9 available.

10 *Synthesis and applications:* The LEDA Traitbase is useful for large-scale analyses of
11 functional responses of communities to environmental change, effects of community
12 trait composition on ecosystem properties and patterns of rarity and invasiveness, as
13 well as linkages between traits as expressions of fundamental trade-offs in plants.

14

15 **Key-words:** Plant functional traits, Age of first flowering, Buoyancy, Clonal traits,
16 Canopy height, Plant life span, SLA, Dispersal, Seed weight, Seed number, Soil seed
17 bank, Functional ecology.

18

1 **Introduction**

2 The immense variation in plant form and life history has always intrigued botanists,
3 plant geographers and ecologists. From the middle of the 19th century, interest in disen-
4 tangling relationships between plant biological traits and the environment has steadily
5 developed, resulting in a wealth of descriptions of plant morphology as adaptations to
6 climate and soil factors (Du Rietz 1931). This interest evolved into compilations of bio-
7 logical knowledge for individual plant species (e.g. Kirchner *et al.* 1908-1936; the Bio-
8 logical Flora of the British Isles series published in this journal; Rabotnov 1974-1990
9 for Russia). A further step has been taken more recently, to build up digital databases to
10 synthesise information on plant traits. For instance, the GLOPNET database (Wright *et*
11 *al.* 2004) covers chemical, structural and physiological traits of leaves for a large num-
12 ber of species world-wide. Seed weight data are now available for $> 10^4$ species (Flynn
13 *et al.* 2006), and other databases offer bibliographic data for selected communities (e.g.
14 APIRS for aquatic plants (<http://plants.ifas.ufl.edu/>)) or provide taxonomic information
15 together with some selected traits (e.g. USDA 2006).

16 Within Europe, knowledge of traits for individual species is growing fast, but informa-
17 tion remains scattered over many sources, including dozens of different journals, large
18 monographs and floras. The sources are available in various languages and distributed
19 across many countries, collected and stored in different ways, and are not mutually inte-
20 grated. Standardisation of trait definitions and measurements is often poor among spe-
21 cies and studies. Trait data can also be retrieved from various databases. However, cur-
22 rently accessible databases are often restricted to certain regions, and cover only a lim-
23 ited number of species or traits.

24 A trans-national initiative has therefore aimed at designing and filling a species-trait
25 matrix for the NW European flora that would be freely retrievable on the Worldwide
26 Web (Knevel *et al.* 2003). The LEDA Traitbase (www.leda-traitbase.org), which uses a

1 European consolidated species list, is concerned with pooling existing databases, com-
2 piling new information from published data and closing knowledge gaps through exten-
3 sive new measurements across several NW European countries. It consists of a rela-
4 tional database linking species with traits and reference information about data source,
5 location, habitat and trait measurement protocol on three core sets of traits: (i) persis-
6 tence (vegetative) traits such as leaf, stem and clonal growth characteristics; (ii) regen-
7 eration traits such as seed production, seed longevity and (iii) dispersal traits such as
8 seed weight, dispersal vectors, floating capacity and vertical terminal velocity of
9 propagules.

10 The general objectives of the LEDA project were announced in Knevel *et al.* (2003).

11 The present paper describes the scope and architecture of the database, the methods of
12 collecting data and the plant life-history traits that are covered by the LEDA Traitbase.

13 Additionally, a brief overview of applications illustrates the value of trait databases in
14 general, and the LEDA Traitbase in particular, for research in functional ecology.

15

16 **Framework of the LEDA Traitbase**

17 **TRAITS IN THE LEDA TRAITBASE**

18 Traits covered by the LEDA Traitbase were selected according to two major criteria: (1)
19 relevance for persistence, regeneration and dispersal as key functions for survival in pat-
20 terned landscapes and (2) trait data available for the flora of Northwest-Europe, either in
21 published sources or in unpublished databases maintained by the project partners. As
22 LEDA was designed as a compilation of data for a large number of species, we had to
23 exclude traits for which only a small number of records for the Northwest European
24 flora could be expected (e.g. relative growth rate or leaf life span). Table 1 shows an
25 overview of the traits in the LEDA Traitbase together with associated functions and se-
26 lected references, whereas Table 2 describes the categories or units of measurement, and

1 actual number of species and records for the traits (version 1, 2007). More detailed in-
2 formation on the trait definitions is available in the Appendix S1 in Supplementary Ma-
3 terial.

4 Many trait data now available in LEDA for Northwest Europe had already attracted
5 considerable attention in functional ecology (e.g. canopy height, seed number, seed
6 mass, see Table 1) and are, at least in part, available elsewhere (e.g. Flynn *et al.* 2006
7 for seed mass, Ellenberg *et al.* 1991 for life form). For other traits, the LEDA Traitbase
8 may be a unique source of data. For instance, seed bank longevity of many species was
9 poorly known previously. The LEDA Project has improved this knowledge quite sub-
10 stantially from 21,071 records on 1189 species in the database of Thompson *et al.* (1997)
11 to 44,353 records covering 1787 species in total in the LEDA Traitbase (Tab. 2).

12 The LEDA Traitbase also includes data on clonal growth and dispersal traits that are
13 rarely available elsewhere. The morphological traits characterising clonal growth serve
14 as indicators for vegetative multiplication, persistence and vegetative regeneration sub-
15 sequent to damage (Klimeš *et al.* 1997; Klimešová & Martínková 2004). The data avail-
16 able in the LEDA Traitbase that are related to clonal growth encompass a categorisation
17 of clonal growth organs, bud bank vertical distribution and seasonality (Klimešová &
18 Klimeš 2007), lifespan of a shoot, persistence of the connections between parent and
19 offspring shoots, lateral spread and number of offspring shoots produced per year and
20 per parent shoot. These traits indicate speed of lateral spread, rate of clonal multiplica-
21 tion and duration of possibility of mutual support inside interconnected parts of a clone.

22 Seed dispersal influences many key aspects of the biology of plants, but is inherently
23 hard to measure (Cain *et al.* 2000). Since every species may be dispersed through dif-
24 ferent vectors and to different distances, we have measured traits related to dispersal
25 potential (Poschlod *et al.* 2005). Terminal velocity is a relevant predictor for wind dis-
26 persal potential. If vertical air velocity exceeds ‘terminal velocity’ then the seed can be

1 uplifted and dispersed for larger distances (Nathan *et al.* 2002; Tackenberg *et al.* 2003).
2 Combined with terminal velocity, seed release height is important in modelling wind
3 dispersal. One key factor in epizoochory (dispersal by means of seeds attached to exter-
4 nal parts of an animal) is the capacity of seeds to remain attached to fur, i.e. the attach-
5 ment potential (Couvreur *et al.* 2004; Römermann *et al.* 2005). Other dispersal traits
6 covered by the LEDA Traitbase include endozoochory (seeds dispersed after passing
7 through the digestive tract of an animal), buoyancy (floating capacity), morphology of
8 the dispersal unit and information about dispersal types as well as dispersal vectors of
9 plants.

10

11 THE LEDA GEOGRAPHICAL RANGE AND TAXONOMIC CORE

12 The geographical range of the LEDA project (Fig. 1) roughly covers NW-Europe from
13 the North Cape, Norway, to the Loire in France, and from the eastern borders of both
14 Finland and Germany to the west coast of Ireland. Plant species present in Austria,
15 Switzerland, Iceland, Poland, the Baltic States, Czech Republic, Slovakia and Hungary
16 overlap with those in the core LEDA area by 50 to 80%, indicating the wide range of
17 possible users of the Traitbase.

18 Selection of the 3000 priority vascular plant species for which we collected data was
19 made according to the species frequencies in the core countries i.e. UK, The Nether-
20 lands and Germany, disregarding alpine species and extremely rare species.

21 The taxonomic core of the LEDA Traitbase consists of one synonymised plant list at the
22 species level, complete with authorities. The list was collated from the national plant
23 lists available for the geographical range of the LEDA project (see Appendix S1). Spe-
24 cies names and grouping of the species in higher taxa, however, cannot be considered as
25 a stable reference system because taxonomies are subject to research and are changed
26 frequently. When collating existing databases and retrieving data from published litera-

1 ture different “taxonomic concepts” (sensu Geoffroy & Berendsohn 2003) inevitably
2 get merged. The resulting loss in data quality can, however, be expected have little im-
3 pact on the LEDA trait database for the following reasons: (1) Only a few taxonomic
4 groups in the flora of Northwest Europe are still under profound revision, and (2) Floras
5 were used which are interconnected by the species checklist from the SynBioSys-
6 Europe project (Schaminée *et al.* 2007).

7

8 METHODS OF COLLECTING DATA

9 In LEDA, the following trait databases were collated: Ecoflora (Fitter & Peat 1994),
10 Electronic Comparative Plant Ecology (Hodgson *et al.* 1995), Biological traits of vascu-
11 lar plants database (Kleyer 1995), CLOPLA (Klimešová & Klimeš 2006), the Soil seed
12 bank database (Thompson *et al.* 1997), the Dutch Botanical Database (CBS 1997 with
13 updates), DIASPORUS (Bonn *et al.* 2000), seed mass data from BiolFlor (Klotz *et al.*
14 2002), and BioPop (Poschlod *et al.* 2003; Jackel *et al.* 2006).

15 The remaining data were derived from literature dating back to the 19th Century. For
16 many traits in the LEDA Traitbase we expected more data to be available in the litera-
17 ture than we were actually able to retrieve. A large field sampling campaign was used to
18 obtain data identified as missing in the literature: collecting and measuring standards are
19 described in Knevel *et al.* (2005, www.leda-traitbase.org; see also Cornelissen *et al.*
20 2003). Very rare species had to be excluded because sampling effort increased with rar-
21 ity or because extraction of plant material from the field was prohibited by conservation
22 authorities. Age of first flowering could not be determined during field collections and
23 was therefore compiled solely from the literature.

24 The LEDA editorial board ensures that each entry in the Traitbase has a full reference to
25 its original source, whether a published book, paper, database or recent measurement
26 according to the LEDA standards. Also, newly measured data are referenced according

1 to the field site, including georeference information and habitat characteristics. When
2 habitat characteristics were missing for data from other sources, these were derived
3 from indicator values (Ellenberg *et al.* 1991).

4

5 TECHNICAL STRUCTURE OF THE DATABASE

6 The LEDA Traitbase is a combination of a relational database holding the trait data and
7 several web applications allowing for input, access and analysis of trait-related data (see
8 Appendix S1). Users only need a web-browser to query the LEDA Traitbase. After
9 query execution, a table containing the selected records will be displayed within the
10 web browser, either as individual records with bibliographic reference or as aggregated
11 values, e.g. the average of all SLA records for a species. Registered users may upload or
12 otherwise compose a list of species names to constrain their queries, and they may in-
13 struct the system to deliver the query results to their e-mail address. For example, to ob-
14 tain a GIS link, the mapping module FloraMap of the German online plant atlas
15 (<http://www.floraweb.de>) is accessible from within the LEDA web query application.
16 This allows access to further trait data, species distribution data and related information
17 (in German for version 1). Hence, the analysis of the spatial distribution of traits (e.g.
18 Kühn *et al.* 2006) can be facilitated easily.

19

20 APPLICATIONS OF THE LEDA TRAITBASE

21 Potential applications of the LEDA Traitbase cover the whole range of functional ecol-
22 ogy and phylogenetic ecology, the fusion of ecology and evolutionary history (e.g.
23 Grime 2006; McGill *et al.* 2006; Westoby 2006). A major field of functional ecology is
24 the analysis of changes in community trait composition in response to environmental
25 change to reveal functional response traits (Lavorel & Garnier 2002). Understanding
26 how persistence, regeneration and dispersal traits respond to environmental change is

1 essential for the prediction of species change in many ecological applications (e.g. land-
2 scape planning, restoration, mitigation of plant invasions). For instance, the LEDA
3 Traitbase has been used to show that the predictability of local species composition
4 from environmental conditions is constrained by dispersal traits (Ozinga *et al.* 2005).
5 Dispersal traits were further used to assess wind dispersal potential or external animal
6 dispersal in plants (Tackenberg *et al.* 2003). LEDA Traitbase data were also used to
7 model relationships between plant traits, soil fertility and disturbance by land use
8 (Kleyer 2002, Kühner & Kleyer 2008), and bud bank traits were used to explain the re-
9 generation of biennials and perennials following disturbance of urban plant communi-
10 ties (Latzel *et al.* 2008).

11 Potential applications of the LEDA Traitbase include the analysis of changes in ecosys-
12 tem functions (e.g. productivity, carbon sequestration) in response to changes in biodi-
13 versity and community composition based on the concomitant changes in “functional
14 effect traits”. Changes in traits such as longevity, leaf dry matter content, leaf nitrogen
15 content or woodiness can affect the productivity of plant communities (Garnier *et al.*
16 2007), nutrient cycling (Eviner *et al.* 2006), or soil carbon sequestration (De Deyn *et al.*
17 2008). Response and effect traits are linked when changes in species composition trans-
18 late into modifications of ecosystem properties (Chapin *et al.* 2000). For instance, seed
19 production may be essential for the response of plant species to strong disturbances and
20 at the same time an essential resource for animals. On the other hand, while seeds may
21 be important for the response to disturbance, leaf and stem traits may be more important
22 for the effect of plant species on biomass decomposition. By coupling the LEDA Trait-
23 base with datasets that combine species abundances with environmental information
24 and ecosystem properties, response and effect traits and linkages between these can be
25 identified (Suding *et al.* 2008).

1 LEDA data were also used for the analysis of relationships between traits and distribu-
2 tion patterns of rarity and endangerment of plant species (Smart *et al.* 2005, Römer-
3 mann *et al.* 2008). Specifically, there has been a long quest for traits which make spe-
4 cies invasive (e.g. Kühn *et al.* 2004, Moles *et al.* 2008, see Pyšek & Richardson 2007
5 for a review) or influence commonness and rarity in weeds (e.g. Lososová *et al.* 2008)
6 or urban plant species (Thompson & McCarthy 2008).

7 Functional diversity, i.e. the value and range of plant functional traits in a given com-
8 munity (Tilman 2001), has been proposed as an important feature of communities, for
9 instance to provide resilience in relation to regime shifts in terrestrial and aquatic com-
10 munities (Folke *et al.* 2004). Functional diversity can be recorded at different biological
11 levels, e.g. within species and between species in a community. Intraspecific diversity
12 can be extracted from the LEDA Traitbase either by retrieving the original individual
13 records or from aggregated information such as minimum and maximum values or stan-
14 dard deviations. For rare species or native species of natural landscapes without agricul-
15 tural land use, the number of trait records is still small. More records will be needed
16 throughout the geographical and environmental range of the species to assess the full
17 extent of trait variability. Interspecific diversity can be measured with various indices
18 (e.g. Mason *et al.* 2005) by collating LEDA data aggregated per trait and species to
19 vegetation relevés.

20 Understanding how investments of carbon and mineral nutrients vary between species is
21 central to plant ecology. Large databases on plant traits have helped to clarify the extent
22 to which scaling relations between traits indicate potential trade-offs or allometries (e.g.
23 Enquist & Niklas 2002, Wright *et al.* 2004). Although LEDA comprises only limited
24 data on biomass partitioning, it can produce trait correlation structures that could assist
25 in revealing scaling relations associated with persistence, regeneration and dispersal. In
26 contrast to such physiologically determined trade-offs, environment-induced trade-offs

1 are often characterised by different costs and benefits along environmental gradients.
2 LEDA data have been used to search for trade-offs between local above-ground persis-
3 tence and below-ground seed persistence (Ozinga *et al.* 2007) and between generative
4 and vegetative reproduction in riparian vegetation (Boedeltje *et al.* 2008).
5 These examples show that the LEDA Traitbase can assist in clarifying the role of traits
6 and of trait variation in the response of plants to changing environments, the assembly
7 of communities and the functioning of ecosystems. Case studies exploring these issues
8 will most often take place at the level of a community or a landscape. Trait measure-
9 ments at these levels will profit from assessing the variation of the traits under study
10 against variation in the flora of the region or biome. This information can now be re-
11 trieved from the LEDA Traitbase for the flora of NW Europe. The LEDA Traitbase also
12 offers the opportunity to re-analyse large vegetation datasets in terms of functional traits.
13 For instance, it would be interesting to combine country-wide sets of relevés aggregated
14 to syntaxonomic classes (e.g. Schaminée *et al.* 1995-1999) with the LEDA Traitbase to
15 extract variation in persistence and regeneration traits of plant communities. So far, this
16 has only been done for dispersal traits (Ozinga *et al.* 2005). Such community trait pro-
17 files could be used to generate better hypotheses for detailed investigations of plant trait
18 – environment linkages (McGill *et al.* 2006).

19

20 **FURTHER PROSPECTS**

21 At present the Traitbase supports a total of more than 8300 taxa of NW-Europe. Many
22 taxa are subspecies to which no data are linked. However, the possibility exists to link
23 data to these taxa, as well as to taxa that currently are not included in the LEDA priority
24 list. The LEDA consortium welcomes new collaborators interested in delivering new
25 data to the LEDA Traitbase. The LEDA standards (accessible through [www.leda-](http://www.leda-traitbase.org)
26 [traitbase.org](http://www.leda-traitbase.org)) provide baseline information on how the data should be organised. To as-

1 sure data quality and consistency with the LEDA data standard, the LEDA Editorial
2 Board will review the data before incorporating them into LEDA.
3 The LEDA Traitbase and its applications are designed to be extended with further traits.
4 Adapting the database scheme is relatively easy, since data for distinct traits are stored
5 within distinct tables. The LEDA consortium welcomes any initiative that seeks to
6 enlarge the LEDA Traitbase, either by extension of the geographical range or by exten-
7 sion of the traits that are covered by the database. This would include the obligation to
8 establish appropriate data standards, support additional technical effort and to take part
9 in the reviewing process.
10 Moreover, we see future prospects in the collation of LEDA to various other databases,
11 such as plant genomics, distribution, Red Lists, plant communities, habitats and envi-
12 ronmental factors, e.g. nutrient and disturbance data for sites with known species com-
13 position (Bekker *et al.* 2007, Schaminée *et al.* 2007). Currently, there are many initia-
14 tives across Europe and other parts of the world that intend to make available various
15 databases. We expect that the joint analysis of data from these different sources will
16 greatly advance our understanding of large-scale biodiversity change.

17

18 **Acknowledgement**

19 The authors thank Heike Zimmermann, Tobias Kerrinnes, Hartmut Fridl, Susanne Bonn,
20 Anne Krämer, Inge Lauer, Stefan Reidinger, Carsten Rüter, Tomas Flower-Ellis, Fran-
21 ciska Schultz, Espen Aarnes, Christina Lüst, Melanie Siemon, Christoph Reiffert and
22 Svenja Vozenilek who assisted in measurements or data input.

23 The LEDA Traitbase project was funded by the European Commission through the 5th
24 framework under the EESD programme, project number EVR1-CT-2002-40022. The
25 authors gratefully acknowledge the help of Hans Fink and Rudolf May, German Federal

- 1 Agency for Nature Conservation, Bonn, DE, in hosting and maintaining LEDA Trait-
- 2 base after the end of the funding period.

1 References

- 2 Barkman, J. (1988) New Systems of Plant growth forms and phenological plant types.
 3 In: *Plant form and vegetation structure* (eds M.J.A. Werger P.J.M Van der Aart, H.J.
 4 During, J.T.A. Verhoeven), pp. 9-44. S. P. B. Publishers, The Hague.
- 5 Bekker, R.M., Schaminée, J.H.J., Bakker, J.P. & Thompson, K. (1998) Seed bank char-
 6 acteristics of Dutch plant communities. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* **47**, 15-26.
- 7 Bekker, R.M., van der Maarel, E., Bruelheide, H., & Woods, K. (2007) Long-term data-
 8 sets: From descriptive to predictive data using ecoinformatics. *Journal of Vegetation*
 9 *Science*, **18**, 458-462.
- 10 Bellingham, P.J. & Sparrow, A.D. (2000) Resprouting as a life history strategy in
 11 woody plant communities. *Oikos* **89**, 409-416.
- 12 Boedeltje, G., Ozinga, W.A., & Prinzing, A. (2008) The trade-off between vegetative
 13 and generative reproduction among angiosperms influences regional hydrochorous
 14 propagule pressure. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **17**, 50-58.
- 15 Bonn, S., P. Poschlod & Tackenberg, O. (2000) Diasporus - a database for diaspore dis-
 16 persal - concept and application in case studies for risk assessment. *Zeitschrift für*
 17 *Ökologie und Naturschutz* **9**, 85-97.
- 18 Bruun, H.H. & Poschlod, P. (2006) Why are small seeds dispersed through animal guts:
 19 large numbers or seed size per se? *Oikos* **113**, 403-411.
- 20 Cain, M.L., Milligan, B.G. & Strand, A.E. (2000) Long-distance seed dispersal in plant
 21 populations. *American Journal of Botany* **87**, 1217-1227.
- 22 CBS (1997) *Dutch Botanical Database, Biobase*. Centraal Bureau voor Statistiek,
 23 Voorburg/Heerlen.
- 24 Chapin, F.S., Zavaleta, E.S., Eviner, V.T., Naylor, R.L., Vitousek, P.M., Reynolds,
 25 H.L., Hooper, D.U., Lavorel, S., Sala, O.E., Hobbie, S.E., Mack, M.C., & Diaz, S.
 26 (2000) Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature*, **405**, 234-242.
- 27 Cornelissen, J.H.C., Lavorel, S., Garnier, E., Díaz, S., Buchmann, N., Gurvich, D.E.,
 28 Reich, P.B., Ter Steege, H., Morgan, H.D., Van der Heijden, M.G.A., Pausas, J.G.
 29 and Poorter, H. (2003) Handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measure-
 30 ment of plant functional traits worldwide. *Australian Journal of Botany* **51**, 335-380.
- 31 Couvreur M., Vandenberghe B, Verheyen K. & Hermy M. (2004) Experimental as-
 32 sessment of seed adhesivity on animal furs. *Seed Science Research* **14**, 147-159.
- 33 Danvind, M. & Nilsson, C. (1997) Seed floating ability and distribution of alpine plants
 34 along a northern Swedish river. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **8**, 271-276.
- 35 De Deyn, G.B., Cornelissen, J.H.C., & Bardgett, R.D. (2008) Plant functional traits and
 36 soil carbon sequestration in contrasting biomes. *Ecology Letters*, **11**, 516-531.
- 37 De Kroon, H. & van Groenendael, J. (1997) (eds.) *The ecology and evolution of clonal*
 38 *plants*. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden.
- 39 Du Rietz, G.E. (1931) Life forms of terrestrial flowering plants. *Acta Phytogeographica*
 40 *Suecica* **3**, 1-95.
- 41 Ellenberg, H., H.E. Weber, R. Düll, V. Wirth, W. Werner & D. Paulissen (1991) Zei-
 42 gerwerte von Pflanzen in Mitteleuropa. *Scripta Geobotanica* **18**, 1-248.

- 1 Enquist, B.J. & Niklas, K.J. (2002) Global allocation rules for patterns of biomass parti-
2 tioning in seed plants. *Science* **295**, 1517-1520.
- 3 Eviner, V.T., Chapin, F.S., & Vaughn, C.E. (2006) Seasonal variations in plant species
4 effects on soil N and P dynamics. *Ecology*, **87**, 974-986.
- 5 Fitter, A.H. & Peat, H.J. (1994) The Ecological Flora Data-base. *Journal of Ecology* **82**,
6 415-425.
- 7 Flynn, S., Turner, R.M., and Stuppy, W.H. (2006) *Seed Information Database* (release
8 7.0, October 2006) <http://www.kew.org/data/sid>.
- 9 Folke, C., Carpenter, S., Walker, B., Scheffer, M., Elmqvist, T., Gunderson, L., & Holl-
10 ing, C.S. (2004) Regime shifts, resilience, and biodiversity in ecosystem manage-
11 ment. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*, **35**, 557-581.
- 12 Garnier, E., Lavorel, S., Ansquer, P., Castro, H., Cruz, P., Dolezal, J., Eriksson, O., For-
13 tunel, C., Freitas, H., Golodets, C., Grigulis, K., Jouany, C., Kazakou, E., Kigel, J.,
14 Kleyer, M., Lehsten, V., Leps, J., Meier, T., Pakeman, R., Papadimitriou, M., Pa-
15 panastasis, V.P., Quested, H., Quetier, F., Robson, M., Roumet, C., Rusch, G.,
16 Skarpe, C., Sternberg, M., Theau, J.P., Thebault, A., Vile, D., & Zarovali, M.P.
17 (2007) Assessing the effects of land-use change on plant traits, communities and eco-
18 system functioning in grasslands: A standardized methodology and lessons from an
19 application to 11 European sites. *Annals of Botany*, **99**, 967-985.
- 20 Geoffroy, M. & Berendsohn, W. G. (2003) The concept problem in taxonomy: impor-
21 tance, components, approaches. *Schriftenreihe Vegetationsk.* **39**, 5-14.
- 22 Grime, J.P. (2006) Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant commu-
23 nities: Mechanisms and consequences. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **17**, 255-260.
- 24 Grime, J.P., Hodgson, J.G. & Hunt, R. (1988) *Comparative Plant Ecology*. Unwin
25 Hyman, London.
- 26 Hodgson, J.G., Grime, J.P., Hunt, R. & Thompson, K. (1995) *The electronic compara-*
27 *tive plant ecology*. Chapman & Hall, London.
- 28 Jackel, A.-K., Dannemann, A., Tackenberg, O., Kleyer, M. & Poschlod, P. (2006) Bio-
29 Pop – Funktionelle Merkmale von Pflanzen und ihre Anwendungsmöglichkeiten im
30 Arten-, Biotop- und Naturschutz. *Naturschutz und Biologische Vielfalt* **32**, 1-168.
- 31 Kirchner, O., Loew, E. & Schroeter, C. (1908 - 1936) *Lebensgeschichte der Blüten-*
32 *pflanzen Mitteleuropas*. Ulmer, Stuttgart.
- 33 Kleyer, M. (1995) *Biological traits of vascular plants – a database*. University of Stutt-
34 gart, Arbeitsberichte, N.F. 2, Stuttgart, Germany.
- 35 Kleyer, M. (2002) Validation of plant functional types across two contrasting land-
36 scapes. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **13**, 167-178.
- 37 Klimeš L., Klimešová J., Hendriks R. & Van Groenendael J.M. (1997) Clonal plant ar-
38 chitectures: a comparative analysis of form and function. *The ecology and evolution*
39 *of clonal plants* (eds H. de Kroon & J. van Groenendael), pp. 1-29. Backhuys Pub-
40 lishers, Leiden.
- 41 Klimeš, L. & Klimešová, J. (2000) Plant rarity and the mode of clonal growth. *Zeit-*
42 *schrift für Oekologie und Naturschutz* **9**, 43-52.
- 43 Klimešová J. & Klimeš L. (2007) Budbanks and their role in vegetation regeneration. A
44 literature review and proposal for simple classification. *PPEES* **8**, 115-129.

- 1 Klimešová, J. & Martínková, J. (2004) Intermediate growth forms as a model for the
2 study of plant clonality functioning: an example with root sprouters. *Evolutionary*
3 *Ecology* **18**, 669-681.
- 4 Klimešová, J. & Klimeš, L. (2006) *CLO-PLA3*. <http://clopla.butbn.cas.cz/>.
- 5 Klotz, S., Kühn, I., & Durka, W. (2002) BIOLFLORE – Eine Datenbank zu biologisch-
6 ökologischen Merkmalen der Gefäßpflanzen in Deutschland. *Schriftenreihe für Ve-*
7 *getationskunde* **38**. Bundesamt für Naturschutz, Bonn.
- 8 Knevel I.C., Bekker, R.M., Bakker, J.P. & Kleyer, M. (2003) Life-history traits of the
9 NW European flora: The LEDA database. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **14**, 611-614.
- 10 Knevel, I.C., Bekker, R.M., Kunzmann, D., Stadler, M. & Thompson, K. (2005) The
11 LEDA Traitbase collecting and measuring standards of life-history traits of the NW
12 European flora. Published by the University of Groningen, Groningen, The Nether-
13 lands. Available online at: <http://www.LEDA-Traitbase.org>.
- 14 Kühn, I., Bierman, S. M., Durka, W. & Klotz, S. (2006) Relating geographical variation
15 in pollination types to environmental and spatial factors using novel statistical meth-
16 ods. *New Phytologist* **172**, 127-139.
- 17 Kühn, I., Brandenburg, M. and Klotz, S. (2004) Why do alien plant species that repro-
18 duce in natural habitats occur more frequently? *Diversity & Distributions* **10**, 417-
19 425.
- 20 Kühner, A. & Kleyer, M. (2008) A parsimonious combination of traits predicting plant
21 response to disturbance and soil fertility. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **19**, 681-692.
- 22 Latzel V., Mihulka S., Klimešová J. (2008) Plant traits and regeneration of urban plant
23 community after disturbance: Does bud bank play any role? *Journal of Applied*
24 *Vegetation Sciences First Online* (doi: 10.3170/2008-7-18487).
- 25 Lavorel, S. & Garnier, E. (2002) Predicting changes in community composition and
26 ecosystem functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Functional Ecol-*
27 *ogy* **16**, 545-556.
- 28 Leishman, M.R. (2001) Does the seed size/number trade-off model determine plant
29 community structure? An assessment of the model mechanisms and their generality.
30 *Oikos* **93**, 294-302.
- 31 Lososová, Z., Chytrý, M., Kühn, I. (2008) Plant attributes determining regional com-
32 monness and rarity of weeds on Central European arable land. *Journal of Biogeogra-*
33 *phy* **35**, 177-187.
- 34 Mason, N.W.H., Mouillot, D., Lee, W.G., & Wilson, J.B. (2005) Functional richness,
35 functional evenness and functional divergence: the primary components of functional
36 diversity. *Oikos*, **111**, 112-118.
- 37 McGill, B.J., Enquist, B.J., Weiher, E., & Westoby, M. (2006) Rebuilding community
38 ecology from functional traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **21**, 178-185.
- 39 Moles, A.T., Gruber, M.A.M., & Bonser, S.P. (2008) A new framework for predicting
40 invasive plant species. *Journal of Ecology*, **96**, 13-17.
- 41 Nathan, R., Katul, G.G., Horn, H.S., Thomas, S.M., Oren, R., Avissar, R., Pacala, S.W.
42 & Levin, S.A. (2002) Mechanisms of long-distance dispersal of seeds by wind. *Na-*
43 *ture* **418**, 409-413.

- 1 Ozinga, W.A., Hennekens, S.M., Schaminee, J.H.J., Smits, N.A.C., Bekker, R.M., Rö-
 2 mermann, C., Klimes, L., Bakker, J.P., & van Groenendael, J.M. (2007) Local
 3 above-ground persistence of vascular plants: Life-history trade-offs and environ-
 4 mental constraints. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **18**, 489-497.
- 5 Ozinga, W.A., J.H.J. Schaminée, R.M. Bekker, S. Bonn, P. Poschlod, O. Tackenberg,
 6 J.P. Bakker & J.M. van Groenendael (2005) Predictability of plant species composi-
 7 tion from environmental conditions is constrained by dispersal limitation. *Oikos* **108**,
 8 555-561.
- 9 Poschlod, P., Kleyer, M., Jackel, A.-K., Dannemann, A. & Tackenberg, O. (2003)
 10 BIOPOP – a database of plant traits and internet application for nature conservation.
 11 *Folia Geobotanica* **38**, 263-271.
- 12 Poschlod, P., Tackenberg, O. & Bonn, S. (2005) Plant dispersal potential and its relation
 13 to species frequency and coexistence. In: van der Maarel, E. (Ed.), *Vegetation Ecology*.
 14 Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 147-171.
- 15 Pyšek, P. & Richardson DM (2007) Traits associated with invasiveness in alien plants:
 16 Where do we stand? In: Biological invasions, ed. W. Nentwig. *Ecological Studies*
 17 **193**, Springer, Berlin & Heidelberg, pp 97–126.
- 18 Rabotnov, T. A. (ed.) (1974 - 1990) *Biological flora of the Moscow region*. Volumes 1–
 19 8. Izdatelstvo Moskovskogo Universiteta, Moskva.
- 20 Raunkiaer, C. (1937) *Plant life forms*. Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- 21 Rejmánek, M. & Richardson, D. M. (1996) What attributes make some plant species
 22 more invasive? *Ecology* **77**, 1655-1661.
- 23 Römermann, C., Tackenberg, O. & Poschlod, P. (2005) How to predict attachment po-
 24 tential of seeds to sheep and cattle coat from simple morphological seed traits.
 25 *OIKOS* **110**, 219-230.
- 26 Römermann, C., Tackenberg, O., Jackel, A.-K. & Poschlod, P. (2008) Eutrophication
 27 and fragmentation are related to species' rate of decline but not to species rarity - Re-
 28 sults from a functional approach. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **17**, 591-604.
- 29 Ryser, P. (1996) The importance of tissue density for growth and lifespan of leaves and
 30 roots: a comparison of five ecologically contrasting grasses. *Functional Ecology* **10**,
 31 717-723.
- 32 Schaminée, J.H.J., Hommel, P.W.F.M., Stortelder, A.H.F., Weeda, E.J. & Westhoff, V.
 33 (1995-1999) *De Vegetatie van Nederland*. Vol. 1-5. Opulus Press, Uppsala / Leiden.
- 34 Schaminée, J.H.J., Hennekens, S.M., & Ozinga, W.A. (2007) Use of the ecological in-
 35 formation system SynBioSys for the analysis of large datasets. *Journal of Vegetation*
 36 *Science* **18**, 463-470.
- 37 Smart, S.M., Bunce, R.G.H., Marrs, R., LeDuc, M., Firbank, L.G., Maskell, L.C., Scott,
 38 W.A., Thompson, K., & Walker, K.J. (2005) Large-scale changes in the abundance
 39 of common higher plant species across Britain between 1978, 1990 and 1998 as a
 40 consequence of human activity: Tests of hypothesised changes in trait representation.
 41 *Biological Conservation*, **124**, 355-371.
- 42 Suding, K.N., Lavorel, S., Chapin, F.S., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Diaz, S., Garnier, E.,
 43 Goldberg, D., Hooper, D.U., Jackson, S.T., & Navas, M.L. (2008) Scaling environ-
 44 mental change through the community-level: a trait-based response-and-effect fra-
 45 mework for plants. *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 1125-1140.

- 1 Tackenberg, O., Poschlod, P., & Bonn, S. (2003) Assessment of wind dispersal poten-
2 tial in plant species. *Ecological Monographs* **73**, 191-205.
- 3 Thompson, K., Bakker, J.P. & Bekker, R.M. (1997) *Soil Seed Banks of North West*
4 *Europe: Methodology, Density and Longevity*. Cambridge University Press, Cam-
5 bridge.
- 6 Thompson, K. & McCarthy, M. A. (2008) Traits of British alien and native urban plants.
7 *Journal of Ecology* (in press).
- 8 Tilman, D. (2001) Functional diversity. In *Encyclopedia of biodiversity*. (ed S.A.
9 Levin), pp. 109-120. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- 10 USDA, NRCS. 2006 The PLANTS Database (<http://plants.usda.gov>, 7 November 2006).
11 National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA.
- 12 Van der Pijl, L. (1972) *Principles of dispersal in higher plants*. Springer, Berlin.
- 13 Vesk, P.A. & Westoby, M. (2004) Sprouting by plants: the effect of modular organiza-
14 tion. *Functional Ecology* **18**, 939-946.
- 15 Westoby, M. (2006) Phylogenetic ecology at world scale, a new fusion between ecology
16 and evolution. *Ecology* **87**, S163-S166.
- 17 Westoby, M., Falster, D.S., Moles, A.T., Vesk, P.A. & Wright, I.J. (2002) Plant eco-
18 logical strategies: Some leading dimensions of variation between species. *Annual*
19 *Review of Ecology and Systematics* **33**, 125-159.
- 20 Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., Caven-
21 der-Bares, J., Chapin, T., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Diemer, M., Flexas, J., Garnier, E.,
22 Groom, P.K., Gulias, J., Hikosaka, K., Lamont, B.B., Lee, T., Lee, W., Lusk, C.,
23 Midgley, J.J., Navas, M.L., Niinemets, U., Oleksyn, J., Osada, N., Poorter, H., Poot,
24 P., Prior, L., Pyankov, V.I., Roumet, C., Thomas, S.C., Tjoelker, M.G., Veneklaas,
25 E.J. & Villar, R. (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature* **428**, 821-
26 827.

27

28 **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

29 The following supplementary material is available for this article:

30

31 **Appendix S1** Details regarding the LEDA trait definitions and the structure of the data-
32 base.

33

34 This material is available as part of the online article from:

35 <http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.02451.x>

36

37 Please note: Blackwell Publishing is not responsible for the content or functionality of
38 any supplementary materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing
39 material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

40

1
2 **Table 1:** Overview of the traits in the LEDA Traitbase, their functional significance and
3 related publications.

The LEDA traits	Functional significance and related publications
<i>Persistence</i>	
Canopy height	Competitive ability (Westoby <i>et al.</i> 2002)
Leaf distribution along the stem, branching, shoot growth form	Competitive ability (Barkman 1988)
Leaf mass, leaf size, specific leaf area, leaf dry matter content	Growth rate, competitive ability, stress tolerance (Westoby <i>et al.</i> 2002)
Woodiness, stem specific density	Growth rate, investment in supporting structure (Ryser 1996)
Clonal growth organs, persistence of connection between parent and offspring shoots, number of offspring shoots per parent shoot per year, lateral spread	Competitive ability, persistence, clonal integration, storage (De Kroon & Van Groenendael 1997; Klimeš & Klimešová 2000; Vesk & Westoby 2004)
Bud bank - vertical distribution and seasonality	Response to disturbance (Bellingham & Sparrow 2000, Klimešová & Klimeš 2007)
<i>Regeneration</i>	
Plant growth form, plant life span, age of first flowering	Response to disturbance, establishment, invasiveness (Raunkiaer 1937; Rejmánek & Richardson 1996)
Seed number, seed shedding	Response to disturbance, establishment, dispersal (Leishman 2001; Bruun & Poschlod 2006)
Seed weight, size and shape	Dispersal, establishment (Grime <i>et al.</i> 1988, Westoby <i>et al.</i> 2002)
Seed bank longevity	Storage effects, response to disturbance (Bekker <i>et al.</i> 1998)
<i>Dispersability</i>	
Morphology of dispersal unit, seed releasing height	Wind dispersal, ecto- and endozoochorous dispersal (Van der Pijl 1972)
Dispersal vectors	Spectra of dispersal vectors for plants (Bonn <i>et al.</i> 2000)
Terminal velocity	Wind-dispersal (Tackenberg <i>et al.</i> 2003)
Attachment capacity of the dispersal unit, digestion survival	Ecto- and endozoochorous dispersal (Couvreur <i>et al.</i> 2004; Römermann <i>et al.</i> 2005)
Buoyancy	Dispersal in running water (Danvind & Nilsson 1997)

4

5

1
2
3


Table 2. Contents of the LEDA Traitbase, version 1.

Trait name in Data Standards	No. of species	No. of records	Cat. No.	Category or unit(s) of measurement
Plant growth form	2334	3154	1 2 3 4 4.1 4.2 4.2.1 4.3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Phanerophyte Chamaephyte Hemicryptophyte Cryptophyte Geophyte Helophyte Halophyte Hydrophyte Therophyte Liana hemi-epiphyte Epiphyte vascular semi-parasite vascular parasite mesophyte
Canopy height	2893	4934		m
Plant life span	2219	4293	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	summer annuals winter annuals strict monocarpic biennials and poly-annuals short-lived perennials (< 5 years) medium- lived perennials (5-50 years) long-lived perennials (>50 years) perennials without any further detailed information
Age of first flowering	1521	2530	1 2 3	< 1 year 1 and 5 years > 5 years
Leaf mass	1665	4472		mg
Specific leaf area (SLA)	2019	5941		mm ² mg ⁻¹
Leaf size	2054	5590		mm ²
Leaf dry matter content (LDMC)	1735	3451		mg g ⁻¹
Woodiness & Stem specific density	3152	5300	1 1.1 1.2 2 3	woody hard wood soft wood semi-woody herbaceous (non-woody) g cm ⁻³
Shoot growth form	3118	5386	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lianas, climbers and scramblers stem erect stem ascending to prostrate stem prostrate free-floating plants emergent, attached to the substrate floating leaves, attached to the substrate submerged, attached to the substrate
Branching	2878	4055	1 2 3	yes no unknown
Leaf distribution along the stem	3491	5355	1 2 3 4 5 6	rosette/tufted plant semi-rosette leaves distributed regularly along the stem shoot scarcely foliated tufts and crowns, leaves concentrated as a rosette at the top of taller shoot or stem other
Bud bank: vertical layers	2442	6052	1 1.1	no buds per shoot (not applicable) no buds per shoot, below soil surface, <-

			10 cm
			1.2 no buds per shoot, below soil surface, $0 < x < -10$ cm
			1.3 no buds per shoot at soil surface
			1.4 no buds per shoot, above soil surface, $0 > x > 10$ cm
			1.5 no buds per shoot, above soil surface, > 10 cm
			2. 1–10 buds per shoot
			2.1 1–10 buds per shoot, below soil surface, < -10 cm
			2.2 1–10 buds per shoot, below soil surface, $0 < x < -10$ cm
			2.3 1–10 buds per shoot at soil surface
			2.4 1–10 buds per shoot, above soil surface, $0 > x > 10$ cm
			2.5 1–10 buds per shoot, above soil surface, > 10 cm
			3. > 10 buds per shoot
			3.1 > 10 buds per shoot, below soil surface, < -10 cm
			3.2 > 10 buds per shoot, below soil surface, $0 < x < -10$ cm
			3.3 > 10 buds per shoot at soil surface
			3.4 > 10 buds per shoot, above soil surface, $0 > x > 10$ cm
			3.5 > 10 buds per shoot, above soil surface, > 10 cm
Bud bank - seasonality	2468	6203	1 seasonal
			1.1 seasonal, above-ground
			1.2 seasonal, below-ground
			2 perennial
			2.1 perennial, above-ground
			2.2 perennial, below-ground
			3 seasonal & potential
			3.1 seasonal & potential, above-ground
			3.2 seasonal & potential, below-ground
			4 perennial & potential
			4.1 perennial & potential, above-ground
			4.2 perennial & potential, below-ground
Clonal growth organs	1958	5540	17 17 categories hierarchical classified according to their placement (above, at or below soil surface) and again subdivided to their origin (stem, root or leaf origin) (see Data Standards)
Life span of a shoot	1737	4233	1 monocyclic (1 year)
			2 dicyclic or polycyclic (> 1 year)
Persistence of connection between parent and offspring shoots	1834	4683	1 < 1 year
			2 1-2 years
			3 > 2 years
Number of offspring shoots per parent shoot per year	1740	4263	1 < 1 shoot/parent shoot/year
			2 1 shoot/parent shoot/year
			3 2-10 shoots/parent shoot/year
			4 > 10 shoots/parent shoot/year
Lateral spread	555	1089	1 < 0.01 m yr ⁻¹
			2 0.01-0.25 m yr ⁻¹
			3 0.25 m yr ⁻¹
			4 dispersable diaspores
Seed number	1767	6165	number of seeds per ramet
Seed crop frequency	196	201	1 more than once a year
			2 once a year
			3 once in 2 years
			4 once in > 2 years
			5 not applicable

			6 unknown
Seed shedding	1640	3331	month of the year (1-12)
Seed weight	2025	7239	mg
Seed size	2401	6578	length, width and height (mm)
Seed shape	2401	6578	calculated from seed length, width and height (unitless, see Data Standards)
Soil seed bank type	1479	44353	transient - short-term persistent - long-term persistent
Seed bank longevity index	1479	44353	short-lived (0) – long-lived (1)
Soil seed bank density	1479	44353	per m ²
Diaspore type categories	2082	4162	1 vegetative dispersule 2 generative dispersule 2.1 one-seeded 2.2 multi-seeded 3 germinule 4 unknown
Morphology of dispersal unit	2082	4162	1 nutrient containing structures 2 elaiosome 3 aril 4 pulp 5 balloon structures 5.1 open balloons 5.2 closed balloons 8 flat appendages 8.1 small flat appendages 8.2 large flat appendages 9 elongated appendages 9.1 one short elongated appendage 9.2 two or more short elongated appendages 9.3 one long elongated appendage 9.4 two or more long elongated appendages 9.1 - 4 additional info: hooked structures 10 no appendages 10.1 seed with coarse surface, no appendages 10.2 seed with smooth surface, no appendages 11 other specialisations 12 unknown
Seed release height	2586	3921	m
Terminal velocity seeds	1328	2592	m s ⁻¹
Buoyancy	989	8081	number or % of floating seeds
Epizoochory	192	559	number or % of attached seeds
Endozoochory	149	179	number or % seeds that survived ingestion
Dispersal data obtained from literature	2956	13920	14 dispersal type categories (see Data Standards), 32 dispersal vector categories (see Data Standards)
Habitat characteristics	1401	1401	Categories referring to soil moisture, acidity, substrate, type, nutrient status. Water column acidity, alkalinity, and sediment redox potential for aquatic plants

1



2

3

4 Figure 1. Geographical range covered by the database. Dark grey: core regions; light

5 grey: overlap > 50 % with the national floras.

1 **Appendix S1:** Details regarding the LEDA trait definitions and the structure of the da-
2 tabase.

3

4 **TRAITS DEFINITIONS**

5 PERSISTENCE TRAITS

6 *Growth form of the mature plant:* A combination of the life-form classification of
7 Raunkiaer (1937) completed with other specialised forms which are based upon their
8 type of nutrient acquisition or living conditions (e.g. halophyte).

9 *Canopy height:* The distance between the highest photosynthetic tissue and the base of
10 the plant (Weiher *et al.* 1999).

11 *Plant life span:* The mean number of years of persistence of a plant. It refers to genets
12 when individual plants can be distinguished and to ramets in clonal plants where genets
13 could not be identified. This remains problematic when genet longevity and total repro-
14 ductive output per genet is of interest. Most long-lived species were only assigned to the
15 category perennial, as no further detailed information about life span was available.

16 *Age of first flowering:* The earliest age at which a plant can flower in the field. For true
17 monocarpic species age of first flowering is identical with plant life span. For all other
18 species age of first flowering was categorised separately.

19 *Leaf size and specific leaf area (SLA):* The one-sided area of a fresh leaf divided by its
20 dry mass, hence dry leaf mass is one component of the SLA measurements.

21 *Leaf dry matter content (LDMC):* The dry weight per unit volume.

22 *Woodiness:* Three broad categories (soft or hard wood, semi-woody and non-woody or
23 herbaceous).

24 *Stem specific density (or wood density):* The dry mass of a stem segment divided by its
25 fresh volume (Weiher *et al.* 1999).

1 *Shoot growth form, branching and distribution of leaves along the stem*: Categories (see
2 Table 2).

3 *Clonal traits*:

4 As plants are highly plastic in their vegetative growth, clonal traits are given mostly in
5 nominal categories rather than numerical measurements. Only adult plants are consid-
6 ered, ontogenetic changes of clonal growth and bud banks in earlier developmental
7 stages are not included.

8 *Bud bank*: (1) The number of buds in vertical layers from the root to the top of a plant.

9 (2) The longevity of the bud bank: Seasonal (on plant organs with a life span shorter
10 than two years), perennial (on plant organs with a life span of two or more years) and
11 potential (reflecting the ability of a plant to sprout adventitiously from roots or leaves).

12 *Clonal growth organs (CGO)*: Classification according to their position (above, at or
13 below soil surface) and again subdivided to their origin (stem, root or leaf origin) within
14 a hierarchical structure of 17 categories. Then, the role of CGOs has been specified for
15 plant growth: either regenerative, additive, necessary or unknown.

16 *Lifespan of a shoot*: Duration of a small life-cycle, i.e. from sprouting of a bud, through
17 the growth, flowering and fruiting of the shoot, until its death. Also known as shoot
18 cyclicity.

19 *Persistence of connection parent-offspring*: Duration (or persistence) of the connection
20 between parent and offspring shoots.

21 *Lateral spread/year*: Distance covered by lateral spread in one year, given in metres.

22 This trait should not be confused with the distance between offspring ramets or the dis-
23 tance between parent and offspring ramets.

24 *Number of offspring shoots/parent shoot/year*: A measure of intensity of clonal multi-
25 plication given as the number of offspring shoots produced per year and per parent
26 shoot.

1

2 REGENERATION TRAITS

3 *Seed number*: The total seed (or spore) production per ramet/shoot/individual species.4 *Seed crop frequency*: The frequency of generative reproduction cycles over time, in

5 other words how often species produce seeds in a certain time period (Silvertown &

6 Lovett Doust 1993).

7 *Seed shedding*: The month in which shedding takes place.8 *Seed weight*: The air dried weight of seeds (germinating unit) or dispersules (dispersing

9 unit).

10 *Seed shape (V_s)*: Length, width and height of a seed separately divided by length. Calcula-11 tion of the variance of the three values with the formula: $V_s = \Sigma (x_i - \text{mean}(x))^2/n$ 12 with $n = 3$ and $x_1 = \text{length}/\text{length}$, $x_2 = \text{height}/\text{length}$ and $x_3 = \text{width}/\text{length}$. V_s is dimen-

13 sionless, and spans from a minimum value 0 in perfectly spherical seeds to a maximum

14 value of 0.2 in needle- and disc-shaped seeds.

15 *Types of soil seed banks*: 'Transient', 'species with seeds that persist in the soil for less

16 than one year, often much less'; 'short-term persistent, species with seeds that persist in

17 the soil for between one year and five years'; and 'long-term persistent, species with

18 seeds that persist in the soil for at least five years' (Bakker 1989; Thompson 1992; Po-

19 schlod & Jackel 1993). One of the unique features of LEDA is that the database can

20 generate, on request, the most updated figure for the Seed Longevity Index (c.f. Bekker

21 *et al.* 1998) by calculating this over all available records per species.

22

23 DISPERSAL TRAITS

24 *Morphological dispersal syndromes*: Classification according to the shape and length

25 of the appendages (e.g. a wing). Other categories are fruit pulps, nutritious nuts, or air-

1 filled balloon structures. If seeds do not display appendages, seed surface is categorised
2 to be either smooth or coarse (Van der Pijl 1972; Hughes *et al.* 1994).

3 *Terminal velocity*: The rate of fall of a dispersule in still air, using the machine de-
4 scribed in Askew *et al.* (1996).

5 *Seed releasing height*: The distance between the soil and the highest part of the plant
6 from where seeds are released.

7 *Buoyancy (floating capacity)*: Floating capacities of diaspores measured after different
8 time periods. We measured buoyancy only for species growing in habitats that are asso-
9 ciated with water dispersal, such as open water, river banks, wet meadows, and mires.

10 *Epizoochory*: The number of seeds released from fur for a certain time period using a
11 shaking machine (see Tackenberg *et al.* 2006). Additionally, potential attachments pre-
12 dicted from seed weight and seed morphology by a regression function are included (see
13 Römermann *et al.* 2005).

14 *Endozoochory*: Survival capacity determined by a lab-method simulating the digestion
15 system of a ruminant (see Bonn 2004). Additionally, published data on survival of di-
16 gestion from feeding experiments as well as data on endozoochory derived from dung
17 analyses were included.

18 *Seed dispersal vector*: Seeds are dispersed by various vectors. General information
19 about dispersal types as well as more specific information about the dispersal vector of
20 plant species was compiled in the database DIASPORUS (Bonn *et al.* 2000). In the
21 LEDA Traitbase, DIASPORUS has been extended with more data on dispersal type and
22 vector including improved referencing. It is now possible to calculate dispersal spectra
23 instead of assigning one exclusive dispersal type to a single plant species.

24

1 THE LEDA TAXONOMIC CORE

2 The taxonomic core of the LEDA Traitbase consists of one synonymised plant list at the
3 species level, completed with authorities. The list was collated from the national lists of
4 the British Isles (Stace 1997), the Netherlands (Van der Meijden 1990), Germany
5 (Wisskirchen & Haeupler 1998), Norway (based on Lid & Lid 1994) and species lists
6 composed by local experts of Belgium, the Czech Republic (an updated version for
7 Central Europe based upon Ehrendorfer 1973), the Nordic list for Scandinavia (Thomas
8 Karlsson, <http://linnaeus.nrm.se/flora/chk/chk3.htm>), Poland, France (Kerguélen 1999),
9 Latvia (Gavrilova & Šulcs 1999), and Lithuania.

10

11 TECHNICAL STRUCTURE OF THE DATABASE

12 The LEDA Traitbase is a combination of a relational database holding the trait data and
13 several web applications allowing for input, access and analysis of trait related data. The
14 database features structured data storage and provides transaction-based multi-user ac-
15 cess to the data. The database structure is described by a relational database scheme fol-
16 lowing the entity relationship model. It is used to declare tables (entity types) for data
17 storage and the relationships of records within these tables (entities) to each other.


18 The functionality of the LEDA Traitbase is delivered through web based applications.

19

20 DATA RETRIEVAL

21 In order to retrieve data, one can either use the species fact sheet or the LEDA web
22 query tool. The species fact sheet accepts a species name as input and returns a single
23 aggregated value for each trait of the selected species. As an example for a GIS link, the
24 German plant atlas FloraMap (<http://www.floraweb.de>) is accessible from within the
25 LEDA web query application. This allows access to further trait data, species distribu-
26 tion data and related information (in German).

1

2
3

4 Fig. S1. Aggregation levels in data flow through the LEDA Traitbase.

5

6 Within the LEDA web query tool, aggregated results are computed at run-time (Fig. S1).

7 Depending upon the trait considered, several types of aggregated values are available.

8 Examples are: relative frequency of categories, absolute frequency of categories, modal

9 categories, index functions, maximum, minimum, average, standard deviation and me-

10 dian. Aggregation may either be carried out on the original values stored in the database

11 or from derived values (Stadler *et al.* 2004). For instance, if the average canopy height

12 of a species is to be calculated both from records containing a maximum observed value

13 and a minimum observed value and from records containing a single observed value, a

14 single value is calculated on a per-record basis from minimum and maximum values for

1 the former records. The resulting calculated single values are then aggregated together
 2 with the single values present in the database.

3

4 DATA ANALYSIS

5 For data analysis purposes, a web based tool for data mining called DIONE has been
 6 designed (Stadler *et al.* 2005) which is available on request. It supports the construction
 7 of decision trees, decision rules and association rules as well as the centre-based calcu-
 8 lation of clusters (see e.g. Han & Kamber 2001). LEDA data to be analysed can directly
 9 be transferred from the Traitbase to the data miner. It is also possible to upload external
 10 data to DIONE.

11

12 REFERENCES

- 13 Askew, A.P., Corker, D., Hodkinson, D.J. & Thompson, K. (1996) A new apparatus to
 14 measure the rate of fall of seeds. *Functional Ecology* **11**, 121-125.
- 15 Bakker, J.P. (1989) *Nature Management by Grazing and Cutting*. Kluwer Academic
 16 Publishers, Dordrecht.
- 17 Bekker, R.M., Schaminée, J.H.J., Bakker, J.P. & Thompson, K. (1998) Seed bank char-
 18 acteristics of Dutch plant communities. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* **47**, 15-26.
- 19 Bonn, S., P. Poschlod & Tackenberg, O. (2000) Diasporus - a database for diaspore dis-
 20 persal - concept and application in case studies for risk assessment. *Zeitschrift für*
 21 *Ökologie und Naturschutz* **9**, 85-97.
- 22 Ehrendorfer, F. (1973) *Liste der Gefasspflanzen Mitteleuropas*. 2nd editon. Gustav
 23 Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- 24 Gavrilova Ģ. & Šulcs V. (1999) *Latvijas vaskulāro augu flora. Taksonu saraksts*. Lat-
 25 vijas Akadēmiskā bibliotēka, Rīga.
- 26 Han, J. & Kamber, M. (2001) *Data Mining – Concepts and Techniques*. Morgan Kauf-
 27 mann Publishers, San Francisco.
- 28 Hughes, L., Dunlop, M., French, K., Leishman, M.R., Rice, B., Rodgeron, L., &
 29 Westoby, M. (1994) Predicting dispersal spectra: a minimal set of hypotheses based
 30 on plant attributes. *Journal of Ecology* **82**, 933-950.
- 31 Kerguélen, M. (1999) *Index synonymique de la flore de France*. Institut National de la
 32 Recherche Agronomique. URL: <http://www.dijon.inra.fr/flore-france>.
- 33 Lid, J. & Lid, D.T. (1994) Norsk flora. (ed. R. Elven). Det Norske Samlaget, Oslo.

- 1 Poschlod, P. & Jackel, A.-K. (1993) Untersuchungen zur Dynamik von generativen Di-
2 asporenbanken von Samenpflanzen in Kalkmagerrasen. I. Jahreszeitliche Dynamik
3 des Diasporenregens und der Diasporenbank auf zwei Kalkmagerrasenstandorten der
4 Schwäbischen Alb. *Flora* **188**, 49-71.
- 5 Raunkiaer, C. (1937) *Plant life forms*. Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- 6 Römermann, C., Tackenberg, O. & Poschlod, P. (2005) How to predict attachment po-
7 tential of seeds to sheep and cattle coat from simple morphological seed traits. *Oikos*
8 **110**, 219-230.
- 9 Silvertown, J.W. & Lovett Doust, J. (1993) *Introduction to plant population biology*.
10 Blackwell Scientific Publications, London.
- 11 Stace, C. (1997) *New Flora of the British Isles*. Cambridge University Press.
- 12 Stadler, M., Bekker, R.M., Finke, J., Kunzmann, D. & Sonnenschein, M. (2005) Using
13 data mining techniques for exploring the key features of plant dynamics upon a
14 newly built plant trait database. *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference of*
15 *Informatics for Environmental Protection* (eds J. Hrebicek & J. Racek, J.), pp. 90-94.
16 Brno, Czech Republic.
- 17 Stadler, M., Kunzmann, D., Schlegelmilch, J., Sonnenschein, M. (2004) Data Quality,
18 Abstraction and Aggregation in the Leda Traitbase. *Proceedings of the 18th Interna-*
19 *tional Conference Informatics for Environmental Protection*, pp. 515-525. Geneve,
20 Switzerland.
- 21 Tackenberg, O., Römermann, C., Poschlod, P., & Thompson, K. (2006) What does di-
22 aspore morphology tell us about external animal dispersal? Evidence from standard-
23 ized experiments measuring seed retention on animal coats. *Basic and Applied Ecol-*
24 *ogy* **7**, 45-58.
- 25 Thompson, K. (1992) The functional ecology of seed banks. In *Seeds: The Ecology of*
26 *Regeneration in Plant Communities* (ed. M. Fenner), pp. 231-258. CAB International,
27 Wallingford.
- 28 Van der Meijden, R. (1990) *Heukels' Flora van Nederland*. Wolters-Noordhoff, Gron-
29 ingen.
- 30 Van der Pijl, L. (1972) *Principles of dispersal in higher plants*. Springer, Berlin.
- 31 Weiher, E., Van der Werf, A., Thompson, K., Roderick, M., Garnier, E. & Eriksson, O.
32 (1999) Challenging Theophrastus: A common core list of plant traits for functional
33 ecology. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **10**, 609-620.
- 34 Wisskirchen, R. & Haeupler, H. (1998) *Standardliste der Farn- und Blütenpflanzen*
35 *Deutschlands*. Ulmer, Stuttgart.
36